

**ON STABILIZATION OF SOLUTIONS
OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM
FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS ON THE NETS**

© V. DENISOV

Moscow, Russia

ABSTRACT. This note is devoted to study sufficient conditions for stabilization of the difference $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(x, t) - v(x, t)| = 0$, $x \in S$ — nets, where $u(x, t)$ is solution of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equation which is definite on $S \times [0, +\infty)$, S — nets in E^N and $v(x, t)$ is solution of the Cauchy problem with averaged constant matrix which is definite in all point $x \in E^N$, $t \geq 0$.

1. Definitions and the statement of the problems. In the Euclidean space E^N ($N \geq 2$) we consider the net S , which is a union at all of the lines, parallel to coordinate axes, and knots of network (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N) , $n_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, ($i = 1, \dots, N$). From this it follows that S is a union of edges of a unit cubes $\square_i = \{i \leq x_k \leq i + 1, i \in \mathbf{Z}, k = 1, \dots, N\}$. Under μ we define the linear Lebesgue measure on S , (that is μ is linear measure on the edges of cubes), with normalizing coefficient $1/N$.

In the half space $\{t \geq 0\} \equiv \{x \in S, t \geq 0\}$ we consider the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations of divergence form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u), (x, t) \in \{t > 0\}, \\ u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), x \in S \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where we assume that the real function $a(x)$ is defined on S for all $t > 0$ and is periodic on each variables with period 1 and satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in E^N. \quad (2)$$

The symbol ∇ desinate here the differential operator on the net S , which is coincident with $\partial/\partial x_i$ on lines x_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) parallel to axis x_i .

Also we assume that the initial function $\varphi(x)$ is definite on the net S and is bounded function on S .

The Cauchy problem (1) we understand in usual weak sense, that is in sense of integral identity:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_S u \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} d\mu dt + \int_S \varphi(x)\eta(x, 0) d\mu = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_S (a\nabla u, \nabla \eta) d\mu dt, \quad (3)$$

for all functions $\eta(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\{t > 0\})$ where function $u(x, t)$ is definite on $\{t > 0\}$ and belong to $L^2\{S \times [0, T], d\mu \cdot dt\} \forall T > 0$, and $\nabla u(x, t) \in L^2\{S \times [0, T], d\mu \cdot dt\} \forall T > 0$.

Key words and phrases. Heat equation, Parabolic equation, Cauchy problem, Stabilization, Nets, Knots, Average theory.

This work was supported by Russian Grant no. 99-01-00072.

The solutions of the problem (1) we takes from class of uniqueness, that is solutions is bounded in each strip $\{0 < t \leq T\} \equiv \{S \times (0, T]\}$.

2. **Example.** If $a(x) = 1$, $N = 2$, then the problem (1) we can interpret in the following equivalence sense

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u, & (x, t) \in \{t > 0\}, \\ u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), & x \in S, \end{cases} \quad (1')$$

where we assume that

1) S is the usual square net on the plane \mathbb{E}^2 with natural linear measure $\mu\{i \leq x_1 \leq i+1, j \leq x_2 \leq j+1\}$ on edges of square;

2) $u(x, t)$ is continuous function on net S together with knots (n_1, n_2) , $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$;

3) on horizontal and vertical units function $u(x, t)$ have first and second derivative, with is square integrable.

4) derivative du/dx_1 (on horizontal units), du/dx_2 (on vertical units) they can have discontinuity on nodes of network, but a jump of derivative $du/dx_1 +$ jump of derivative $du/dx_2 = 0$ in each nodes of network.

Under this conditions we have, that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{d^2 u}{dx_1^2} & \text{on horizontal unit, } t > 0, \\ \frac{d^2 u}{dx_2^2} & \text{on vertical unit, } t > 0, \end{cases} \\ u|_t = \varphi(x), & x \in S, \end{cases} \quad (1')$$

$\varphi(x)$ is bounded initial function on net S .

For this definition of Laplace operator Δ on net S see [1].

Together with problem (1) we consider usual Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^0}{\partial t} = L^0 u^0, & (x, t) : x \in \mathbb{E}^N, t > 0 \\ u^0|_{t=0} = \tilde{\varphi}(x), & x \in \mathbb{E}^N, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $L^0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}^0 \partial^2 / (\partial x_i \partial x_j)$, $\|a_{ij}^0\|_{N \times N}$ — so called averaged matrix with constant coefficient [2], $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ — is bounded initial function on \mathbb{E}^N .

The averaged matrix $a^0 = \|a_{ij}^0\|_{N \times N}$ is also simmetric and satisfies the elliptic conditions

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}^0 \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda |\xi|^2, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The initial function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ in (4) is fulfillment of initial function $\varphi(x)$ in (1) on S .

