

ON λ -STABILITY OF ONE ESSENTIALLY NONLINEAR NONAUTONOMOUS SECOND ORDER EQUATION

I. E. Vitrichenko

*National Technical University of Ukraine
pr. Peremogy, 37, Kyiv, 02057, Ukraine*

There are obtained sufficient conditions for λ -stability of the trivial solution of a some essentially nonlinear differential second order equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 34D20

1. Setting of the Problem

The subject of investigation in the theory of wave propagation [1] is the behavior of solutions of the second order differential equation (d.e.) with respect to degrees of the coefficient at the first degree of the unknown function in the theory of a diffusion of waves. Therefore in what follows we investigate asymptotic local and global λ -stability of the d.e. of the type

$$y'' + \lambda(t)y = F(t, y, y'), \quad (1)$$

where $t \in \Delta$, $\Delta \equiv [t_0, \omega[$ or $\Delta \equiv]\omega, t_0]$, $|\omega| \leq +\infty$, $\lambda : \Delta \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$, $F : \Delta \times \mathbf{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{R} \equiv]-\infty, +\infty[$, $\mathbf{R}_- \equiv]-\infty, 0[$, $\mathbf{R}_+ \equiv]0, +\infty[$, $\mathbf{R}^2 \equiv \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_\Delta^1$, $F \equiv \sum_{s+k=2}^m f_{s,k} y^s (y')^k + F^*$, $f_{s,k} \in \mathbf{C}_\Delta^1$, $s+k = \overline{2, m}$, $m \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$, $|F^*| \leq L(|y| + |y'|)^{m+\alpha}$, $L \in \mathbf{C}_\Delta$, $L : \Delta \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$. The results given below are applied to the d.e. (1) with slowly variable coefficients (their derivatives are small with respect to the coefficients themselves as $t \uparrow \omega$). Let us denote

$$S(t, \lambda, a, b) \equiv \frac{|y(t; t_0, y_0, y'_0)|}{\lambda^a} + \frac{|y'(t; t_0, y_0, y'_0)|}{\lambda^b},$$

where $y = y(t; y_0, y'_0)$ is a solution of the d.e. (1), $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$;

$$B_{sk}(t; a, b) \equiv 0, 5 \sum_{p=0}^{k+p-q} \sum_{q=0}^{s-p+q} (-1)^p i^{k+p-q} \lambda^{(a+b)(s+k-1) - \frac{1}{2}(k+p-q)} C_{k+p-q}^p C_{s-p+q}^q f_{k+p-q, s-p+q},$$

$$i^2 = -1;$$

$$\Lambda \equiv \max_i \{f_s : \Delta \mapsto \mathbf{R}; s = \overline{1, n}\},$$

if $\Lambda : \Delta \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$, $\Lambda^{-1} f_s = c_s + o_s(1)$, $t \uparrow \omega$, $c_s \in \mathbf{R}$, $s = \overline{1, n}$, $|c_1| + \dots + |c_n| > 0$;

$$L^*(t; a, b) \equiv 2^{m+\alpha-1} \lambda^{(a+b-\frac{1}{4})(m+\alpha)} (\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}})^{m+\alpha} L;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(t, a, b, x) \equiv & \sum_{\substack{s+k=2 \\ s \neq k+1}}^m \Lambda^{-1}(t; a, b) |B_{s,k}(t; a, b)| \phi^{s+k-1}(t; a, b) x^{s+k} \\
 & + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} \left| \Lambda^{-1}(t; a, b) \operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t; a, b) \phi^{2s}(t; a, b) - B_{2s+1}(a, b) \right| x^{2s+1} \\
 & + \phi^{m+\alpha-1}(t; a, b) \Lambda^{-1}(t; a, b) L^*(t; a, b) x^{m+\alpha}; \quad m_0 = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{3 + (-1)^m}{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1. The d.e. (1) has the property St_λ as $t \uparrow \omega$ if there are constants $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that for any arbitrarily small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$ there are $T_\varepsilon \in \Delta$, $\delta_\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon[$, such that any solution $y = y(t; T_\varepsilon, y_0, y'_0)$ with an initial condition $S(T_\varepsilon, \lambda, a, b) \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ satisfies the inequality $S(t, \lambda, a, b) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [T_\varepsilon, \omega[$ (the local λ -stability).

Definition 2. The d.e. (1) has the property $AsSt_\lambda$ as $t \uparrow \omega$ if Definition 1 takes place and $S(T_\varepsilon, \lambda, a, b) = o(1)$, $t \uparrow \omega$ (the local asymptotic λ -stability).

