

SOLVABILITY OF BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS*

РОЗВ'ЯЗУВАНІСТЬ КРАЙОВИХ ЗАДАЧ ДЛЯ НЕЛІНІЙНИХ ДРОБОВИХ ДИФЕРЕНЦІАЛЬНИХ РІВНЯНЬ

We consider the existence of nontrivial solutions of boundary-value problem for the nonlinear fractional differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^\alpha u(t) + \lambda[f(t, u(t)) + q(t)] &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) &= \beta u(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\beta\eta^{\alpha-1} \neq 1$, \mathbf{D}^α is the Riemann–Liouville differential operator of order α , and $f: (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, f may be singular at $t = 0$ and/or $t = 1$, $q(t): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous. We give some sufficient conditions for the existence of nontrivial solutions to the above boundary-value problems. Our approach is based on Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative. Particularly, we do not use the nonnegative assumption and monotonicity of f which was essential for the technique used in almost all existed literature.

Розглянуто існування нетривіальних розв'язків крайової задачі для нелінійних дробових диференціальних рівнянь

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^\alpha u(t) + \lambda[f(t, u(t)) + q(t)] &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) &= \beta u(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

де $\lambda > 0$ – параметр, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\beta\eta^{\alpha-1} \neq 1$, \mathbf{D}^α – диференціальний оператор Ріманна–Ліувілья порядку α , функція $f: (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ неперервна, причому f може бути сингулярною при $t = 0$ та (або) $t = 1$, $q(t): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ неперервна. Наведено деякі достатні умови для існування нетривіальних розв'язків вказаних крайових задач. Застосований у дослідженнях підхід базується на нелінійній альтернативі Лереа–Шаудера. Зокрема, не використовується припущення про невід'ємність, а також монотонність функції f , що було істотним для методики, застосованої майже у всіх описаних у літературі дослідженнях.

1. Introduction. Fractional calculus has played a significant role in engineering, science, economy, and other fields. Many papers and books on fractional calculus, fractional differential equations have appeared recently, (see [1, 6–9]). It should be noted that most of papers and books on fractional calculus are devoted to the solvability of linear initial fractional differential equations in terms of special functions [5]. Recently, there are some papers deal with the existence and multiplicity of solutions (or positive solutions) of nonlinear initial fractional differential equations by the use of techniques of nonlinear analysis (fixed-point theorems, Leray–Schauder theory, etc.), see [7–10]. However, there are few papers consider the three-point problem for linear ordinary differential equations of fractional order, see [11, 12]. No contributions exist, as far as we know, concerning the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^\alpha u(t) + \lambda[f(t, u(t)) + q(t)] &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) &= \beta u(\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

*The authors were supported financially by the NNSF of China (10801088).

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ are real numbers, $\beta\eta \neq 1$, and D_{0+}^{α} is the Riemann–Liouville differential operator of order α , and $f: (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, f may be singular at $t = 0$ and/or $t = 1$, $q(t): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous. As far as we known, there has no paper which deal with the boundary-value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equation (1.1).

In [7], the authors consider the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ is a real number. D_{0+}^{α} is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, and $f: [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous.

In [10], the authors consider the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha} u(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ is a real number. D^{α} is the Riemann–Liouville differential operator of order α , and $f: [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous, a is a positive and continuous function on $[0, 1]$.

Motivated by the work mentioned above, in this paper, we establish several sufficient conditions of the existence of nontrivial solutions for the above nonlinear fractional differential equations (1.1). Here, by a nontrivial solution of (1.1) we understand a function $u(t) \not\equiv 0$ which satisfies (1.1). Our results are new. Particularly, we do not use the nonnegative assumption and monotonicity which was essential for the technique used in almost all existed literature on f .

2. Preliminaries. For completeness, in this section, we will demonstrate and study the definitions and some fundamental facts of fractional order.

Definition 2.1 ([6], Definition 2.1). *For a positive function $f(x)$ given in the interval $[0, \infty)$, the integral*

$$I^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-s}} dt, \quad x > 0,$$

where $s > 0$, is called Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order s .