We assume that fulfillment function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ $x \in \mathbb{E}^N$, is bounded and satisfies conditions

$$\int_{\square^i} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\square^i} \tilde{\varphi}(x) dx \quad (5)$$

on each cell $\square^i = \{i \leq x_k \leq i+1; k = 1, \dots, N, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

We have the following assertions

THEOREM 1. *The solutions of the Cauchy problems (1), (4) satisfies the following property: exist the limit of difference*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(x, t) - u^0(x, t)) = 0, \quad (6)$$

on each $x \in S$.

From this closeness theorem we can obtain the criterium for stabilizations of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (1).

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in S$$

from well known pointwise criterium of stabilization of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (4).

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u^0(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in S \subset \mathbf{E}^N$$

(see [3]-[6]).

THEOREM 2. *If the fulfilment function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ in (4) is connected with initial function $\varphi(x)$ in (1) by conditions (5), then the solutions $u(x, t)$ of the Cauchy problem (1) stabilizes on S*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in S$$

if and only if the following limit of ellipcoidal averaged value of initial function $\varphi(x)$ exist

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_N \mathbf{R}^N} \int_{(B_{\mu, \nu}) \leq \mathbf{R}^2} \varphi(y) d(\mu) = 0,$$

where B — in inverse matrix for averaged matrix a^0 , γ_N — is volume of the inut ellipsoid in \mathbf{E}^N .

3. Outline of proofs. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the compressed net S_ε with variables x/ε , $x \in S$ and definite the Cauchy problem for parabolic equation (1)

$$\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(a^\varepsilon(x) \nabla u^\varepsilon), \quad (1_\varepsilon)$$

with initial function

$$u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = f^\varepsilon(x), \quad f(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$$

Applying the real Laplace transform to solution of the problem (1_ε) on variable $t > 0$, we obtain following problem in $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\varepsilon)$

$$-\operatorname{div}(a^\varepsilon(x) w^\varepsilon) + p w^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon, \quad p > 0, \quad (7)$$

where $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\varepsilon)$ is a closure of functions $w \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$ in the norm

$$\|w\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\varepsilon)} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{E}^N} (|w^\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla w^\varepsilon|^2) d\mu^\varepsilon \right]^{1/2}$$

and $w^\varepsilon(x, p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} u^\varepsilon(x, t) dt$, $p > 0$ the Laplace transform of function $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$. Applying the well-known average theorem 6.3 from [1], we obtain, that the solution w^ε of the problem (7) satisfies the following limit relating: for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$ the limit exists

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) w^\varepsilon(x, p) d\mu^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) w^0(x, p) dx \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} [w^\epsilon(x, p)]^2 d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} [w^0(x, p)]^2 dx \quad (9)$$

where w^0 is the solution of the average problem in $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{E}^N, dx)$

$$-\operatorname{div}(a^0 \nabla w^0) + p w^0 = f^0, \quad (10)$$

where a^0 is average constant matrix, $f^0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$.

After that we can to apply the well-known Trotter-Kato theorem [7], which imply that the following limit exist

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) u^\epsilon(x, t) d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) v^0(x, t) dx, \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N) \quad (11)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} [u^\epsilon(x, t)]^2 d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} [v^0(x, t)]^2 dx, \quad \forall \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N) \quad (12)$$

for any fixed $t > 0$, where v^0 is the solution of the Cauchy problem (4) with average matrix a^0 and initial function $f^0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$.

This is main property which we can obtain from standard average theory. But in order to prove theorem 1 we must to bring some refinements in average theory. We have following result

THEOREM 3. *If initial function f in the Cauchy problem (1_ϵ) satisfies limit condition $f^\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\epsilon)$ and the following limit exist*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} f^\epsilon(x) \eta(x) d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} f^0(x) \eta(x) dx \quad (13)$$

for any $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$, then the following limit exist

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^\epsilon(x, t) \eta(x) d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^0(x, t) \eta(x) dx, \quad t > 0 \quad (14)$$

for any $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$, where $u^0(x, t)$ is the solutions of the Cauchy problem (4), $t > 0$, with initial function $u^0(x, 0) = f^0(x)$:

$$\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial t} = L^0 u^0, \quad u^0|_{t=0} = f^0(x). \quad (15)$$

Proof of the theorem 3. Let us assume that limit conditions (13) holds for any function $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$. From hypothesis $|f^\epsilon(x)| < M$ it follows that exists subsequence $\{f^\epsilon\}$, which is weakly convergence to f^0 ($f^\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f^0$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\epsilon)$).