Definition 3. The d.e. (1) has the property $G_\Delta St_\lambda$ as $t \uparrow \omega$ if there are constants $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that for any arbitrarily small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$ there is $\delta_\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon[$ such that any solution $y = y(t; t_0, y_0, y'_0)$ of the d.e. with an initial condition $S(t_0, \lambda, a, b) \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ satisfies the inequality $S(t, \lambda, a, b) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \Delta$ (the global λ -stability).

Definition 4. The d.e. (1) has the property $G_\Delta AsSt_\lambda$ as $t \uparrow \omega$ if Definition 3 takes place and $S(t, \lambda, a, b) = o(1)$, $t \uparrow \omega$ (the global asymptotic λ -stability).

Definition 5. The d.e. (1) has the property $UnSt_\lambda$ as $t \uparrow \omega$, if the Definition 1 doesn't take place.

2. Auxiliary Results

Let us give transformations that help to obtain an estimate of the value $S(t, \lambda, a, b)$.

Lemma 1. The transformation

$$y = -i\lambda^{a+b-0,5}(x - \bar{x}), \quad y' = \lambda^{a+b}(x + \bar{x}), \tag{2}$$

reduces the d.e. (1) to the d.e. of the type

$$x' = \Phi(t, x), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Phi(t, x) \equiv \left[i\lambda^{0,5} - (a + b - 0, 25)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} \right] x - 0, 25\lambda'\lambda^{-1}\bar{x} + \sum_{s+k=2}^m B_{s,k}(t; a, b) x^s \bar{x}^k + \Phi^*(t, x),$$

$$\Phi^*(t, x) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-(a+b)} F^*[t, -i\lambda^{a+b-0,5}(x - \bar{x}), \lambda^{a+b}(x + \bar{x})], \quad |\Phi^*| \leq L^*(t; a, b) |x|^{m+\alpha}.$$

Proof is obvious.

Lemma 2. *The substitution*

$$x = \rho \exp(i\theta), \quad \rho = |x|, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (4)$$

reduces the d.e. (3) to the differential system (d.s.) of the type

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' &= -(a + b - 0,5 \cos^2 \theta) \lambda' \lambda^{-1} \rho + \sum_{s+k=2}^m \operatorname{Re} \left[B_{s,k}(t; a, b) \exp(i\theta(s-k-1)) \right] \rho^{s+k} + \Phi_1, \\ \theta' &= \lambda^{0,25} + 0,25 \lambda' \lambda^{-1} \sin 2\theta + \sum_{s+k=2}^m \operatorname{Im} \left[B_{s,k}(t; a, b) \exp(i\theta(s-k-1)) \right] \rho^{s+k-1} + \rho^{-1} \Phi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi_1 \equiv \operatorname{Re} [\Phi^* \exp(-i\theta)]$, $\Phi_2 \equiv \operatorname{Im} [\Phi^* \exp(-i\theta)]$, $|\Phi_s| \leq L^*(t; a, b) \rho^{m+\alpha}$, $s = 1, 2$.

Proof is obvious.

Remark 1. The following estimate

$$\lambda^{-0,25} \min\{\lambda^{a+0,25}, \lambda^{b-0,25}\} \rho \leq S(t, \lambda, a, b) \leq 2\lambda^{-0,25} (\lambda^{a+0,25} + \lambda^{b-0,25}) \rho \quad (6)$$

is implied by transformations (2), (4).

3. Main Results

It should be noted that the behavior of the value $S(t, \lambda, a, b)$ depends on solutions of the first d.e. of the d.s. (5), as implied by the estimate (6). To understand the behavior of these solutions we shall note that there is a group of terms which don't depend of θ . This allows to obtain the from the differential system (5) a d.e. of the type

$$\rho' = \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} \operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t; a, b) \rho^{2s+1}, \quad (7)$$

the behavior of all solutions of which can be investigated by using the method [2].

