

## A NOTE ON THE RECURSIVE SEQUENCE

$$x_{n+1} = p_k x_n + p_{k-1} x_{n-1} + \dots + p_1 x_{n-k+1}$$

## ПРО РЕКУРЕНТНУ ПОСЛІДОВНІСТЬ

$$x_{n+1} = p_k x_n + p_{k-1} x_{n-1} + \dots + p_1 x_{n-k+1}$$

We present some comments on the behaviour of solutions of the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = p_k x_n + p_{k-1} x_{n-1} + \dots + p_1 x_{n-k+1}, \quad n = -1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x_{-k}, \dots, x_{-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Розглядається поведінка розв'язків різницевого рівняння

$$x_{n+1} = p_k x_n + p_{k-1} x_{n-1} + \dots + p_1 x_{n-k+1}, \quad n = -1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

де  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  та  $x_{-k}, \dots, x_{-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**1. Introduction.** In [1] the authors considered the following linear homogeneous difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = p_k x_n + p_{k-1} x_{n-1} + \dots + p_1 x_{n-k+1}, \quad n = -1, 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x_{-k}, \dots, x_{-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ . Equation (1) is very important because it presents the linearized equation of a large class of mathematical biology models. For example:

*Discrete delay logistic difference equation:*

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_n}{1 + \beta x_{n-k}}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \quad \text{and } k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (2)$$

which was considered in books [2,3] by E. C. Pielou.

*Generalized Bedington – Holt stock recruitment model:*

$$x_{n+1} = ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1}}{1 + cx_{n-1} + dx_n}, \quad x_0, x_1 > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (3)$$

where  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $c, d \in \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ , with  $c + d > 0$ .

This equation was considered, for example in [4 – 6]. In [5] it was shown that when  $a + b < 1$ , or  $a + b = 1$  and  $c > 0$ , then the zero equilibrium is a global attractor of all positive solutions of equation (3).

*Mosquito population equations:*

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + bx_{n-1}e^{-x_{n-1}})e^{-x_n}, \quad x_0, x_1 > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (4)$$

where  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $b \in [0, \infty)$  and

$$x_{n+1} = (\alpha x_n + \beta x_{n-1})e^{-x_n}, \quad x_0, x_1 > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ .

Equations (4) describes the growth of a mosquito population. Equations (5) is derived from a two life stage model where the young mature into adults, and adults produce young. The global stability of these equations is studied in [7].

*Perennial grass model:*

$$x_{n+1} = ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1}}{e^{x_n}}, \quad x_0, x_1 > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (6)$$

where  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $b > 0$ .

The stability, and the oscillatory character of solutions of somewhat generalized equation have been studied in [5, 8].

*Flour beetle population model:*

$$x_{n+3} = ax_{n+2} + bx_n e^{-(cx_{n+2} + dx_n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7)$$

with  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$  and  $c + d > 0$ .

This equation was considered in [9, 10]. In [9] it was shown in particular that when  $a + b \leq 1$  and  $a, b > 0$ , then the origin is asymptotically stable relative to the nonnegative octant in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  (i.e., all nonnegative solutions are attracted to the origin and the beetles became extinct). The same conclusion holds under the conditions

$$a + b \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b > 0.$$

From the above we see that the most interesting case of these equations are when the sum of the main coefficients of the equations is equal to 1, i.e., when  $\alpha = 1$  for Eq. (2),  $a + b = 1$  for Eq. (3), (4), (6) and (7) and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$  for Eq. (5). In these cases linearized equations of this equations have the form just as in (1).

In [1] the following theorem was established.

**Theorem A.** *The solutions of Eq. (1) are asymptotically stable if and only if  $R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \leq 1$ .*

Their proof is not complete and too complicated. They omitted the case when  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi)$ , is a zero of the polynomial

$$P_k(z) = z^k - p_k z^{k-1} - p_{k-1} z^{k-2} - \dots - p_1. \quad (8)$$

Also the formulation of Theorem A is rather awkward, because in the case  $R_0 = 1$  the solutions of Eq. (1) are only stable and not asymptotically stable. The purpose of this note is to complete proof of Theorem A and generalize the theorem to the case when  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

**2. Main results.** *The case  $R_0 = 1$ .*

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P_k(z) = z^k - p_k z^{k-1} - \dots - p_1$  be a polynomial such that  $p_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $p_1 + \dots + p_k = 1$ . Then all zeros of the polynomial  $P_k(z)$  lie in  $|z| < 1$ , except  $z = 1$ , which is a simple.*

*Proof.* Since, for  $|z| > 1$ ,

$$|p_k z^{k-1} + \dots + p_1| \leq p_k |z|^{k-1} + \dots + p_1 < |z|^k,$$

we obtain that all zeros of the polynomial  $P_k(z)$  lie in  $|z| < r$ , for every  $r > 1$ . Hence all zeros of  $P_k(z)$  lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ .

It is easy to see that  $P_k(1) = 0$  and  $P'_k(1) \neq 0$ , which implies that  $z = 1$  is a simple zero of  $P_k(z)$  (see, Lemma 2 below).

Let  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \neq 2m\pi$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , be a zero of  $P_k(z)$ . Then

$$e^{ik\theta} = p_k e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \dots + p_2 e^{i\theta} + p_1$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \cos k\theta &= p_k \cos(k-1)\theta + \dots + p_2 \cos \theta + p_1, \\ \sin k\theta &= p_k \sin(k-1)\theta + \dots + p_2 \sin \theta. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$1 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \cos(i-1)\theta \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \sin(i-1)\theta \right)^2 = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j \cos(i-j)\theta$$

and

$$1 = (p_1 + \dots + p_m)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j$$

we obtain  $\cos(i-j)\theta = 1$  for all  $i < j$ , i.e.,  $(i-j)\theta = 2\pi k_{ij}$ , where  $k_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We may assume  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . For  $j = i+1$  we obtain  $\theta = 2\pi k_{i,i+1}$  for some  $k_{i,i+1} \in \mathbf{Z}$ , arriving at a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $P_k(z) = z^k - p_k z^{k-1} - \dots - p_1$  be a polynomial such that  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $p_1 + \dots + p_k = 1$ . Then all zeros of the polynomial  $P_k(z)$  which belong to the set  $\{z \mid |z|=1\}$  are simple.

**Proof.** Assume that  $z = e^{i\theta}$  is a zero of the polynomial  $P_k(z)$ . If  $e^{i\theta}$  is not simple zero, then

$$k = \left| k e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right| = \left| (k-1)p_k e^{i(k-2)\theta} + \dots + 2p_3 e^{i\theta} + p_2 \right| \leq \\ \leq (k-1)p_k + \dots + 2p_3 + p_2 \leq (k-1) \sum_{i=2}^k p_i \leq k-1.$$

Hence,  $P'(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$ , as desired.

By Lemmas 1 and 2 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.** Assume  $R_0 = 1$  and  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the solutions of Eq. (1) are stable.

We present here some comments about convergence of the solutions of Eq. (1).

In [11] the following theorem was established.

**Theorem B.** Let  $\varphi(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$  be a continuous real function on  $\mathbf{R}^k$  where

(a)  $\varphi(x, x, \dots, x) \leq x$ , for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ;

(b)  $\varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}^k, \mathbf{R})$  is nondecreasing in each of its arguments;

(c)  $\varphi(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$  is strictly increasing in at least two of its arguments  $y_i$  and  $y_j$ , where  $i$  and  $j$  are relatively prime.

If  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence which satisfies the inequality

$$x_{n+k} \leq \varphi(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}, \dots, x_n) \text{ for } n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\},$$

then it must be convergent.

**Corollary 2.** Consider Eq. (1). Let  $R_0 = 1$ ,  $p_{k-i} > 0$  and  $p_{k-j} > 0$  where  $i+1$  and  $j+1$  are relatively prime. Then every solution of Eq. (1) converges.

**Proof.** From (1) we obtain:

$$|x_{n+1}| \leq \max\{|x_n|, \dots, |x_{n-k+1}|\}, \quad n = -1, 0, \dots,$$

from which the boundedness of  $(x_n)$  follows. By Theorem B we obtain the result.

On the other hand, consider the equation

$$x_{n+k} = \frac{x_{n+k-s} + x_{n+k-l} + 0 \cdot x_n}{2}, \quad (9)$$

where  $1 \leq s < l \leq k$ ,  $s$  and  $l$  are not relatively prime and  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ .

The characteristic polynomial for Eq. (9) is

$$2t^{n+k} - t^{n+k-s} - t^{n+k-l} = t^{n+k-l}(2t^l - t^{l-s} - 1) = 0.$$

Since  $s$  and  $l$  are not relatively prime there exist  $q \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , such that  $s = qs_1$  and  $l = ql_1$  for some  $s_1, l_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ . Hence

$$2t^l - t^{l-s} - 1 = 2t^{ql_1} - t^{q(l_1-s_1)} - 1 = t^{q(l_1-s_1)}(2t^{qs_1} - 1) + t^{ql_1} - 1. \quad (10)$$

From (10) we see that the polynomial  $t^q - 1$  is a factor of characteristic polynomial of Eq. (9). Since  $q \geq 2$ , this characteristic polynomial has a zero in the set  $\{z \mid |z| = 1, z \neq 1\}$ . By the well known theorem we obtain that difference equation (2) has a bounded divergent solution, for example  $x_n = \cos(2\pi n/q)$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Hence the condition,  $i+1$  and  $j+1$  are relatively prime, in Corollary 2 is necessary for convergence of all solutions.