Now by applying Green formula for solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} = L u^\epsilon, \quad u^\epsilon|_{t=0} = f^\epsilon(x) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial v^\epsilon}{\partial t} = L^0 v^\epsilon, \quad v^\epsilon|_{t=0} = \eta(x), \quad (17)$$

where $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$, we have, that following equality

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^\epsilon(x, t_0) \eta(x) d\mu^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} v^\epsilon(x, t_0) f^\epsilon(x) d\mu^\epsilon, \quad t_0 > 0 \quad (18)$$

holds. Passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the left of (18) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^\varepsilon(x, t_0) \eta(x) d\mu^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^*(x, t_0) \eta(x) dx, \quad (19)$$

where $u^*(x, t_0)$ — some limit point (in weak seance) of sequence $u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)$. Applying the Trotter-Kato theorem [7] in right of (16) we have that following limit exist

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} v^\varepsilon(x, t_0) f^\varepsilon(x) d\mu^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} v^0(x, t_0) f^0(x) dx, \quad (20)$$

where $v^0(x, t)$ is the solution of the average Cauchy problem (17). From (19), (20) it follows that for any $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^*(x, t_0) \eta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} v^0(x, t_0) f^0(x) dx. \quad (21)$$

Applying Green formula in the right side of (21), we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^*(x, t_0) \eta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} u^0(x, t_0) \eta(x) dx, \quad (22)$$

for any $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{E}^N)$, $t_0 > 0$. From last equality it is easy to see that

$$u^*(x, t_0) = u^0(x, t_0), \quad t_0 > 0$$

where $u^0(x, t_0)$ is the solutions of the Cauchy problem (15).

Theorem 3 is proved.

The following statement play very important role in the proof of theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *If initial fulfillment function $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ in the Cauchy problem (4) and initial function $\varphi(x)$ in the Cauchy problem (1) satisfies property (5), than limit exist*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) \varphi^\varepsilon(x) d\mu^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) \varphi^0(x) dx \quad (23)$$

if and only if the following limit exist

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) \tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} \eta(x) \varphi^0(x) dx \quad (24)$$

for any $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

The proof of lemma 1 is straightforward and is left to reader. For proof theorem 1 we consider two Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial t} = Lu^\varepsilon, \quad u^\varepsilon \Big|_{t=0} = \varphi^\varepsilon(x), \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\partial v^\varepsilon}{\partial t} = L^0 v^\varepsilon, \quad v^\varepsilon \Big|_{t=0} = \tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon(x), \quad (26)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon(x)$ is some fulfillment of initial function $\varphi(x)$, and conditions (5) are holds. Now we put $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$, $t > 0$. From condition (5) and lemma 1 it follows that the sequences $\{\varphi^\varepsilon(x)\}$ and $\{\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon(x)\}$ have the same weak limit:

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi^0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\varepsilon),$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi^0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbf{E}^N, d\mu^\varepsilon).$$

It is known [8] that solution $\{u^\varepsilon(x, t)\}$ of the Cauchy problem satisfies uniform Holder conditions, with constant which does not depend on ε . From this condition and theorem 3 it follows that following limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}}(0, 1) = u^0(0, 1) \quad (27)$$

exist. Now we must to apply Poisson formula for solution of the Cauchy problem (26) with constant coefficient, i. e.

$$v^\varepsilon(0, 1) = \int_{\mathbf{E}^N} K_0(x, 0, 1) \tilde{\varphi}(\varepsilon^{-1}x) dx$$

where $K_0(x, y, t)$ is fundamental solutions of (28). Passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}}(0, 1) = u^0(0, 1). \quad (28)$$

From (27), (28) it follows that theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of the theorem 1 is omitted, and it follows straightforward from theorem 1 and well known criterium of stabilization of the solution of the Cauchy problem for heat equation [3].

After this proof the theorem 2 may be made very easy as in the book [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhikov V.V., *Connectivity and averaging. Examples of fractal conductivity*, Mat. Sbornik. 187 (1996), 3-40. (Russian)
- [2] Zhikov V.V., Kozlov S.M. and Oleinik O.A., *Homogenization of differential operators*, Moscow, Nauka, 1993. (Russian)
- [3] Kamin S., *On stabilization of solutions of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, sect. A 76 (1976), 43-53.
- [4] Zhikov V.V., *On stabilization of solutions for parabolic equations*, Mat. Sbornik 104 (1977), 597-616. (Russian)
- [5] Denisov V.N., *On asymptotic of the Cauchy problem for Thermal Conductivity Equation for large times*, Doklady Acad. Nauk of Russia 340 (1995), 736-738. (Russian)
- [6] Denisov V.N., *Asymptotic of the Solution to the Dirichlet problem for Elliptic Equations*, Doklady Acad. Nauk of Russia 340 (1995), 587-588. (Russian)
- [7] Kato T., *Theory of perturbation of linear operators*, Moscow, Nauka, 1975.
- [8] Merkov A.V., *The heat equation on graph*, Uspehi Matematicheskikh Nauk 42 (1987), 213-214. (Russian)

119899 DEP. COMPUT. MATH. AND CYBERNETICS,
MSU, VOROBIEVY GORY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: V.Denisov@g23.relcom.ru