Theorem 1. *Let for the d.e. (1) there are $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \text{ among the functions } & \left[-2s \int_{t_0}^t \operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(\tau; a, b) d\tau \right]^{-\frac{1}{2s}}, \\ & \left[-\operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t; a, b) \operatorname{Re}^{-1} B_{k+1,k}(t; a, b) \right]^{\frac{1}{2(k-s)}}, \quad s \neq k, s, k = \overline{1, m_0}, \end{aligned}$$

there is $\phi(t; a, b) : \Delta \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$ such that there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(t; a, b) &\equiv \max\{(a + b) \lambda' \lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t; a, b) \phi^{-1}(t; a, b) - 0,5 |\lambda' \lambda^{-1}|, \\ &\quad \operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t; a, b) \phi^{2s}(t; a, b); s = \overline{1, m_0}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[-(a + b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} - \phi'(t; a, b)\phi^{-1}(t; a, b) + 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}]x + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} \operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t; a, b)\phi^{2s}(t; a, b)x^{2s+1}$$

$$\equiv \Lambda(t; a, b) \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} [B_{2s+1}(a, b) + o_{2s+1}(1)]x^{2s+1}, \quad B_{2s+1}(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}, \quad s = \overline{0, m_0};$$

2) there is $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $\sum_{s=0}^{m_0} B_{2s+1}(a, b)c_0^{2s+1} \in \mathbf{R}_-$, and

$$\phi^{-1}(t, a, b)G[t, a, b, \phi(t, a, b)c_0] = o(1), \quad t \uparrow \omega$$

$$\left(\sup_{t \in \Delta} \phi^{-1}(t, a, b)G[t, a, b, \phi(t, a, b)c_0] \leq - \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} B_{2s+1}(a, b)c_0^{2s+1} \right);$$

3) $\lambda^{-0,25}(\lambda^{a+0,25} + \lambda^{b-0,25})\phi(t; a, b) = o(1), \quad t \uparrow \omega.$

Then it has the property $AsSt_\lambda (G_\Delta AsSt_\lambda)$ as $t \uparrow \omega.$

Proof. For the first d.e. of the d.s. (5) we make the transformation

$$\rho = \phi x \tag{8}$$

which, under the condition 1), reduces it to a d.e. of the type

$$x' = \Lambda(t; a, b) \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} B_{2s+1}(a, b)x^{2s+1} + \phi^{-1}(t, a, b)G^*[t; a, b, \theta, \phi(t, a, b)x] \right\}, \tag{9}$$

where we have the estimate $|G^*[t; a, b, \theta, \phi(t, a, b)x]| \leq G[t, a, b, \phi(t, a, b)x]$ for all $\theta \in \mathbf{R}, t \in \Delta.$ Then, under the condition 2), there is $T_0 \in \Delta$ such that if $x = c_0$ for all $t \in [T_0, \omega],$ then we have the inequality $x' < 0.$ It means that any solution $x = x(t; t_0^*, \theta_0, x_0)$ of the d.e. (9) with a sufficiently small initial value x_0 is bounded for all $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \in [t_0^*, \omega], t_0^* \in [T_0, \omega].$

Using condition 3) and substitution (8) we see that smallness of the value x corresponds to smallness of the value $S(t, \lambda, a, b).$

Remark 2. It follows from condition 2) of Theorem 1 that the coefficients $\operatorname{Re} B_{s,k}(t, a, b),$ $s \neq k+1, s, k = \overline{1, m},$ of the first d.e. of the d.s. (5) must be small with respect to the coefficients $\operatorname{Re} B_{s+1,s}(t, a, b), s = \overline{1, s_0},$ of this d.e. If this condition doesn't hold, then the order of growth of these coefficients can be decreased if the method of nonlinear "frozen" transformations [3] is applied to the d.e. (4).

Lemma 3. Transformations (2) and

$$x = z + H(t, z, \bar{z}) \equiv z + \sum_{s+k}^m h_{sk} z^s \bar{z}^k, \quad z = \rho \exp(i\theta), \tag{10}$$

where ρ, θ are polar coordinates reduce the d.e. (1) to the d.s. of the type

$$\begin{aligned}\rho' &= -(a + b - 0,5 \cos^2 \theta) \lambda' \lambda^{-1} \rho + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t, a, b) \rho^{2s+1} + \Phi_1^*(t, a, b, \theta, \rho), \\ \theta' &= \lambda^{0,5} + \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} B_{2s+1}^*(t, a, b) \rho^{2s} + 0,25 \lambda' \lambda^{-1} \sin 2\theta + \Phi_2^*(t, a, b, \theta, \rho),\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

for which $A_{2s+1}(t, a, b)$, $B_{s_{k+1}}^*(t, a, b)$ are known real functions of t only, $s = \overline{1, m_0}$, $\Phi_k^*(t, \theta, \rho)$, $k = 1, 2$, are known real 2π -periodic in θ functions, $\Phi_k^*(t, \theta, 0) \equiv 0$, $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. First let us apply the transformation (2) to the d.e. (1). And next, the transformation (10) is applied to the obtained d.e. (1), where h_{rl} , $r + l = \overline{2, m}$, are defined such that the d.e. in z in the autonomous case will have the type $z' = i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}z$.

