

UDC 517.9

I. A. Vestfrid (Haifa, Israel)

ISOMETRIC EMBEDDING OF SOME METRIC SPACES  
IN  $l_p$ -SPACES

ІЗОМЕТРИЧНЕ ВКЛАДЕННЯ ДЕЯКИХ МЕТРИЧНИХ  
ПРОСТОРІВ У  $l_p$ -ПРОСТОРИ

We present a generalization of the Fichet result who proved in 1988 that every ultrametric space consisting of  $n$  points is embedded isometrically in  $l_p^{n-1}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

Наведено узагальнення результату Фічета, який у 1988 р. довів, що кожний ультраметричний простір, що складається з  $n$  точок, ізометрично вкладений у  $l_p^{n-1}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

Embeddability is a central theme in modern mathematics, common to various different fields such as set theory, topology, algebra and functional analysis. For instance, solving the inverse problem for the  $\varepsilon$ -entropy (see [1, p. 690] for formulations) Timan and Kreĭnoviĭ [2 – 4] showed that this problem can be partially reduced to an isometric embedding of some ultrametric compact sets into Banach spaces with a previously chosen norm. These papers also contain results on such embedding into the spaces  $l_p$  and  $L_p$  for  $p \geq 1$ , as well as into  $C$ . The author [5 – 7] showed that every separable ultrametric space is isometrically embeddable in  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  and  $c_0$ .

Recall that a metric space  $(R, \rho)$  is said to be *ultrametric* if the triangle inequality is satisfied in the stronger form

$$\rho(x, y) \leq \max\{\rho(x, z), \rho(y, z)\}.$$

An important class of ultrametric spaces is obtained from non-Archimedean valuations over fields. For instance, the  $p$ -adic valuation  $|\cdot|_p$  over the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  satisfies  $|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$  and the corresponding distance  $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|_p$  is ultrametric.

The same problem on isometric embedding of ultrametric spaces, as well as of some other metric spaces which are their generalizations, also arises in taxonomy, psychology, theoretical physics, theoretical chemistry etc. So for example, for needs of data analysis Fichet [8] proved that every finite ultrametric space  $R$  embeds isometrically in  $l_p^{|R|-1}$ , whenever  $p \geq 1$ . (Here and later on we denote by  $|A|$  the cardinality of the set  $A$ .)

Here we continue this investigation and give the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Every countable metric space  $R = R(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  with a metric  $\rho$ , which satisfies the following conditions  $\forall 0 \leq j \leq m \leq k \leq i$ :*

- $\rho^p(a_j, a_0) - \rho^p(a_m, a_0) \leq \rho^p(a_j, a_i) - \rho^p(a_m, a_i)$ ;



Since  $\psi(t; x_1^1)$  strictly increases on  $[0, x_1^1]$  and in virtue of (1), the equation

$$\psi(t; x_1^1) = d_{20} - d_{12}$$

has one and only one real solution  $x_2^1$ ; besides  $0 \leq x_2^1 < x_1^1$ . It follows from (2) that if  $\varphi(x_2^1) \geq d_{20}$ , then  $\varphi(x_1^1 - x_2^1) \geq d_{12}$  and

$$d_{20} + d_{12} \leq \varphi(x_2^1) + \varphi(x_1^1 - x_2^1) < \varphi(x_1^1) = d_{10}.$$

But this contradicts condition 2. Hence,  $\varphi(x_2^1) < d_{20}$  and, consequently, there exists one and only one  $x_2^2 > 0$  such that

$$\varphi(x_2^2) = d_{20} - \varphi(x_2^1).$$

Proceed by induction and suppose that the lemma assertion is valid for the system

$$\varphi(x_1^1) = d_{10},$$

$$\varphi(x_2^1) + \varphi(x_2^2) = d_{20},$$

$$\varphi(x_1^1 - x_2^1) + \varphi(x_2^2) = d_{21},$$

(II)

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s) = d_{n-1,0},$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^i \varphi(x_i^s - x_{n-1}^s) + \sum_{s=i+1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s) = d_{n-1,i}, \quad i < n-1,$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \varphi(x_{n-2}^s - x_{n-1}^s) + \varphi(x_{n-1}^{n-1}) = d_{n-1,n-2},$$

$$x_i^i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

We shall prove that the system

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \varphi(x_n^s) = d_{n,0},$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^i \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s) + \sum_{s=i+1}^n \varphi(x_n^s) = d_{n,i}, \quad i < n,$$

(III)

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s - x_n^s) + \varphi(x_n^n) = d_{n,n-1},$$

$$x_n^n \geq 0,$$

has one and only one real solution  $\{x_n^s\}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , and this solution satisfies the following conditions:

$$0 \leq x_n^s \leq x_{n-1}^s \quad \text{for } s < n-1, \quad 0 \leq x_n^{n-1} < x_{n-1}^{n-1}, \quad x_n^n > 0.$$

Indeed, it follows from (III) that

$$\varphi(x_n^1) - \varphi(x_1^1 - x_n^1) = d_{n0} - d_{n1}.$$

By properties of the function  $\psi(t; x_1^i)$ , the equation

$$\psi(t; x_1^i) \equiv \varphi(t) - \varphi(x_1^i - t) = d_{n0} - d_{n1}$$

has one and only one real root  $x_n^1$ , and it is nonnegative. In addition, by condition 3, we have

$$\psi(x_n^1; x_1^i) \equiv d_{n0} - d_{n1} \leq d_{n-1,0} - d_{n-1,1},$$

and by (II),

$$\psi(x_{n-1}^1; x_1^i) = d_{n-1,0} - d_{n-1,1}.$$

Hence,  $x_n^1 \leq x_{n-1}^1$ .

