Theory of Stochastic Processes Vol.13 (29), no.1-2, 2007, pp.35-43

# ANNA BONDARENKO

# A LIMIT THEOREM FOR SEMI-MARKOV PROCESS

A limit theorem for the strongly regular semi-Markov process is proved under conditions C1 - C3.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

This article deals with the asymptotic behavior of the strongly regular semi-Markov process  $\xi(t)$  as  $t \to \infty$ . It may be considered as continuation of the article [1] motivated by the book by A. N. Korlat, V. N. Kuznetsov, M. M. Novikov and A. F. Turbin (1991). Let us introduce basic notations and necessary results from [1], [2].

Let  $\xi(t)$  be a strongly regular semi-Markov process with the phase space  $\{X, \mathcal{B}\}$  and semi-Markov kernel  $Q(t, x, B), t \geq 0, x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $H(t, x, B), t \geq 0, x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}$  be the Markov renewal function of  $\xi(t)$ . Define  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  as Banach space of  $\mathcal{B}$  - measurable bounded functions with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ . Consider two operator family Q(t) and  $H(t), t \geq 0$ , in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , defined for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ :

$$[Q(t)f](x) = \int_{X} Q(t, x, dy)f(y),$$
$$[H(t)f](x) = \int_{X} H(t, x, dy)f(y).$$

Suppose that  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

**C1.** Markov chain  $\xi_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , embedded in the  $\xi(t)$ , is uniformly recurring; **C2.**  $||M_l|| < \infty$  for  $l = \overline{1, k+2}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , where  $M_l = \int_0^\infty t^l Q(dt)$ ;

C3. Semi-Markov kernel of the process  $\xi(t)$  is absolutely continuous in t:

$$Q(t,x,B) = \int_{0}^{t} q(s,x,B)ds, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in X, \ B \in \mathcal{B},$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60K15, 60K20

Key words and phrases. Strongly regular semi-Markov process, embedded Markov chain, uniformly recurring, Markov renewal theorem.

or in the operator form:

$$Q(t) = \int_{0}^{t} q(s)ds, t \ge 0.$$

Condition C3 guarantees existence of the density of the Markov renewal function h(t, x, B):

$$H(t, x, B) = I_B(x) + \int_0^t h(s, x, B) ds, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in X, \ B \in \mathcal{B},$$

or in the operator form

$$H(t) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{0}^{t} h(s)ds, \ t \ge 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity operator,  $I_B(x)$  is the indicator function.

Let  $\Pi_0$  be the stationary projector of the embedded Markov chain  $\xi_n$  defined under condition C1 as follows:

$$[\Pi_0 f](x) = \int_X \rho(dy) f(y) \mathbb{I}(x), \ \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$$

where  $\rho(x)$  is the stationary distribution of the Markov chain  $\xi_n$ ,  $\mathbb{I}(x) \equiv 1$  $\forall x \in X$ . Denote

$$h_*(t) = h(t) - \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} \Pi_0, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\widehat{m}_1 = \int_X \rho(dx) m_1(x), \ m_1(x) = \int_0^\infty tQ(dt, x, X).$$

Let  $T_n$ ,  $n = \overline{0, k}$  be bounded operators in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , introduced in the book [2, p. 1.4], and let  $P = Q(\infty)$  be the operator of transient probabilities of Markov chain  $\xi_n$ . The following result was proved for n = 0 in [2] and for  $n = \overline{1, k}$  in [1]:

**Theorem 1.** Let a strongly regular semi-Markov process satisfies conditions C1 - C3. Then there exists the limit

$$U_n = \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} t^n h_*(t) dt, \quad n = \overline{0, k}$$
(2)

and the following relations hold:

$$U_{n} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{(r)!} M_{r} U_{n-r} + \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} M_{n} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)! \widehat{m}_{1}} M_{n+1} \Pi_{0}, \quad n = \overline{0, k}, \quad (3)$$

$$U_n = \begin{cases} I_0 - I, & \text{for} \quad n = 0; \\ T_n, & \text{for} \quad n = \overline{1, k}, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where  $M_0 = P$ .

# 2. Basic results.

In this paper we present a theorem, which is proved by means of the above mentioned results and the Markov renewal theorem.