For the forms $H_k \equiv \sum_{r+l=k} h_{rl} z^r \bar{z}^l$, $k = \overline{2, m}$, we have the d.e.

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial H_k}{\partial t} + H_k - z \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial z} + \bar{z} \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{z}} &= i\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[F_k(t, z, \bar{z}) + \sum_{s=2}^{k-1} F_s \left(t, z + \sum_{j=2}^m H_j, \bar{z} + \sum_{j=2}^m \bar{G}_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left(F_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z} - \bar{F}_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left(H_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x} - \overline{H_{k-j+1}} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial \bar{x}} \right), \quad k = \overline{2, m},\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where $F_k(t, z, \bar{z}) \equiv \sum_{r+l=k} B_{r,l} z^r \bar{z}^l$, $k = \overline{2, m}$.

We can't solve the d.e. (12) exactly. Therefore we use the method of "frozen" t and replace this d.e. by an algebraic equation of the type

$$\begin{aligned}H_k - z \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial z} + \bar{z} \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \bar{z}} &= i\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[F_k(t, z, \bar{z}) + \sum_{s=2}^{k-1} F_s \left(t, z + \sum_{j=2}^m H_j, \bar{z} + \sum_{j=2}^m \bar{H}_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left(F_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x} - \bar{F}_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial \bar{x}} \right) \right] + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left(H_{k-j+1} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x} - \overline{H_{k-j+1}} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial \bar{x}} \right) \\ &\quad + z \sum_{s=2}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\partial H_s}{\partial x} \frac{\partial H_{k-s+2}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{H}_{k-s+2}}{\partial x} \right), \quad k = \overline{2, m}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

If in the right-hand side of the d.e. (13) we shall denote by A_{rl}^{**} the coefficient at $z^r \bar{z}^l$, then for h_{rl} , $r + l = k$, $k = \overline{2, m}$, we shall obtain the d.e. of the type

$$(1 - r + l)h_{rl} = A_{rl}^{**}, \quad r + l = k, \quad k = \overline{2, m}.\tag{14}$$

It's easy to understand that the d.e. (14) does not have a solution only if $r = l + 1$. In this case we define $h_{l+1,l} \equiv 0$.

As the result of applying transformations (2), (10) to the d.e. (1), the d.s. (10) will have a polynomial in ρ the coefficients of which depend only on t . This allows to select the d.e. of the type

$$\rho' = \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t, a, b)\rho^{2s+1}, \tag{15}$$

the behavior of regular solutions of which can determine λ -stability of the d.e. (1).

Since the substitution (10) has θ under the signs of \sin and \cos , to get conditions for properties $St_\lambda, AsSt_\lambda$ to hold for the d.e. (1), it is sufficient to investigate the properties of solutions of the first d.e. (11) relatively to ρ for any variation of $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 2. *Let for the d.e. (1) there are $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*
 1) *for the d.s. (11) there exists a solution of the Cauchy problem;*
 2) *among the functions*

$$\left[-2s \int_{t_0}^t A_{2s+1}(\tau, a, b)d\tau\right]^{-\frac{1}{2s}}, \left[-A_{2s+1}^{-1}(t, a, b)A_{2k+1}(t, a, b)\right]^{\frac{1}{2(s-r)}}, s, k = \overline{1, m_0}, s \neq k,$$

there exists $\phi(t, a, b) : \Lambda \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$ such that there is

$$\Lambda(t; a, b) = \max\{(a + b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t; a, b)\phi^{-1}(t; a, b) - 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}, A_{2s+1}(t; a, b)\phi^{2s}(t; a, b); s = \overline{1, m_0}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & - (a + b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} - \phi'(t; a, b)\phi^{-1}(t; a, b) + 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}]z + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t; a, b)\phi^{2s}(t; a, b)z^{2s+1} \\ & \equiv \Lambda(t; a, b) \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} \left[A_{2s+1}^*(a, b) + o_{2s+1}(1)\right]x^{2s+1}, A_{2s+1}^*(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}, s = \overline{0, m_0}; \end{aligned}$$