Definition 2.2 [6, p. 36–37]. *For a positive function $f(x)$ given in the interval $[0, \infty)$, the expression*

$$D^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-s)} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{s-n+1}} dt,$$

where $n = [s] + 1$, $[s]$ denotes the integer part of number s , is called the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order s .

Remark. If $f \in C[0, 1]$, then $D^s I^s f(x) = f(x)$.

In order to rewrite (1.1), (1.2) as an integral equation, we need to know the action of the fractional integral operator I^s on $D^s f$ for a given function f . To this end, we first note that if $\lambda > -1$, then

$$D^s t^\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda - s + 1)} t^{\lambda-s},$$

$$D^s t^{s-k} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $n = [s]$.

The following two lemmas, found in [7], are crucial in finding an integral representation of the boundary-value problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $u \in C[0, 1]$, then the differential equation*

$$D^\alpha u(t) = 0$$

has solutions $u(t) = c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \dots + c_n t^{\alpha-n}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

From the lemma above, we deduce the following statement.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $u \in C[0, 1]$, then*

$$I^\alpha D^\alpha u(t) = u(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \dots + c_n t^{\alpha-n}$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

The following theorems will play major role in our next analysis.

Lemma 2.3 [3, 4]. *Let X be a real Banach space, Ω be a bounded open subset of X , $0 \in \Omega$, $T: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is a completely continuous operator. Then, either there exists $x \in \partial\Omega$, $\mu > 1$ such that $T(x) = \mu x$, or there exists a fixed point $x^* \in \partial\bar{\Omega}$.*

3. Main results. In this section, we give our main results. First, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\beta\eta^{\alpha-1} \neq 1$, $u \in C[0, 1]$. Let $h(t) \in C[0, 1]$ be a given function, then the boundary-value problem*

$$D^\alpha u(t) + h(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \beta u(\eta),$$
(3.1)

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds -$$

$$-\frac{\beta t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds.$$

Proof. By the Lemma 2.2, we can reduce the equation of problem (3.1) to an equivalent integral equation

$$u(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2}$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. As boundary conditions for problem (3.1), we have $c_2 = 0$ and

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds - \beta \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds \right).$$

Therefore, the unique solution of (3.1) is

$$u(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds - \\ - \frac{\beta t^{\alpha-1}}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds$$

which completes the proof.

The lemma is proved.

Let $E = C[0, 1]$ be endowed with the maximum norm $\|u\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$. Clearly, it follows that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $f(t, 0) \neq 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\beta\eta^{\alpha-1} \neq 1$, and there exist nonnegative functions $r \in C[0, 1]$, $p \in C(0, 1)$ (p may be singular at $t = 0$ and/or $t = 1$) such that*

- (H₁) $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds < +\infty$;
 (H₂) *the function f satisfies*

$$|f(t, u)| \leq p(t)|u| + r(t), \quad \text{a.e. } (t, u) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R},$$

and there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $p(t_0) \neq 0$.

Then there exists a constant $\lambda^* > 0$, such that for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let

$$A = \left(1 + \left| \frac{1}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds + \left| \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds,$$

$$B = \left(1 + \left| \frac{1}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} k(s) ds + \left| \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} k(s) ds,$$

where $k(s) = r(s) + q(s)$. By Lemma 3.1, problem (1.1) has a solution $u = u(t)$ if and only if u solves the operator equation

$$(Tu)(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [f(s, u(s)) + q(s)] ds + \\ + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [f(s, u(s)) + q(s)] ds -$$

$$-\frac{\beta t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} [f(s, u(s)) + q(s)] ds$$

in E . So we only need to seek a fixed point of T in E . In view of nonnegativeness and continuity of $(t-s)^{\alpha-1}$, $\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}$, $\frac{\beta t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}}(\eta-s)^{\alpha-1}$ and continuity of $[f(t, u) + q(t)]$ and (H_1) , by Ascoli – Arzela Theorem, it is well known that this operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ is a completely continuous operator.

