



133).

[3, . 161].

[4,

162].

[3, .

2001 .

2009 .

21,9%.

2010 .


11,2%.

2011 .,

7,3%,

( .1) [5,

.3; 6, .42].



.1.

( )

2011 .

14,4%,

8,5%.

2010 .,

36,1%,

16,9%,

22,4%,

61,9%,

21,5%

25,1%

28,0%,

34,2%,

22,5%,

12,2 % [7, . 8; 8, . 8].

( )

.4].

2010 .

2011 .

0,7%,

1,7%. 8,5% 2011

.9].

17,2%. 2003-2007 .

2011 . 2008-2010 .

15,0%

24,2% 2010 . [2, . 5].

2008 . - (-5,3%), 2009 . - (-32,4%), 2010 . - (-9,5%) [7, . 8; 8, . 8].

2011 . -

29,9%,

[2, . 9].

01.10.2011 .

(14,2%), (13,2%), (5,5%), (5,4%) (8%)

(14,2%).

1,2% [2, . 13].

0,3


2002 . . . , 2002 . . .  
 :  
 - 54,6%,  
 - 45,5%,  
 - 55,6%, 2010  
 .(63,8%), (47,8%), (67,5%) [7, . 8; 8, . 8].

2009-2010 . . . 116 ( 133),  
 2010-2011 . - 124 ( 139), 2011-2012 . -  
 124 ( 142) [10, 11, 12].

( .2).  
 “ ”  
 2007-  
 2008 . . . 73 ( 131), 2008-2009  
 . - 72 ( 134), 2009-2010 . - 82 ( 133),  
 2010-2011 . - 89 ( 139) [9-12].  
 2006-2010 . . . (

21,6%), (20,4%), (13,9%) 2009 . 2006-2010 . 33,7% 2008 . (33,6%).

2010 . 28,8 % 2009 . 2008 .), ( 76,7% 2009 . 8045,5 . 2008 .) [2, . 15].



. 2.

2004-2010 . [5, . 71, 77; 6, . 76, 81]

29].

[13, .



1.

2010-2014

“ ” 02.06.2010  
[ ]. –  
http://www.president.gov.ua/docs/Programa\_reform\_FINAL\_1.pdf/.

2.

:/ ; . . . .  
“ ” . . . .  
.- .: , 2012. – 41 .

3.

// . . . .

2011 . – , 2011. – 256 . , 29-30

4.

2010-2015: . . / . . . .  
[ .]. – .: , 2010. – 232 .

5.

“ ” /  
2001-2006 . – ., 2007. – 302 .

6.

“ ” /  
2007-2010 . – ., 2011. – 308 .

7.

“ ” /  
2010 . – ., 2011. – 71 .

8.

“ ” /  
2011 . – ., 2012. – 77 .

9.

2008.  
/ [ ]. –  
: http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/final\_ua.pdf.



10. 2009. / [ ] – : [http://www.ssr.org.ua/content/eng/libr/final\\_ukr\\_2009.pdf](http://www.ssr.org.ua/content/eng/libr/final_ukr_2009.pdf).

11. 2010. / [ ] – : [http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Competitiveness\\_report\\_2010\\_ua.pdf](http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Competitiveness_report_2010_ua.pdf).

12. 2011. / [ ] – : [http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Report\\_on\\_the\\_Competitiveness\\_of\\_Regions\\_of\\_Ukraine\\_2011.pdf](http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Report_on_the_Competitiveness_of_Regions_of_Ukraine_2011.pdf).

13. / . . . , . . . , . . . [ . . . ]; . . . . – : , 2010. – 48 .

### References

1. Komitet z ekonomichnykh reform pry Prezydentovi Ukrainy (2012) 'Zamozhne suspil'stvo, konkurentospromozhna ekonomika, efektyvna derzhava: prohrama ekonomichnykh reform na 2010-2014 rr.': Viktor Yanukovich, President of Ukraine: Official website. [http://www.president.gov.ua/docs/Programa\\_reform\\_FINAL\\_1.pdf](http://www.president.gov.ua/docs/Programa_reform_FINAL_1.pdf) [accessed 18 January 2012].

2. Zhalilo, Ya., A. (eds.) (2012) Promyslova polityka yak chynnyk pislyakryzovoho vidnovlennya ekonomiky Ukrainy (analytic report). Kyiv: The National Institute for Strategic Studies.

3. Popadynets', N., M. (2011) 'Rol' promyslovoho sektora ekonomiky v rozvytku vnutrishnoho rynku Ukrainy". In: Naukova spadshchyna profesora Zlupka S. M. u sviti formuvannya suchasnoyi paradyhmy ekonomichnoyi nauky v Ukraini (Material of The Ukrainian Memorial Conference at 29-30 September 2011). Ukraine: Lviv.

4. Heyets', V., M. (eds.) Et al. (2010) Novyy kurs: reformy v Ukraini. 2010-2015 (national report). Kyiv: Research and Publishing

Center of the Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine.

5. State Statistics Service of Ukraine (2007) Promyslovist' Ukrainy u 2001-2006 rokakh. Kyiv: State Statistics Service of Ukraine.

6. State Statistics Service of Ukraine (2011) Promyslovist' Ukrainy u 2007-2010 rokakh. Kyiv: State Statistics Service of Ukraine.

7. State Statistics Service of Ukraine (2011) Pro sotsial'no-ekonomichne stanovyshche Ukrainy za 2010 rik. Kyiv: State Statistics Service of Ukraine.

8. State Statistics Service of Ukraine (2012) Pro sotsial'no-ekonomichne stanovyshche Ukrainy za 2011 rik. Kyiv: State Statistics Service of Ukraine.

9. The Fond for Effective Governance (2008) Zvit pro konkurentospromozhnist' Ukrainy 2008. Na zustrich ekonomichnomu zrostannyyu ta protsvitannyyu. [http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/final\\_ua.pdf/](http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/final_ua.pdf/) [accessed 18 January 2012].

10. The Fond for Effective Governance (2009) Zvit pro konkurentospromozhnist' Ukrainy 2009. Na zustrich ekonomichnomu zrostannyyu ta protsvitannyyu. [http://www.ssr.org.ua/content/eng/libr/final\\_ukr\\_2009.pdf/](http://www.ssr.org.ua/content/eng/libr/final_ukr_2009.pdf/) [accessed 18 January 2012].

11. The Fond for Effective Governance (2010) Zvit pro konkurentospromozhnist' Ukrainy 2009. Na zustrich ekonomichnomu zrostannyyu ta protsvitannyyu. [http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Competitiveness\\_report\\_2010\\_ua.pdf/](http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Competitiveness_report_2010_ua.pdf/) [accessed 18 January 2012].

12. The Fond for Effective Governance (2011) Zvit pro konkurentospromozhnist' rehioniv Ukrainy 2011. Na zustrich ekonomichnomu zrostannyyu ta protsvitannyyu. [http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Report\\_on\\_the\\_Competitiveness\\_of\\_Regions\\_of\\_Ukraine\\_2011.pdf/](http://www.feg.org.ua/docs/Report_on_the_Competitiveness_of_Regions_of_Ukraine_2011.pdf/) [accessed 18 January 2012].

13. Zhalilo, Ya., A. (eds), Sobkevych, O., V., Sukhorukov, A., I., Savenko, V., H., Et al. (2010) Rozvytok promyslovoho potentsialu Ukrainy v protsesi pislyakryzovoho vidnovlennya. Kyiv: The National Institute for Strategic Studies.