3) there exists a constant $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $\sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*(a, b)c_0^{2s+1} \in \mathbf{R}_-$ and

$$\Lambda^{-1}(t, a, b)\phi^{-1}(t, a, b)\Phi_1^*[t, a, b, \theta, \phi(t, a, b)c_0] = o(1), t \uparrow \omega, \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$\left(\sup_{t \in \Delta, \theta \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \Lambda^{-1}(t, a, b)\Phi_1^{**}(t, a, b, \theta) - \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*c_0^{2s+1} \right| < - \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*c_0^{2s+1}, \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{**}(t, a, b, \theta) \equiv & - [(a + b - 0,5 \cos^2 \theta) \lambda' \lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t, a, b) \phi^{-1}(t, a, b)] c_0 \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t, a, b) \phi^{2s}(t, a, b) c_0^{2s+1} \\ & + \phi^{-1}(t, a, b) \Phi_1^*[t, a, b, \theta, \phi(t, a, b) c_0]; \end{aligned}$$

4) $\lambda^{-0,25}(\lambda^{a+0,25} + \lambda^{b-0,25})\phi(t; a, b) = o(1)$, $h_{sk}(t, a, b)\phi^{s+k-1}(t, a, b) = O(1)$, $t \uparrow \omega$, $s+k = \overline{2, m}$.

Then it has the property $AsSt_\lambda (G_\Delta AsSt_\lambda)$ as $t \uparrow \omega$.

Proof. For the first d.e. of the d.e. (11) we apply the substitution $z = \phi(t, a, b)v$. And to the obtained d.e. for v we apply Theorem 1.

For the sake of clarity of the obtained results, let us consider the d.e. (1) in a special case. Let, for the d.e. (1),

$$\omega = +\infty, \quad m = 3, \quad \int^{+\infty} \lambda^{0,5} dt = +\infty, \tag{16}$$

and there are $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that there exist finite limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^{(k-1)(a+b)-0,5(s+1)} f_{s,k-s}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k, \quad k = 2, 3. \tag{17}$$

Then the substitution (2) transforms it into d.e. (3) for which

$$B_{20}(t, a, b) \equiv \overline{B}_{02}(t, a, b) \equiv 0, \quad 5\lambda^{a+b} (\lambda^{-1} f_{20} - i\lambda^{-0,5} f_{11} + f_{02}),$$

$$B_{11}(t, a, b) \equiv -\lambda^{a+b} (\lambda^{-1} f_{20} - f_{02}),$$

$$B_{30}(t, a, b) \equiv \overline{B}_{03}(t, a, b) \equiv 0, \quad 5\lambda^{2(a+b)} (i\lambda^{-1,5} f_{30} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21} - i\lambda^{-0,5} f_{12} + f_{03}),$$

$$B_{21}(t, a, b) \equiv \overline{B}_{12}(t, a, b) \equiv -0, \quad 5\lambda^{2(a+b)} (3i\lambda^{-1,5} f_{30} - \lambda^{-1} f_{21} + i\lambda^{-0,5} f_{12} - 3f_{03}).$$

Let us apply the transformation

$$x = z + H, \quad H \equiv H_2 + H_3, \quad H_k \equiv \sum_{s=0}^k h_{k-s,s}(t, a, b) z^{k-s} \overline{z}^s, \quad k = 2, 3, \tag{18}$$

to d.e. (3) where the forms H_2, H_3 are defined so that, in the autonomous case, the d.e. for z has the form $z' = i\lambda^{0,5}z$. Under this condition, the forms H_2, H_3 satisfy a d.e. of the form

