

UDC 512.5

B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, N. Sökmez (Ondokuz Mayıs Univ., Turkey)

***G*-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES**

***G*-ДОПОВНЕНІ МОДУЛІ**

Following the concept of generalized small submodule, we define g -supplemented modules and characterize some fundamental properties of these modules. Moreover, the generalized radical of a module is defined and the relationship between the generalized radical and radical of a module is investigated. Finally, the definition of amply g -supplemented module is given with its some basic properties.

Із застосуванням поняття узагальненого малого підмодуля визначено поняття g -доповнених модулів та охарактеризовано деякі фундаментальні властивості цих модулів. Крім того, визначено поняття узагальненого радикала модуля та вивчено співвідношення між узагальненим радикалом та радикалом модуля. Насамкінець наведено визначення поняття рясно g -доповнених модулів та вивчено основні властивості цих модулів.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper all rings will be associative with identity and all modules will be unital left modules.

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. We will denote a submodule N of M by $N \leq M$ and a proper submodule K of M by $K < M$. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If $L = M$ for every submodule L of M such that $M = N + L$, then N is called a small submodule of M and denoted by $N \ll M$. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If there exists a submodule K of M such that $M = N + K$ and $N \cap K = 0$, then a submodule N of M is called a direct summand of M and it is denoted by $M = N \oplus K$. For any module M , we have $M = M \oplus 0$. $\text{Rad } M$ indicates the radical of M . An R -module M is said to be simple if M have no proper submodules with distinct zero. A submodule N of an R -module M is called an essential submodule and denoted by $N \trianglelefteq M$ in case $K \cap N \neq 0$ for every submodule $K \neq 0$. Let M be an R -module and K be a submodule of M . K is called a generalized small submodule of M if for every essential submodule T of M with the property $M = K + T$ implies that $T = M$, then we write $K \ll_g M$. It is clear that every small submodule is a generalized small submodule but the converse is not true generally. Let M be an R -module. M is called a (generalized) hollow module if every proper submodule of M is (generalized) small in M . Here it is clear that every hollow module is generalized hollow module. The converse of this statement is not always true. M is called local module if M has a largest submodule, i.e., a proper submodule which contains all other proper submodules. Let U and V be submodules of M . If $M = U + V$ and V is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently, $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll V$, then V is called a supplement of U in M . M is called a supplemented module if every submodule of M has a supplement.

Now we will give some important properties of generalized small submodules.

Lemma 1 [6]. *Let M be an R -module and $K, N \leq M$. Consider the following conditions:*

(1) *If $K \leq N$ and N is generalized small submodule of M , then K is a generalized small submodule of M .*

(2) If K is contained in N and a generalized small submodule of N , then K is a generalized small submodule in submodules of M which contains submodule N .

(3) Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. If $K \ll_g M$, then $f(K) \ll_g M$.

(4) If $K \ll_g L$ and $N \ll_g T$, then $K + N \ll_g L + T$.

Corollary 1. Let M be an R -module and $K \leq N \leq M$. If $N \ll_g M$, then $N/K \ll_g M/K$.

Corollary 2. Let M be an R -module, $K \ll_g M$ and $L \leq M$. Then $(K + L)/L \ll_g M/L$.

2. G -supplemented modules.

Definition 1. Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and $M = U + T$ with $T \trianglelefteq V$ implies that $T = V$, then V is called a g -supplement of U in M . If every submodule of M has a g -supplement in M , then M is called a g -supplemented module.

Supplemented modules are g -supplemented.

Lemma 2. Let M be an R -module, $U \leq M$ and $V \leq M$. Then V is a g -supplement of U in M if and only if $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll_g V$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $U \cap V + T = V$ and $T \trianglelefteq V$. Then $M = U + V = U + U \cap V + T = U + T$ and since V is a g -supplement of U in M and $T \trianglelefteq V$, $T = V$. Hence $U \cap V \ll_g V$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll_g V$. Let $M = U + T$ with $T \trianglelefteq V$. Since $M = U + T$ and $T \leq V$, by Modular Law $V = V \cap M = V \cap (U + T) = U \cap V + T$. Then by $U \cap V \ll_g V$, $T = V$. Hence V is a g -supplement of U in M .

Lemma 3. Let M be an R -module, $M_1 \leq M$, $U \leq M$ and M_1 be a g -supplemented module. If $M_1 + U$ has a g -supplement in M , then so does U .