Now suppose that for a given  $i \leq n-1$ , we have already  $x_n^1, \dots, x_n^{i-1}$ , satisfying system (III), have the uniqueness of such solution and that  $0 \leq x_n^k \leq x_{n-1}^k$  for any  $k \leq i-1$ . Then it follows from (III) that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s)] + \psi(x_n^i; x_i^i) = d_{n0} - d_{ni}.$$

By virtue of conditions 2 and 3 and properties of  $\varphi(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s)] + \psi(0; x_i^i) = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s) + \varphi(x_i^s)] - d_{i0} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_{i-1}^s - x_n^s) + \varphi(x_{i-1}^s)] - d_{i0} = \\ &= d_{n0} - d_{n,i-1} + d_{i-1,0} - d_{i0} \leq d_{n0} - d_{ni} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s)] + \psi(x_i^i; x_i^i) = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s) - \varphi(x_{i-1}^s - x_i^s)] + d_{i,i-1} > \\ &> \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_{i-1}^s - x_n^s)] + d_{i,i-1} = d_{n0} - d_{n,i-1} + d_{i,i-1} \geq d_{n0} - d_{ni}. \end{aligned}$$

By properties of  $\psi(t; x_i^i)$ , the equation

$$\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s)] + \psi(t; x_i^i) = d_{n0} - d_{ni}$$

has one and only one real root  $x_n^i$ , and it is nonnegative. By (II), (III), condition 3 and properties of  $\varphi(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq d_{n-1,i} - d_{n,i} - d_{n-1,i-1} + d_{n,i-1} = \\ &= \varphi(x_n^i) - \varphi(x_{n-1}^i) + \varphi(x_i^i - x_{n-1}^i) - \varphi(x_i^i - x_n^i) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \left[ \varphi(x_{i-1}^s - x_n^s) - \varphi(x_{i-1}^s - x_{n-1}^s) - \varphi(x_i^s - x_n^s) + \varphi(x_i^s - x_{n-1}^s) \right] \geq \\
& \geq \psi(x_n^i; x_i^i) - \psi(x_{n-1}^i; x_i^i).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $x_n^i \leq x_{n-1}^i$ .

It follows from the equality

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_n^s) - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s - x_n^s) = d_{n0} - d_{n,n-1}$$

that if  $\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_n^s) \geq d_{n0}$ , then  $\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s - x_n^s) \geq d_{n,n-1}$  and

$$d_{n0} + d_{n,n-1} \leq \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} [\varphi(x_n^s) + \varphi(x_{n-1}^s - x_n^s)] < \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_{n-1}^s) = d_{n-1,0}.$$

But this contradicts condition 2. Hence

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_n^s) < d_{n0}.$$

Consequently, there exists one and only one nonnegative root  $x_n^n$  of the equation

$$\varphi(t) = d_{n0} - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \varphi(x_n^s)$$

and  $x_n^n > 0$ . It completes the proof.

**Remark 1.** Consider the function  $\varphi(t) = t^2$ . Then by Lemma 1, any symmetric matrix  $D = (d_{ij})$ , which satisfies conditions 1–3, is a matrix of squared distances for a linear independent system of points in a real Hilbert space. Consequently, the matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$ , where  $b_{ij} = d_{i0} + d_{j0} - d_{ij}$ , is positive semi-definite [9], and, by (\*), it is positive definite. Thus, we have another proof of Lemma 1 from [7].

**Remark 2.** Obviously, if we omit in the above lemma condition (c), require  $\varphi(t)$  be not identically zero and strengthen condition 2 as follows:

$$d_{i0} - d_{k0} < d_{mi} - d_{mk}, \quad (2')$$

then the lemma assertion remains valid. Furthermore, if we replace all strict inequalities by nonstrict inequalities, then system (I) has a real solution, but possibly more than one.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider the function  $\varphi(t) = |t|^p$ , which satisfies conditions (a) and (b). Then the proof follows immediately from Remark 2.

Now we shall show that Theorem 1 implies Fichet's result mentioned above.

Indeed, it is shown in [7] that one can enumerate any finite ultrametric space  $R$  so that  $R = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and

$$\forall 0 \leq i < k < j \leq n \quad \rho(x_i, x_j) = \max\{\rho(x_i, x_k), \rho(x_k, x_j)\}.$$

We then enumerate this anew:

$$a_0 = x_0, \quad a_i = x_{n-i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we have

$$\forall 1 \leq j < k < i \leq n \quad \rho(a_j, a_i) = \max\{\rho(a_j, a_k), \rho(a_k, a_i)\}, \quad (3)$$