Since $|f(t, 0)| \leq r(t)$, a.e., $t \in [0, 1]$, we know $\int_0^1 [r(t) + q(t)] dt > 0$. From $p(t_0) \neq 0$, we easily obtain $\int_0^1 p(s) ds > 0$. Let

$$m = \frac{B}{A}, \quad \Omega = \{u \in C[0, 1]: \|u\| < m\}$$

Suppose $u \in \partial\Omega, \mu > 1$ such that $Tu = \mu u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu m &= \mu \|u\| = \|Tu\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)(t)| \leq \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u(s)) + q(s)| ds + \\ &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{|1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u(s)) + q(s)| ds + \\ &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta|t^{\alpha-1}}{|1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u(s)) + q(s)| ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} (|f(s, u(s))| + q(s)) ds + \\ &+ \frac{1}{|1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} (|f(s, u(s))| + q(s)) ds + \\ &+ \left| \frac{\beta}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} (|f(s, u(s))| + q(s)) ds \leq \\ &\leq \left(1 + \left| \frac{1}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \right) \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [p(s)|u(s)| + r(s) + q(s)] ds + \\ &+ \left| \frac{\beta}{1-\beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} [p(s)|u(s)| + r(s) + q(s)] ds \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\left(1 + \left| \frac{1}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds \right] \|u\| + \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\left(1 + \left| \frac{1}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \right) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} [r(s) + q(s)] ds + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}} \right| \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} [r(s) + q(s)] ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\lambda^* = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{2A}$. Then when $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, we have

$$\mu \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\| + \frac{B}{2A}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{B}{2mA} = 1.$$

This contradicts $\mu > 1$, by Lemma 2.3, T has a fixed point $u^* \in \bar{\Omega}$, since $f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$, then when $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.1 is proved.

Remark. Though the paper [13] devoted to a much more general case of multipoint problems for equations of arbitrary order $\alpha > 1$, our condition on f is obvious more general than [13]. For example, for Example 4.1, our results are not covered by Salem's results.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\beta\eta^{\alpha-1} \neq 1$, and there exist nonnegative functions $p \in C(0, 1)$ (p may be singular at $t = 0$ and/or $t = 1$) such that

- (H₁) $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds < +\infty$;
 (H₂) the function f satisfies

$$|f(t, u_1) - f(t, u_2)| \leq p(t)|u_1 - u_2|, \quad \text{a.e. } (t, u_i) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $p(t_0) \neq 0$.

Then there exists a constant $\lambda^* > 0$, such that for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, the boundary-value problem (1.1) has an unique nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

Proof. In fact, if $u_2 = 0$, then we have $|f(t, u_1)| \leq p(t)|u_1| + |f(t, 0)|$, a.e. $(t, u_1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. From Theorem 3.1, we know the boundary-value problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

But in this case, we prefer to concentrate on the uniqueness of nontrivial solutions for the boundary-value problem (1.1). Let T be given in Theorem 3.1, we shall show that T is a contraction. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tu_1 - Tu_2\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu_1)(t) - (Tu_2)(t)| \leq \\
 &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s))| ds + \\
 &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda t}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s))| ds + \\
 &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda|\beta|t}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, u_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s))| ds \leq \\
 &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds + \\
 &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda t}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds + \\
 &+ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\lambda|\beta|t}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds + \\
 &+ \frac{\lambda}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds + \\
 &+ \frac{\lambda|\beta|}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |u_1 - u_2| ds \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds + \frac{1}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{|\beta|}{|1 - \beta\eta^{\alpha-1}|} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) ds \right] \|u_1 - u_2\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $\lambda^* = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{2A}$, where A as in the Theorem 3.1. Then when $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, we have

$$\|Tu_1 - Tu_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|.$$

So T is indeed a contraction. Finally we use the Banach fixed point theorem to deduce the existence of an unique solution to the boundary-value problem (1.1).

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that $f(t, 0) \neq 0$, and

$$0 \leq M = \limsup_{|u| \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|f(t, u)|}{|u|} < +\infty,$$

$$\frac{M + 1 - \varepsilon}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{|1 - \beta \eta^{\alpha-1}|} + \frac{|\beta| \eta^\alpha}{|1 - \beta \eta^{\alpha-1}|} \right] \leq 1,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $M + 1 - \varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\lambda^* > 0$, such that for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $M + 1 - \varepsilon > 0$. By (3.2), there exists $H > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, u)| \leq (M + 1 - \varepsilon)|u|, \quad |u| \geq H, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Let $N = \max_{t \in [0, 1], |u| \leq H} |f(t, u)|$. Then for any $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|f(t, u)| \leq (M + 1 - \varepsilon)|u| + N.$$

From Theorem 3.2 we know the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution $u^* \in C[0, 1]$.