$$-\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial t} + i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(H_2 - z \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial z} + \overline{z} \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial \overline{z}} \right) + \sum_{s=0}^2 B_{2-s,s} z^{2-s} \overline{z}^s = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial H_3}{\partial t} + i\lambda^{0,5} \left(H_3 - z\frac{\partial H_3}{\partial z} + \bar{z}\frac{\partial H_3}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) + \sum_{s=0}^3 B_{3-s,s} z^{3-s} \bar{z}^s \\
& + [\bar{B}_{02}h_{11} + B_{11}\bar{h}_{02} - i\lambda^{0,5}(2h_{20}^2 - h_{11}\bar{h}_{02})]z^3 \\
& + [B_{20}h_{11} + B_{11}\bar{h}_{11} - B_{11}h_{20} + 2B_{02}\bar{h}_{02} + \bar{B}_{11}h_{11} + 2\bar{B}_{02}h_{02} \\
& - i\lambda^{0,5}(2h_{11}h_{02} + h_{20}h_{11} - |h_{11}|^2) - 2|h_{02}|^2]z^2\bar{z} \\
& + [2B_{20}h_{02} + B_{11}\bar{h}_{20} + 2B_{02}\bar{h}_{11} - 2B_{02}h_{20} + \bar{B}_{20}h_{11} + 2\bar{B}_{11}h_{02} \\
& - i\lambda^{0,5}(h_{11}^2 + 2h_{20}h_{02} - \bar{h}_{20}h_{11} - 2\bar{h}_{11}h_{02})]z\bar{z}^2 \\
& + [B_{11}h_{02} + 2B_{02}\bar{h}_{20} - B_{02}h_{11} + 2\bar{B}_{20}h_{02} - i\lambda^{0,5}(h_{11}h_{02} - 2\bar{h}_{20}h_{02})]\bar{z}^3 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the method of the “frozen” t to the obtained d.e. we find the coefficients h_{rl} , $r + l = 2, 3$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{20}(t, a, b) & \equiv -0,5i\lambda^{a+b-0,5} \left(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{11} + f_{02} \right), \\
h_{11}(t, a, b) & \equiv -\lambda^{a+b-0,5} \left(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - f_{02} \right), \quad h_{02}(t, a, b) \equiv \frac{1}{3}\bar{h}_{20}(t, a, b), \\
h_{30}(t, a, b) & \equiv -0,25i\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5} \left(i\lambda^{-1,5}f_{30} - \lambda^{-1}f_{21} - i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{12} + f_{03} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{12}\lambda^{2(a+b)-1} \left(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - i\lambda^{-0,25}f_{11} + f_{02} \right) \left(4\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - 3i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{11} + 2f_{02} \right), \\
h_{21}(t, a, b) & \equiv 0, \\
h_{12}(t, a, b) & = -0,25i\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5} \left(3i\lambda^{-1,5}f_{30} - \lambda^{-1}f_{21} + i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{12} - 3f_{30} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{6}\lambda^{2(a+b)-1} \left(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} + i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{11} + f_{02} \right) \left(8\lambda^{-1}f_{20} + 5i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{11} - 12f_{20} \right), \\
h_{03}(t, a, b) & = 0,25i\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5} \left(i\lambda^{-1,5}f_{03} + \lambda^{-1}f_{21} - i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{12} - f_{03} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{12}\lambda^{2(a+b)-1} \left(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} + i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{11} + f_{02} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It is easy to see that, under the condition (17), the coefficients of the transformation (18) have the property: there exist finite limits $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_{sk} = h_{sk}^*$, $s + k = 2, 3$, where $h_{sk} - h_{sk}^* \equiv \omega_{sk} = o(1)$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $s + k = 2, 3$.

It means that there exists $z_0 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbf{\Delta}, |z| \leq z_0} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial z} \right| < 1,$$

i.e., the transformation (18) exists and it is nonsingular.

Then the d.e. (15) has the form

$$\rho' = \lambda^{0,5}A_3(t, a, b)\rho^3,$$

where

$$A_3(t, a, b) \equiv 0,5\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5}(\lambda^{-1}f_{21} + 3f_{03}) - \frac{1}{6}\lambda^{2(a+b)-1,5}f_{11}(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - f_{02}),$$

moreover under the condition (17) there exists the finite limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} A_3^* = A_3^0$. Therefore under the condition $A_3^0 < 0$, the function $\phi(t, a, b)$ from Theorem 2 has the form

$$\phi(t, a, b) \equiv \psi(t) \equiv \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau\right)^{-0,5}.$$

From the condition (16) it follows that $\phi(t) = o(1)$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

The function $\Phi_1^*(t, a, b, \theta, \rho)$ from d.s. (11) has the form

$$\Phi_1^*(t, a, b, \theta, \rho) \equiv \operatorname{Re} [\exp(i\theta)\Psi(t, a, b, \theta, \rho)] - A_3^0\lambda^{0,5}\rho^3 + \left(a + b - \frac{1}{2}\cos^2\theta\right)\lambda'\lambda^{-1}\rho,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, a, b, \theta, \rho) &\equiv \left(1 + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial z}\right)^{-1} \Bigg|_{z=\rho \exp(i\theta)} \\ &\times \left\{ \left[\Psi^*(t, a, b, z + H) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right] \left(1 + \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \bar{z}}\right) \right. \\ &\left. - \left[\bar{\Psi}^*(t, a, b, z + H) - \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial t} \right] \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\} \Bigg|_{z=\rho \exp(i\theta)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi^*(t, a, b, x) \equiv [i\lambda^{0,25} - (a + b - 0,25)\lambda'\lambda^{-1}]x - 0,25\lambda'\lambda^{-1}\bar{x} + \sum_{s+k=2}^3 B_{sk}(t; a, b)x^s\bar{x}^k.$$