Let's introduce a family of operators

$$U_0(t) = \int_0^t h_*(s)ds, \quad U_n(t) = \int_0^t (U_{n-1}(s) - U_{n-1})ds, \quad t \ge 0, \quad n = \overline{1, k}.$$
(5)

The following result holds true:

**Theorem 2.** Let a strongly regular semi-Markov process satisfies conditions C1 - C3. Then there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} U_n(t) = U_n, \quad t \ge 0, \quad n = \overline{0, k}.$$
 (6)

*Proof.* **1.** Consider the case n = 0. Under condition C3 the operator renewal equation holds true [3]:

$$h(t) = q(t) + \int_{0}^{t} q(s)h(t-s)ds.$$

Hence, subject to (1)

$$h_*(t) = q(t) - \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} (I - Q(t)) \Pi_0 + \int_0^t q(s) h_*(t - s) ds.$$
(7)

Taking integral of (7) and using the Fubbini theorem [4] we will get

$$\int_{0}^{t} h_{*}(s)ds = Q(t) - \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} (I - Q(s))ds \Pi_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} ds q(s) \int_{0}^{t-s} h_{*}(l)dl,$$

or

$$U_0(t) = Q(t) - \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} \int_0^t (I - Q(s)) ds \,\Pi_0 + \int_0^t q(s) U_0(t - s) ds.$$
(8)

In the case n = 0 from (3) we get

$$U_0 = P + PU_0 - \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} M_1 \Pi_0.$$
(9)

Taking into account the property of stationary projector  $\Pi_0$ :

$$P\Pi_0 = \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 P, \tag{10}$$

consider the difference between (8) and (9):

$$U_0(t) - U_0 = V_0(t) + \int_0^t q(s)(U_0(t-s) - U_0)ds,$$
(11)

where

$$V_0(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} (P - Q(s)) ds \frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} + Q(t) - P - (P - Q(t)) U_0.$$
(12)

Lemma 1. Let conditions of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (U_0(t) - U_0) = \frac{\prod_0}{\widehat{m}_1} \int_0^\infty V_0(s) ds.$$
 (13)

*Proof.* To prove the operator equation (13) it is sufficient to verify it for functions  $I_B(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , generating D(X). Define  $V_0(t, x, B)$ ,  $U_0(t, x, B)$ ,  $U_0(x, B)$  as action of operators  $V_0(t)$ ,  $U_0(t)$ ,  $U_0$  on function  $I_B(x)$ . Consider positive and negative parts of the function  $V_0(t, x, B)$ :

$$V_0^1(t,x,B) := max\{V_0(t,x,B),0\}, \quad V_0^2(t,x,B) := -min\{V_0(t,x,B),0\}.$$

Similarly  $U_0^1(x, B)$  and  $U_0^2(x, B)$  are defined as positive and negative parts of function  $U_0(x, B)$ . From (12) it follows that for  $t \ge 0, x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$V_0^1(t, x, B) = \frac{\rho(B)}{\hat{m}_1} \int_t^\infty dt_0 \int_{t_0}^\infty q(s, x, X) ds + \int_t^\infty ds \int_X q(s, x, dy) U_0^2(y, B),$$
$$V_0^2(t, x, B) = \int_t^\infty q(s, x, B) ds + \int_t^\infty ds \int_X q(s, x, dy) U_0^1(y, B).$$

38

Functions  $V_0^1(t, x, B)$  and  $V_0^2(t, x, B)$  are bounded. It follows from condition C2 for l = 1 and boundedness of the operator  $T_0 = U_0$ . Besides, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  functions  $V_0^1(t, x, B)$ ,  $V_0^2(t, x, B)$  are non-negative, monotone decreasing and integrable in t functions on  $[0, \infty)$ . Thus for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  they are directly Riemann integrable [5], so that  $\int_X \rho(dx) \int_0^\infty dt V_0^j(t, x, B) < \infty$ , j = 1, 2. So for a fixed  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the above point and conditions C1 – C3 give a possibility to apply the Markov renewal theorem ([5, p. 107], [6, p. 31]) to the following Markov renewal equation:

$$Z^{j}(t,x,B) = V_{0}^{j}(t,x,B) + \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{X} q(s,x,dy) Z^{j}(t-s,y,B), \ j = 1,2. \ (14)$$

By the Markov renewal theorem there exists

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} Z^j(t, x, B) = \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} \int\limits_X \rho(dx) \int\limits_0^\infty dt V_0^j(t, x, B), \ x \in X, \ B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
 (15)

As by definition  $V_0^1(t, x, B) - V_0^2(t, x, B) = V_0(t, x, B)$ , then from (11) and (14) it follows that  $U_0(t, x, B) - U_0(x, B) = Z^1(t, x, B) - Z^2(t, x, B)$ . Hence, from (15) follows statement of the lemma.

Since

$$\int_0^\infty V_0(s)ds = -M_1 - M_1 U_0 + \frac{M_2 \Pi_0}{2\widehat{m}_1},$$

then from (3) for n = 1 and Lemma 1 we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (U_0(t) - U_0) = \frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} (I - P) U_1 = 0$$

Theorem 2 for n = 0 is proved.