4. Examples.

Example 4.1. Consider the following third-order three-point problem:

$$\mathbf{D}_{0+}^{3/2} y(t) = \lambda \left(y \frac{3t^2 \sin t}{4\sqrt{1-t}} + t^3 \right) + \lambda \cos t, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (4.1)$$

$$y(0) = 0, y(1) = 2\sqrt{2}y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where $f(t, y) = y \frac{3t^2 \sin t}{4\sqrt{1-t}} + t^3$, $q(t) = \cos t$. We choose $p(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}$, $r(t) = t^3$, then

$$A = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}} ds + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{1/2} \sqrt{1/2-s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}} ds =$$

$$= 2 + 2\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\ln 3}{4} \right) = \frac{12 + 4\sqrt{2} - 3\sqrt{2} \ln 3}{6},$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{2A} = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3} \approx 0.204568.$$

Choose $\lambda^* = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3} \approx 0.204568$, then by Theorem 3.1, (4.1)

has a nontrivial solution $y^* \in C[0, 1]$ for any $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3} \right] \approx (0, 0.204568]$.

Example 4.2. Consider the following second-order boundary-value problem:

$$-\mathbf{D}_{0+}^{1.5}y(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}(y - \cos y) + \lambda te^{2t-1}, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$y(0) = y(1) = 0.$$

In this example $f(t, y(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}(y - \cos y)$, then

$$|f(t, y_1(t)) - f(t, y_2(t))| \leq p(t)|y_1 - y_2|,$$

where $p(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}$, by Computation, we get $\lambda^* = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3} \approx 0.204568$.

Choose $\lambda^* = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3} \approx 0.204568$, then by Theorem 3.2, (4.2) has a nontrivial solution $y^* \in C[0, 1]$ for any $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{24 + 8\sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{2} \ln 3}\right] \approx (0, 0.204568]$.

Acknowledgment. The authors express their deep gratitude for the referee's important advices.

1. *Agrawal O. P.* Formulation of Euler–Larange equations for fractional variational problems // J. Math. Anal. Appl. – 2002. – **272**. – P. 368–379.
2. *Delbosco D., Rodino L.* Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation // J. Math. Appl. – 1996. – **204**. – P. 609–625.
3. *Deimling K.* Nonlinear functional analysis. – Berlin: Springer, 1985.
4. *Liu B.* Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem // Comput. Math. Appl. – 2002. – **44**. – P. 201–211.
5. *Podlubny I.* Fractional differential equations // Math. Sci. and Eng. – 1999. – **198**.
6. *Samko S. G., Kilbas A. A., Marichev O. I.* Fractional integral and derivatives (theory and applications). – Switzerland: Gordon and Breach, 1993.
7. *Bai Zhanbing, Lü Haishen.* Positive solutions for boundary-value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2005. – **311**. – P. 495–505.
8. *Zhang Shu-qin.* The existence of a positive solution for a nonlinear fractional differential equation // Ibid. – 2000. – **252**. – P. 804–812.
9. *Zhang Shu-qin.* Existence of positive solution for some class of nonlinear fractional differential equations // Ibid. – 2003. – **278**, № 1. – P. 136–148.
10. *Kaufmann Eric R., Mboumi E.* Positive solutions of a boundary-value problem for a nonlinear fractional differential equations // Electron. J. Qual. Theory Different. Equat. – 2008. – № 3. – P. 1–11.
11. *Kilbas A. A., Trujillo J. J.* Differential equations of fractional order: methods, results and problems. II // Appl. Anal. – 2002. – **81**. – P. 435–493.
12. *Nakhushev A. M.* The Sturm–Liouville problem for a second order ordinary differential equation with fractional derivatives in the lower terms // Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. – 1977. – **234**. – P. 308–311.
13. *Hussein A. H. Salem.* On the fractional order m-point boundary-value problem in reflexive Banach spaces and weak topologies // J. Comput. Appl. Math. – 2009. – **224**. – P. 565–572.

Received 08.01.09,
after revision – 13.06.10