Let us assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(t, a, b) &\equiv \Lambda(t) = -A_3^0\lambda^{0,5} \left(2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau - 1\right)^{-1} \\ &\equiv \max_i \left\{ [(a + b)\lambda' - 0,5|\lambda'|]\lambda^{-1} - A_3^0\lambda^{0,5} \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau\right)^{-1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. A_3^0\lambda^{0,5} \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau\right)^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then under the condition of existence of the finite limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda'\lambda^{-1,5} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau = B_0 \geq 0,$$

making the transformation $\rho = \phi(t)x$ we obtain a differential inequality for x in the form

$$x' \leq \frac{A_3^0}{2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau - 1} \left\{ \left[-(a+b) \frac{B_0}{A_3^0} + 0,5 \frac{|B_0|}{A_3^0} + 1 \right] x - x^3 + \Psi^{**}(t, a, b, x) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Note that if, for example, $\lambda = Bt^\sigma$, $B = \text{const} > 0$, then $B_0 = \sqrt{B} > 0$. If, for example, $\lambda = B \ln t$, then $B_0 = 0$.

The positive constant c_0 can be found from the inequality

$$c_0^2 > 1 - (a+b) \frac{B_0}{A_3^0} + 0,5 \frac{|B_0|}{A_3^0}.$$

For condition 4) of Theorem 2 to hold, we must require that $\Psi^{**}(t, a, b, c_0) = o(1)$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$ in (20). The latter condition is fulfilled if

$$(\omega'_{2-s,s})^2 \lambda^{-1} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau = o(1), \quad \omega'_{3-k,k} \lambda^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \quad k = 0, 2, 3,$$

$$\lambda^{(a+b-0,5-1)(m+\alpha)-1} (\lambda^{-0,5} + \lambda^{0,5})^{m+\alpha} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau \right)^{-0,5\alpha} L = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If we consider the behavior of the so-called tail, i.e., the terms powers of which in x equal 4, ..., 9 and which are contained in the function Ψ^{**} , then their coefficients with the factor $\Lambda^{-1}(t)$ disappear for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and x fixed. For example, at x^4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{20} \phi^3(t) \Lambda^{-1} \\ &= 0,5 \lambda^{a+b-0,5} (\lambda^{-1} f_{20} - i \lambda^{-0,5} f_{11} + f_{02}) \lambda^{0,5} \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau \right)^{-1,5} \\ & \quad \times \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau \right) (A_3^0)^{-1} \lambda^{-0,5} \\ &= i (A_3^0)^{-1} h_{20} \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau \right)^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{30}\phi^3(t)\Lambda^{-1} \\ &= 0,5\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5}(i\lambda^{-1,5}f_{30} - \lambda^{-1}f_{21} - i\lambda^{-0,5}f_{12} + f_{03})\lambda^{0,5}\left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)^{-1,5} \times \left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)(A_3^0)^{-1}\lambda^{-0,5} \\ &= 2i(A_3^0)^{-1}h_{30}\left(1 - 2A_3^0 \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(\omega'_{2-s,s})^2\lambda^{-1} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau = o(1), \quad \omega'_{3-k,k}\lambda^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \quad k = 0, 2, 3,$$

$$\lambda^{(a+b-0,5)(m+\alpha)}\lambda^{0,5}L\left(\int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)^{-0,5\alpha} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We formulate the obtained results as the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let the d.e. (1) be such that*

$$1) \quad \omega = +\infty, \quad m = 3, \quad \int_{+\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{0,5}dt = +\infty, \quad \text{the limit } \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda'\lambda^{-1,5} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau < +\infty \text{ exists,}$$

and it's possible to find $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that there exist finite limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^{(k-1)(a+b)-0,5(s+1)}f_{s,k-s}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k, \quad k = 2, 3,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [\lambda^{2(a+b)-0,5}(\lambda^{-1}f_{21} + 3f_{03}) - \frac{1}{6}\lambda^{2(a+b)-1,5}f_{11}(\lambda^{-1}f_{20} - f_{02})] \in \mathbf{R}_-,$$

$$(h'_{2-s,s})^2\lambda^{-1} \int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau = o(1), \quad h'_{3-k,k}\lambda^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \quad k = 0, 2, 3$$

($h_{sk}, s + k = 2, 3$, are defined by formulas (19)),

$$\lambda^{(a+b-0,5)(m+\alpha-1)-1}(\lambda^{-0,5} + \lambda^{0,5})^{m+\alpha}\left(\int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)^{-0,5\alpha} L = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty;$$

$$2) \quad \lambda^{-0,5}(\lambda^{a+0,5} + \lambda^{b-0,5})\left(\int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5}d\tau\right)^{-0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then it has the property $AsSt_\lambda$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 4. Let for the d.e. (I) there exist $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that
1) for the d.s. (11) there is a solution of the Cauchy problem;

