

Modules with minimax Cousin cohomologies*

A. Vahidi

Communicated by V. Lyubashenko

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity and let X be an arbitrary R -module. In this paper, we show that if all the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex for X are minimax, then the following hold for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every integer n less than X —the height of \mathfrak{p} :

- (i) the n th Bass number of X with respect to \mathfrak{p} is finite;
- (ii) the n th local cohomology module of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Artinian.

Introduction

Throughout R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, X an arbitrary R -module which is not necessarily finite (i.e., finitely generated), and M a non-zero finite R -module. For basic results, notations and terminology not given in this paper, the reader is referred to [2], [3], and [12].

The notion of the Cousin complex for an R -module X was introduced by Sharp [13] as an analogue of Hartshorne [8]. The Cousin cohomologies (i.e., the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex) have been studied by several authors. Sharp used the vanishing of Cousin cohomologies for investigating the Cohen-Macaulay property, Serre's S_n -condition, and the vanishing of Bass numbers of X in [13], [14], and [15]. Dibaei, Tousi, Jafari, and Kawasaki, in [4], [5], [6], [7], and [10], worked on the finiteness of

*This research was in part supported by a grant from Payame Noor University.

2010 MSC: 13D02, 13D03, 13D45, 13E10.

Key words and phrases: Artinian modules, Bass numbers, Cousin complexes, local cohomology modules, minimax modules.

Cousin cohomologies and, in [11, Proposition 9.3.5], Lipman, Nayak, and Sastry generalized their results to complexes on formal schemes.

Sharp, in [14, Theorem 2.4], showed that M is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the Cousin complex for M is exact. Thus we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a non-zero finite R -module such that all the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex for M are zero. Then the followings hold for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every integer n less than X —the height of \mathfrak{p} .*

- (i) *The n th Bass number of M with respect to \mathfrak{p} is zero;*
- (ii) *The n th local cohomology module of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is zero.*

Now, it is natural to ask whether a similar statement is valid if ‘zero’ is replaced by ‘finite’.

Question 1. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that all the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex for X are finite. Do the followings hold for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every integer n less than X —height of \mathfrak{p} ?*

- (i) *The n th Bass number of X with respect to \mathfrak{p} is finite;*
- (ii) *The n th local cohomology module of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is finite.*

In this paper, we answer the above question. We show that the first part of Question 1 is true. In fact, in Theorem 2, we prove that the n th Bass number of X with respect to \mathfrak{p} is finite for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every integer n less than X —height of \mathfrak{p} , when all the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex for X are minimax. Even though the second part of Question 1 is false in general, we show in Theorem 3 that if all the cohomology modules of the Cousin complex for X are minimax, then the n th local cohomology module of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Artinian for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every integer n less than X —height of \mathfrak{p} .

1. Main results

Suppose that X is an arbitrary R -module. Recall that, for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\text{Supp}_R(X)$, the X —height of \mathfrak{p} is defined to be $\text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let i be a non-negative integer and set $U^i(X) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(X) : \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p}) \geq i\}$. Then $\text{Supp}_R(X) = U^0(X)$, $U^i(X) \supseteq U^{i+1}(X)$, and $U^i(X) - U^{i+1}(X)$ ($= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(X) : \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p}) = i\}$) is low with respect to $U^i(X)$ (i.e., each member of $U^i(X) - U^{i+1}(X)$ is a minimal member of $U^i(X)$ with respect to inclusion). The Cousin complex $C_R(X)$ for X is of the form

$$C_R(X) : 0 \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} X \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} X^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{i-2}} X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} X^i \xrightarrow{d^i} \dots$$

where, for all $i \geq 0$,

- $X^i = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in U^i(X) - U^{i+1}(X)} (\text{Coker } d^{i-2})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and
- $d^{i-1}(x) = \left\{ \frac{x + \text{Im } d^{i-2}}{1} \right\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in U^i(X) - U^{i+1}(X)}$ for every element x of X^{i-1} ;

and satisfies

- $\text{Supp}_R(X^i) \subseteq U^i(X)$,
- $\text{Supp}_R(\text{Coker } d^{i-2}) \subseteq U^i(X)$, and
- $\text{Supp}_R(H^{i-1}(C_R(X))) \subseteq U^{i+1}(X)$

(see [13] for details). Here, we use the notations $C^{i-2} := \text{Coker } d^{i-2}$ and $H^{i-1} := H^{i-1}(C_R(X))$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Recall that an R -module X is said to be minimax, if there is a finite submodule X' of X such that $\frac{X}{X'}$ is Artinian [3]. Thus the class of minimax modules includes all finite and all Artinian modules. Note that, for any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of R -modules, X is minimax if and only if X' and X'' are both minimax [1, Lemma 2.1].

In the following, we state our first main result. Note that, for an R -module X and a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the number

$$\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, X) = \dim_{\frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}} (\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(\frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}}))$$

is the n th Bass number of X with respect to \mathfrak{p} .