**2.** Consider the case  $n = \overline{1, k}$ . Define

$$E_{0}(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} dt_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} q(s) ds, \quad E_{1}(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} dt_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} dt_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} q(s) ds,$$
$$E_{n}(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} dt_{n} \int_{t_{n}}^{\infty} dt_{n-1} \dots \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} dt_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} q(s) ds, \quad n = \overline{2, k}.$$

It is easy to see that for t = 0 the following equalities hold true:

$$E_0(0) = \int_0^\infty (P - Q(t))dt = M_1,$$

$$E_n(0) = \int_0^\infty E_{n-1}(t)dt = \frac{M_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \ n = \overline{1, k+1}.$$
 (16)

Transform (3) to the form

$$U_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{(r+1)} E_r(0) U_{n-r-1} + (-1)^{(n+1)} E_n(0) \frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} + P U_n + (-1)^n E_{n-1}(0).$$
(17)

**Lemma 2.** Let conditions of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then the following relations hold true:

$$U_n(t) - U_n = V_n(t) + \int_0^t q(s)(U_n(t-s) - U_n)ds, \quad t \ge 0, \quad n = \overline{1, k}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r E_r(t) U_{n-r-1} + (-1)^n E_n(t) \frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} + (-1)^{n-1} E_{n-1}(t) - \int_t^\infty q(s) ds U_n.$$
(19)

*Proof.* The lemma is proved by means of mathematical induction method. From (5) and (11) we have

$$U_1(t) = -E_0(t)U_0 + E_1(t)\frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} - E_0(t) + \int_0^t q(s)U_1(t-s)ds.$$
(20)

From (17) for n = 1 and (20) we obtain statement of the lemma for the case n = 1. So we have the base of induction. Suppose that statement of the lemma is true for some n,  $n = \overline{1, k - 1}$  and show that it is also true for n + 1. Indeed, let us integrate (18) and apply the Fubbini theorem. We get

$$U_{n+1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} V_n(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} ds \, q(s) \int_{0}^{t-s} (U_n(l) - U_n)dl \pm \int_{0}^{\infty} V_n(s)ds,$$

or

$$U_{n+1}(t) = -\int_{t}^{\infty} V_n(s)ds + \int_{0}^{\infty} V_n(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} q(s)U_{n+1}(t-s)ds.$$
(21)

40

Since by definition  $\int_{t}^{\infty} E_n(s) ds = E_{n+1}(t)$ , we have

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} V_{n}(s)ds = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{r} E_{r+1}(t) U_{n-r-1} +$$

$$+(-1)^{n}E_{n+1}(t)\frac{\Pi_{0}}{\widehat{m}_{1}} + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n}(t) - E_{0}(t)U_{n} =$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^{(r+1)}E_{r}(t)U_{n-r} + (-1)^{n}E_{n+1}(t)\frac{\Pi_{0}}{\widehat{m}_{1}} + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n}(t).$$
(22)

It follows from (22) for t = 0 and (17) that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} V_{n}(s)ds = U_{n+1} - PU_{n+1}.$$
(23)

So if relation (18) is true for some  $n, n = \overline{1, k - 1}$ , then, as follows from (21), (22) and (23), it is also true for n + 1.

Prove the next lemma in the way similar to one of Lemma 1.

Lemma 3. Let conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (U_n(t) - U_n) = \frac{\Pi_0}{\widehat{m}_1} \int_0^\infty V_n(s) ds, \quad n = \overline{1, k}.$$
 (24)

*Proof.* To prove the operator equation (24) it is sufficient to verify it for the indicator functions  $I_B(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , generating D(X). Define  $V_n(t, x, B)$  and  $U_r(x, B)$ ,  $r = \overline{0, n}$  as action of operators  $V_n(t)$ ,  $U_r$  on function  $I_B(x)$ . From (19) it follows

$$V_n(t,x,B) = (-1)^n \frac{\rho(B)}{\widehat{m}_1} \int_t^\infty dt_n \int_{t_n}^\infty dt_{n-1} \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty dt_0 \int_{t_0}^\infty q(s,x,X) ds +$$
(25)

$$+\sum_{r=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{r}\int_{t}^{\infty}dt_{r}\int_{t_{r}}^{\infty}dt_{r-1}\dots\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}dt_{0}\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}ds\int_{X}q(s,x,dy)U_{n-r-1}(y,B)+$$
$$+(-1)^{n-1}\int_{t}^{\infty}dt_{n-1}\dots\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}dt_{0}\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}q(s,x,B)ds-\int_{t}^{\infty}ds\int_{X}q(s,x,dy)U_{n}(y,B).$$