Proof. Let X be a g -supplement of $M_1 + U$ in M . Then $M_1 + U + X = M$ and $(M_1 + U) \cap X \ll_g X$. Since M_1 is g -supplemented, $(U + X) \cap M_1$ has a g -supplement Y in M_1 , i.e., $M_1 \cap (U + X) + Y = M_1$ and $M_1 \cap (U + X) \cap Y \ll_g Y$. Following this, we have $M = M_1 \cap (U + X) + Y + U + X = U + X + Y$ and $U \cap (X + Y) \leq X \cap (U + Y) + Y \cap (U + X) \leq X \cap (M_1 + U) + Y \cap M_1 \cap (U + X) \ll_g X + Y$. Hence $X + Y$ is a g -supplement of U in M .

Theorem 1. Let $M = M_1 + M_2$. If M_1 and M_2 are g -supplemented modules, then M is a g -supplemented module.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 3.

Corollary 3. Any finite sum of g -supplemented modules are g -supplemented.

Lemma 4. Let M be an R -module, $X \leq U \leq M$ and V be a g -supplement of U . Then $(V + X)/X$ is a g -supplement of U/X in M/X .

Proof. Since V is a g -supplement of U in M , we have $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll_g V$. Thus $(U \cap V + X)/X \ll_g (V + X)/X$ by Lemma 1. Since $M = U + V$, it is easy to see that $M/X = (U + V)/X = U/X + (V + X)/X$ and $U/X \cap (V + X)/X = (U \cap V + X)/X \ll_g (V + X)/X$. Therefore $(V + X)/X$ is a g -supplement of U/X in M/X .

Theorem 2. If M is a g -supplemented module, then every factor module of M is g -supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 4.

Corollary 4. If M is a g -supplemented module, then the homomorphic image of M is g -supplemented.

Theorem 3. Let M be an R -module, K be a direct summand of M and $T \leq K$. Then $T \ll_g K$ if and only if $T \ll_g M$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Clear from Lemma 1.

(\Leftarrow) Let $T \ll_g M$. Assume that $M = K \oplus Y$. If we consider the canonical map $\pi: M \rightarrow K$, then we get $T = \pi(T) \ll_g \pi(M) = K$ by Lemma 1.

Definition 2. Let M be an R -module and $T \leq M$. If T is both maximal and essential in M , then T is called a generalized maximal submodule of M . The intersection of all generalized maximal submodules of M is called the generalized radical of M denoted by $\text{Rad}_g M$. If M has not a generalized maximal submodule, then we denote $\text{Rad}_g M = M$.

Lemma 5. Let M be an R -module. If M has at least one generalized maximal submodule, then $\text{Rad}_g M = \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$.

Proof. Let $L \ll_g M$. If $L \not\subseteq T$ with T is a generalized maximal submodule of M , then we get $L + T = M$ since T is maximal. Thus $T = M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore L is contained in every generalized maximal submodule of M . Hence $\sum_{L \ll_g M} L \subseteq \text{Rad}_g M$.

Let $x \in \text{Rad}_g M$. Suppose that Rx is not generalized small in M and $\Omega = \{T \leq M \mid x \notin T, T \trianglelefteq M \text{ and } Rx + T = M\}$. Since Rx is not generalized small in M , we get $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. It is clear that every chain has an upper bound by inclusion in Ω . Hence Ω contains a maximal element K by Zorn's lemma. We can easily show that K is a generalized maximal submodule of M . Since $K \in \Omega$, we have $x \notin K$. Since $\text{Rad}_g M \subseteq K$, we get $x \notin \text{Rad}_g M$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $Rx \ll_g M$ and then $\text{Rad}_g M \subseteq \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$. So we get $\text{Rad}_g M = \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$.

Corollary 5. If M has no generalized maximal submodule, then $\text{Rad}_g M = \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.

Corollary 6. Let M be an R -module. Then $\text{Rad} M \leq \text{Rad}_g M$.

Example 1. For a non-zero simple R -module M , we have $\text{Rad} M = 0 \neq M = \text{Rad}_g M$.

Theorem 4. Let M be an R -module with $\text{Rad}_g M \neq M$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is a generalized hollow module,
- (ii) M is a local module,
- (iii) M is a hollow module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let M be a generalized hollow module and T be any proper submodule of M . Then $T \ll_g M$ and we have $T \leq \text{Rad}_g M$ by Lemma 5. Since $\text{Rad}_g M \neq M$, M is local and so the proof is complete.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Clear.

Theorem 5. If M is a finitely generated R -module and M has a proper essential submodule, then every proper essential submodule of M is contained in a generalized maximal submodule.

Proof. Let K be any proper essential submodule of M . Since M is finitely generated, K is contained in a maximal submodule T and $T \trianglelefteq M$ due to $K \trianglelefteq M$.

Theorem 6. Let M be an R -module and $\text{Rad}_g M \neq M$. If every proper essential submodule of M is contained in a generalized maximal submodule, then $\text{Rad}_g M \ll_g M$.