Theorem 2. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that H^i is minimax for all i . Then $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, X)$ is finite for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R and let $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$. Let i be an integer such that $0 \leq i \leq n$. By considering the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}} \longrightarrow X^i \longrightarrow C^{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{i-1} \longrightarrow C^{i-2} \longrightarrow \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2}$$

we have the long exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X^i\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-1}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X^i\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-1}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \dots \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X^i\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-1}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X^i\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-1}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, H^{i-1}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-2}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, H^{i-1}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-2}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \dots \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, H^{i-1}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-2}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, H^{i-1}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-2}\right) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{C^{i-2}}{H^{i-1}}\right) \\
&\longrightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Since H^i is minimax for all i , $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, H^{i-1}\right)$ is minimax for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. On the other hand, by [13, Lemma 4.5], $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X^i\right) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, from the above long exact sequences, $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-2}\right)$ is minimax whenever $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{i-1}\right)$ is minimax. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^n\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, C^{-2}\right)$ is minimax. Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^n\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X\right)$ is minimax. Thus there is a finite submodule E' of $\text{Ext}_R^n\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X\right)$ such that $\frac{\text{Ext}_R^n\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}, X\right)}{E'}$ is Artinian. Since $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n\left(\frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}{E'}\right) = 0$, $\frac{\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n\left(\frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}{E'}$ is finite. Thus $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n\left(\frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is finite. Hence $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, X)$ is finite as we desired. \square

For an R -module X and an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , we write $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(X)$ as the n th local cohomology module of X with respect to \mathfrak{a} . An important problem in commutative algebra is to determine when $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(X)$ is Artinian. In the second main result of this paper, we show that for an arbitrary R -module X (not necessarily finite) with minimax Cousin cohomologies, $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$, which is related to the third of Huneke's four problems in local cohomology modules [9].

Theorem 3. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that H^i is minimax for all i . Then $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. We bring it here for the sake of completeness. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R and let $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$. Let i be an integer such that $0 \leq i \leq n$. By considering the short exact sequences (1) and (2), we have the long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}^i) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(X_{\mathfrak{p}}^i) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}^i) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}^i) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}\left(\frac{C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}\right) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since H^i is minimax for all i , there is a finite submodule $H^{i'}$ of H^i such that $\frac{H^i}{H^{i'}}$ is Artinian. Therefore, from the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1'}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}\left(\frac{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}}{H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1'}}\right),$$

$H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1})$ is Artinian for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. On the other hand, by [13, Lemma 4.5], for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \geq 0$, $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}^j}, X^i) = 0$ and so $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}^i) \cong (H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-i}(X^i))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ because

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-i}(X^i) \cong \varinjlim_{j \geq 0} \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}^j}, X^i).$$

Thus, from the above long exact sequences, $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-2})$ is Artinian whenever $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n-i-1}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1})$ is Artinian. Hence $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-2})$ is Artinian. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian. \square

The following corollaries are immediate applications of the above theorems.

Corollary 1. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that H^i is finite for all i . Then*

(i) $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, X)$ is finite and

(ii) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian

for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$.

Corollary 2. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that H^i is Artinian for all i . Then*

(i) $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, X)$ is finite and

(ii) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian

for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R and all $n < \text{ht}_X(\mathfrak{p})$.

References

- [1] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 2359–2363.
- [2] M.P. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [3] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [4] M.T. Dibaei, M. Tousi, The structure of dualizing complex for a ring which is (S_2) , *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **38** (1998), 503–516.
- [5] M.T. Dibaei, M. Tousi, A generalization of the dualizing complex structure and its applications, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **155** (2001), 17–28.
- [6] M.T. Dibaei, A study of Cousin complexes through the dualizing complexes, *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), 119–132.
- [7] M.T. Dibaei, R. Jafari, Modules with finite Cousin cohomologies have uniform local cohomological annihilators, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 3291–3300.

-
- [8] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Springer, 1966.
- [9] C. Huneke, *Problems on Local Cohomology: Free Resolutions in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Jones and Bartlett, 1992.
- [10] T. Kawasaki, Finiteness of Cousin cohomologies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 2709–2739.
- [11] J. Lipman, S. Nayak, P. Sastry, Pseudofunctorial behavior of Cousin complexes on formal schemes, *Contemp. Math.* **375** (2005), 3–133.
- [12] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [13] R.Y. Sharp, The Cousin complex for a module over a commutative Noetherian ring, *Math. Z.* **112** (1969), 340–356.
- [14] R.Y. Sharp, Gorenstein modules, *Math. Z.* **115** (1970), 117–139.
- [15] R.Y. Sharp, Cousin complex characterizations of two classes of commutative Noetherian rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 621–624.
- [16] H. Zöschinger, Minimax Moduln, *J. Algebra* **102** (1986), 1–32.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Alireza Vahidi

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor
University (PNU), P.O. Box 19395-4697,
Tehran, Iran
E-Mail(s): vahidi.ar@pnu.ac.ir

Received by the editors: 25.08.2017
and in final form 15.08.2018.