2) among the functions

$$\left[-2s \int_{t_0}^t A_{2s+1}(\tau, a, b) d\tau\right]^{-\frac{1}{2s}}, \left[-A_{2s+1}^{-1}(t, a, b)A_{2k+1}(t, a, b)\right]^{\frac{1}{2(s-r)}}, s, k = \overline{1, m_0}, s \neq k,$$

there is $\phi(t, a, b) : \Lambda \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$ such that there exists

$$\Lambda(t; a, b) = \maxi \left\{ (a+b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t; a, b)\phi^{-1}(t; a, b) + 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. A_{2s+1}(t; a, b)\phi^{2s}(t; a, b); s = \overline{1, m_0} \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -[(a+b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t; a, b)\phi^{-1}(t; a, b) + 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}]z \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t; a, b)\phi^{2s}(t; a, b)z^{2s+1} \\ & \equiv \Lambda(t; a, b) \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} [A_{2s+1}^*(a, b) + o_{2s+1}(1)]x^{2s+1}, \\ & \int^{\omega} \Lambda dt = +\infty, A_{2s+1}^*(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}, s = \overline{0, m_0}; \end{aligned}$$

3) there exists a constant $c_0 \in]0, 1]$ such that for all $t \in \Delta$, $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, $z \in]0, c_0]$,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*(a, b)z^{2s+1} > 0, \\ \left| \Lambda^{-1}(t, a, b)\Phi_1^{**}[t, a, b, \theta, z] - \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*(a, b)z^{2s+1} \right| < \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}^*(a, b)z^{2s+1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{**}(t, a, b, \theta, z) & \equiv -[(a+b)\lambda'\lambda^{-1} + \phi'(t, a, b)\phi^{-1}(t, a, b) + 0, 5|\lambda'|\lambda^{-1}]z \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(t, a, b)\phi^{2s}(t, a, b)z^{2s+1} \\ & + \phi^{-1}(t, a, b)\Phi_1^*[t, a, b, \theta, \phi(t, a, b)z]; \end{aligned}$$

4) for any $\alpha_0 \in]0, c_0]$ there is a constant $l(\alpha_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$\inf_{t \in \Delta, \theta \in \mathbf{R}, z \in [\alpha_0, c_0]} \left| z \exp(i\theta) + \sum_{s+k=2}^m h_{sk}(t, a, b) \phi^{s+k-1}(t, a, b) \exp[i\theta(s-k)] z^{s+k} \right| \geq l(\alpha_0),$$

$$\sup_{t \in \Delta, \theta \in \mathbf{R}, z \in [\alpha_0, c_0]} \Lambda^{-1}(t, a, b) |\Phi_1^{**}[t, a, b, \theta, z]| < \inf_{z \in [\alpha_0, c_0]} \sum_{s=0}^{m_0} A_{2s+1}(a, b) z^{2s+1};$$

$$5) \lambda^{0,25} (\lambda^{a+0,25} + \lambda^{b-0,25})^{-1} \phi^{-1}(t; a, b) = o(1).$$

Then it has the property $UnSt_\lambda$ as $t \uparrow \omega$.

Proof. Let us use the left-hand side of inequality (6). In the first d.e. of d.s. (11) we use the substitution $z = \phi(t, a, b)v$. Then we apply Chetaev's theorem [4, p. 199] to the obtained d.e. in v .

To clarify the obtained results we give, for example, the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let for the d.e. (1) the condition 1) of Theorem 3 be fulfilled and

$$\lambda^{0,5} (\lambda^{a+0,5} + \lambda^{b-0,5})^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \lambda^{0,5} d\tau \right)^{0,5} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then it has the property $UnSt_\lambda$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. is reduced to a check of conditions of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

1. Fedoryuk M.V. Asymptotic Methods for Linear Ordinary Differential Equations [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1983).
2. Kostin L.L. Asymptotics of Regular Solutions of Ordinary Differential Equation [in Russian], PhD Thesis, Kiev (2002).
3. Kostin L.V. and Vitrichenko I.E. "A generalization of the Lyapunov theorem on convergence for a single nonzero characteristic exponent for nonautonomous system," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **264**, No. 4, 819–822 (1982).
4. Malkin I.G. A Theory of Motion Stability [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1966).

Received 01.08.2001