Proof. Clear.

3. Amply G -supplemented modules.

Definition 3. Let M be an R -module and $U \leq M$. If, for every $V \leq M$ with $M = U + V$, U has a g -supplement T in M such that $T \leq V$, then we say that U has ample g -supplements in M . If every submodule of M has ample g -supplements in M , then M is called an amply g -supplemented module.

Theorem 7. *Let M be an R -module, $U_1, U_2 \leq M$ and $M = U_1 + U_2$. If U_1 and U_2 have ample g -supplements in M , then $U_1 \cap U_2$ has also ample g -supplements in M .*

Proof. Let $U_1 \cap U_2 + T = M$. Then we have $M = U_1 + U_2 \cap T = U_2 + U_1 \cap T$. Since U_1 and U_2 have ample g -supplements in M , then U_1 has a g -supplement V_1 with $V_1 \leq U_2 \cap T$ and U_2 has a g -supplement V_2 with $V_2 \leq U_1 \cap T$. Since $M = U_1 + V_1$ and $V_1 \leq U_2$, by Modular Law $U_2 = U_2 \cap (U_1 + V_1) = U_1 \cap U_2 + V_1$. Similarly we have $U_1 = U_1 \cap U_2 + V_2$. Then $M = U_1 + U_2 = U_1 \cap U_2 + V_2 + U_1 \cap U_2 + V_1 = U_1 \cap U_2 + V_1 + V_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap (V_1 + V_2) = U_1 \cap (V_1 + U_2 \cap V_2) = U_1 \cap V_1 + U_2 \cap V_2 \ll_g M$. Hence $V_1 + V_2$ is a g -supplement of $U_1 \cap U_2$ and since $V_1 + V_2 \leq T$, $U_1 \cap U_2$ has ample g -supplements in M .

Theorem 8. *If M is an amply g -supplemented module, then every factor module of M is amply g -supplemented.*

Proof. Clear.

Corollary 7. *If M is an amply g -supplemented module, then the homomorphic image of M is amply g -supplemented.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 4.

Theorem 9. *Let M be an R -module. If every submodule of M is g -supplemented, then M is amply g -supplemented.*

Proof. Clear.

Lemma 6. *If M is a π -projective and g -supplemented module, then M is an amply g -supplemented module.*

Proof. Let $M = U + V$ and X be a g -supplement of U . Since M is π -projective and $M = U + V$, there exists an R -module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\text{Im } f \subset V$ and $\text{Im}(1 - f) \subset U$. So, we have $M = f(M) + (1 - f)(M) = f(U) + f(X) + U = U + f(X)$. Suppose that $a \in U \cap f(X)$. Since $a \in f(X)$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $a = f(x)$. Since $a = f(x) = f(x) - x + x = x - (1 - f)(x)$ and $(1 - f)(x) \in U$ we obtain $x = a + (1 - f)(x)$ and $x \in U$. Thus $x \in U \cap X$ and so $f(X) \in f(U \cap X)$. Therefore we get $U \cap f(X) \leq f(U \cap X) \ll_g f(X)$. This means that $f(X)$ is a g -supplement of U in M . Moreover $f(X) \subset V$. Therefore M is amply g -supplemented.

Now the following corollary can be easily written as a consequence of Lemma 6.

Corollary 8. *If M is a projective and g -supplemented module, then M is an amply supplemented module.*

1. Büyükaşık E., Türkmen E. Strongly radical supplemented modules // Ukr. Math. J. – 2011. – **63**, № 8. – P. 1140–1146.
2. Clark J., Lomp C., Vanaja N., Wisbauer R. Lifting modules supplements and projectivity in module theory // Front. Math. – Basel: Birkhäuser, 2006.
3. Kasch F. Modules and rings. – Munich: Ludwig-Maximilian Univ., 1982.
4. Lomp C. Semilocal modules and rings // Commun Algebra. – 1999. – P. 1921–1935.
5. Sharpe D. W., Vámos P. Injective modules. – Cambridge Univ. Press, 1972.
6. Sökmez N., Koşar B., Nebiyev C. Genelleştirilmiş Küçük Alt Modüller // XXIII Ulusal Mat. Semp. – Kayseri: Erciyes Üniv., 2010.
7. Zöschinger H. Komplementierte Moduln über Dedekindringen // J. Algebra. – 1974. – **29**. – P. 42–56.
8. Zöschinger H. Moduln die in jeder Erweiterung ein Komplement haben // Math. Scand. – 1974. – **35**. – P. 267–287.
9. Zöschinger H. Basis-Untermöduln und Quasi-kotorsions-Moduln über diskrete Bewertungsringen // Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Kl. Sitzungsber. – 1977. – P. 9–16.

Received 02.07.13