**The case  $R_0 < 1$ .** The following lemma is a natural generalization of the Theorem A when  $R_0 < 1$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers which satisfies the inequality*

$$x_{n+k} \leq A \max \{x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}, \dots, x_n\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (11)$$

where  $A \in (0, 1)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  are fixed. Then there exist  $L \in \mathbf{R}_+$  such that

$$x_{km+r} \leq LA^m \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and } 1 \leq r \leq k. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** Let  $L = \max \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ . We will prove the lemma by induction. For  $m = 0$  and  $1 \leq r \leq k$  the result is trivial. Suppose that the result holds for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . By (11) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$x_{k(m+1)+1} \leq A \max \{x_{k(m+1)}, x_{k(m+1)-1}, \dots, x_{km+1}\} \leq A(LA^m) = LA^{m+1}.$$

From that and by the induction hypothesis we get

$$x_{k(m+1)+r} \leq A \max \{x_{k(m+1)+r-1}, x_{k(m+1)+r-2}, \dots, x_{km+r}\} \leq A(LA^m) = LA^{m+1},$$

for  $2 \leq r \leq k$ , as desired.

**Remark.** Note that  $L$  depends of  $(x_n)$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be the sequence of positive numbers in Lemma 3. Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that*

$$x_n \leq M \left(\sqrt[k]{A}\right)^n.$$

**Corollary 4.** *Assume  $0 \leq R_0 < 1$  and  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the solutions of Eq. (1) are asymptotically stable.*

**The case  $R_0 > 1$ .** First, we prove an auxiliary result.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $R_0 > 1$  then the polynomial (2) has a real root  $\zeta \in (1, R_0]$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that

$$P_k(1) = 1 - p_k - \dots - p_1 = 1 - R_0 < 0. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand

$$P_k(R_0) = R_0^k - p_k R_0^{k-1} - \dots - p_1 \geq R_0^k - R_0^{k-1}(p_k + \dots + p_1) = 0. \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14) the result follows.

By Lemma 4 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.** Assume  $R_0 > 1$  and  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the solutions of Eq. (1) are not stable.

From the above we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Consider Eq. (1), where  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $x_{-k}, \dots, x_{-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$ . Then

- (a) if  $R_0 = 1$  the solutions of Eq. (1) are stable;
- (b) if  $0 \leq R_0 < 1$  the solutions of Eq. (1) are asymptotically stable;
- (c) if  $R_0 > 1$  the solutions of Eq. (1) are not stable.

1. Khusainov D. Ya., Nikiforova S. N. Stability of a difference equation with positive coefficients // Ukr. Math. J. – 1999. – 51, № 9. – P. 1276–1280.
2. Pielou E. C. An introduction to mathematical ecology. – New York: Wiley, 1969.
3. Pielou E. C. Population and community ecology. – New York: Gordon and Breach, 1974.
4. Beddington R. J., Holt S. J. On the dynamics of exploited fish populations // Fish. Invest. London. – 1957. – 19. – P. 1–53.
5. De Vault R. C., Dial G., Kocic V. L., and Ladas G. Global behaviour of solution of  $x_{n+1} = ax_n + f(x_n, x_{n-1})$  // J. Different. Equat. and Appl. – 1998. – 4. – P. 311–330.
6. Fisher M. E., Goh B. S. Stability results for delayed-recruitment models in population dynamics // J. Math. Biol. – 1984. – 19. – P. 147–156.
7. Grove E. A., Kent C. M., Ladas G., and Valicenti S. Global stability in some population models // Proc. Forth Int. Conf. Different. Equat. and Appl. (August 27–31, 1999, Poznan, Poland). – New York: Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., 2000. – P. 149–176.
8. De Vault R. C., Grove E. A., Ladas G., Levins R., and Puccia C. Oscillations and stability in a delay model of perennial grass // J. Different. Equat. and Appl. – 1995. – 1. – P. 173–185.
9. Cushing J. M., Kuang Y. Global stability in a nonlinear difference delay equation model of flour beetle population growth // Ibid. – 1996. – 2. – P. 31–37.
10. Sedaghat H. Geometric stability conditions for higher order difference equations // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 1998. – 224. – P. 255–272.
11. Stević S. A global convergence result // Indian J. Math. (to appear).

Received 04.05.2001