Consider positive and negative parts of the function  $V_n(t, x, B)$ :

$$V_n^1(t,x,B) := max\{V_n(t,x,B),0\}, \ V_n^2(t,x,B) := -min\{V_n(t,x,B),0\}.$$

Represent functions  $U_r(x, B), r = \overline{0, n}$  in (25) as  $U_r^1(x, B) - U_r^2(x, B)$  where  $U_r^1(x, B)$  and  $U_r^2(x, B)$  are its positive and negative parts. Then from (25) it follows that  $V_n(t, x, B)$  is a sum of functions of constant signs. It is easy to see from (25) the structure of functions  $V_n^+$  and  $V_n^-$  and make a conclusion, that for any fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  functions  $V_0^+(t, x, B), V_0^-(t, x, B)$  are non-negative, monotone decreasing and integrable in t functions on  $[0, \infty)$ . Boundedness of this functions follows from the condition C2, (4) and boundedness of operators  $T_i$ ,  $i = \overline{0, n}$ . Thus  $V_n^1$  and  $V_n^2$  are directly Riemann integrable, so that  $\int_X \rho(dx) \int_0^\infty dt V_n^j(t, x, B) < \infty, \ j = 1, 2$ . Hence the above point and conditions C1 – C3 give a possibility to apply the Markov renewal theorem to the next equation:

$$Z^{j}(t,x,B) = V_{n}^{j}(t,x,B) + \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{X} q(s,x,dy) Z^{j}(t-s,y,B), \ j = 1,2.$$
(26)

By the Markov renewal theorem there exists

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} Z^j(t, x, B) = \frac{1}{\widehat{m}_1} \int_X \rho(dx) \int_0^\infty dt V_n^j(t, x, B), \quad x \in X, \ B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
 (27)

Note that by definition  $V_n^1(t, x, B) - V_n^2(t, x, B) = V_n(t, x, B)$ . So from (26) and Lemma 2 it follows that  $U_n(t, x, B) - U_n(x, B) = Z^1(t, x, B) - Z^2(t, x, B)$ . From (27) statement of the lemma follows.

From (23) and (10) it follows that

$$\Pi_0 \int_0^\infty V_n(s) ds = \Pi_0 (U_{n+1} - PU_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Using Lemma 3, we get statement of the theorem for  $n = \overline{1, k}$ .

#### CONCLUSION.

In Theorem 2 the asymptotic equality (6) is proved for a strongly regular semi-Markov process which satisfies conditions C1 - C3. In the case n = 0 this asymptotic equality follows from results of [2] but under two additional conditions. Note, that (6) is more weak result than existence of  $\int_0^\infty t^n h_*(t) dt$ ,  $n = \overline{0, k}$ . Indeed, if such integral exists, then

$$U_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty t^n h_*(t) dt, \quad n = \overline{0, k},$$

and according to formula of integration by parts, we get

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^n h_*(t) dt = n! \int_{0}^{\infty} dt_n \int_{t_n}^{\infty} dt_{n-1} \dots \int_{t_2}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{\infty} h_*(t) dt, \quad n = \overline{1, k}.$$

from which asymptotic equality (6) follows. However, as far as the author knows, at the present moment existence of  $\int_0^\infty t^n h_*(t) dt$  for the general semi-Markov process is not proved. It is known that such integral is convergent for the renewal process under conditions that are a particular case of the conditions C1-C3 for the renewal process ([7], [8]).

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bondarenko H. I., On some consequences of the equation for the Markov renewal function of a semi-Markov process, Ukrain. Mat. Zh., 56, No. 12, (2004), 1684 - 1690.
- Korlat A. N., Kuznetsov V. N., Novikov M. M. and Turbin, A. F., Semi-Markovm models of renewal and queuing systems, Shtiintsa, Kishinev, (1991).
- Korolyuk V. S. and Turbin A. F., Mathematical foundations for phase consolidation of complex systems, Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, (1978).
- Kolmogorov A. N., Fomin S. V., Elements of function theory and functional analysis, Nauka, Moscow, (1972).
- 5. Shurenkov V. M., Ergodic Markov processes, Nauka, Moscow, (1989).
- Korolyuk V. S., Swishchuk V. V., Evolution stochastic systems. Algorithms of averaging and diffusive approximation, Ins. of mat. of Ukraine, Kyiv, (2000).
- Stone C. J., On characteristic functions and renewal theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 120, No. 2., (1965), 327 – 347.
- Sevastianov B. A., Renewal type equations and moment coefficients of branching processes, Mat. Notes., 3, No. 1., (1968), 3 - 14.

DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, KYIV NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE *E-mail address*: gannucia@ukr.net