

## Rings of functions on non-abelian groups

C. J. Maxson

Communicated by V. I. Sushchansky

**ABSTRACT.** For several classes of finite nonabelian groups we investigate the structure of the ring of functions,  $\mathcal{R}(C)$ , determined by the cover  $C$  of maximal abelian subgroups. We determine the Jacobson radical  $J(\mathcal{R}(C))$  and the semisimple quotient ring  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G = \langle G, + \rangle$  be a group written additively but not necessarily abelian, with identity element 0, and let  $C := \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$  be a cover of  $G$  by abelian subgroups, i.e., each  $A_i$  is an abelian subgroup of  $G$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i = G$ . Define  $\mathcal{R}(C) := \{\sigma: G \rightarrow G \mid \sigma|_{A_i} \in \text{End}(A_i), \text{ for all } i\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  is a ring of functions on  $G$  called the ring determined by the cover  $C$ . Note that the identity function,  $id.$ , and the zero function, 0, are in  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  and we require them to be in all of our rings of functions.

On the other hand, suppose  $R$  is a ring of functions on  $G$ . Define  $\mathcal{C}(R) := \{B \subseteq G \mid B \text{ is an abelian subgroup of } G \text{ and } R|_B \subseteq \text{End}(B)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  is a cover of  $G$  by abelian subgroups. These correspondences were initiated in [2] and were shown to form a Galois correspondence. One of the goals of this investigation is to determine structural properties of the ring  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  in terms of the cover  $C$ . For additional background and results, we refer the reader to [2].

Suppose  $C := \{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$  is a cover of the finite group  $G$  by abelian subgroups. Define  $\psi: \mathcal{R}(C) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}(A_i)$  by  $\psi(\sigma) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16S60, 16N20; 20D99.

**Key words and phrases:** Covers of groups; rings of functions.

where  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$  and  $\sigma_i = \sigma|_{A_i}$ . Then  $\psi$  is a monomorphism and one wishes to identify  $\text{Im } \psi$  in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}(A_i)$ . We note if  $C$  is a partition then  $\psi$  is surjective and  $\mathcal{R}(C) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}(A_i)$ . However, when there are nontrivial intersections among the cells of  $C$ , the identification of  $\text{Im } \psi$  becomes more difficult.

As in [2], let  $\mathcal{J}(C)$  denote the intersection semilattice determined by the cells of  $C$ , including the cells of  $C$ , so  $\mathcal{J}(C)$  is a cover by abelian subgroups. For  $A_i \in C$ , let  $\mathcal{J}(A_i) = \{A_i \cap B \mid \text{for each } B \in \mathcal{J}(C)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathcal{J}(A_i) \cap \mathcal{J}(A_j)$ .

**Theorem A.** *With the notation as above,  $\text{Im } \psi = \{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N) \mid \sigma_i|_W = \sigma_j|_W \text{ for each } W \in \mathcal{J}(A_i \cap A_j), 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T := \{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N) \mid \sigma_i|_W = \sigma_j|_W, \text{ for each } W \in \mathcal{J}(A_i \cap A_j), 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\psi(\sigma) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$  and  $\sigma_i|_W = \sigma_j|_W$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{J}(A_i \cap A_j)$ . Thus  $\sigma \in T$ . For the reverse inclusion, take  $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N) \in T$  and define  $\rho: G \rightarrow G$  by  $\rho(x) = \rho_i(x)$  if  $x \in A_i$ . By the definition of  $T$ ,  $\rho$  is a well-defined function in  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  and we note that  $\psi(\rho) = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N)$ . Hence  $T \subseteq \text{Im } \psi$  as desired.  $\square$

We note that, using the above theorem, we again see that when  $C$  is a partition,  $\psi$  is surjective since in this case  $\mathcal{J}(A_i \cap A_j) = \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

In this paper we continue the work of [2]. We restrict our attention to a particular type of cover, namely the cover,  $C$ , by maximal abelian subgroups and, for the most part, to special classes of finite nonabelian groups. We then investigate the image  $\psi(\mathcal{R}(C))$  or more specifically the associated semisimple ring,  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ .

**Conventions:** All groups,  $G$ , in this paper will be finite and, unless stated otherwise  $C$  will always denote the cover of  $G$  by its maximal abelian subgroups. By maximal we always mean proper. If the order of the group  $G$ , denoted by  $|G|$ , is at most 3 then  $G$  has no cover by maximal abelian subgroups, so we take  $|G| \geq 4$ .

## 2. The symmetric group $S_n$

We note first that we take  $n \geq 4$ . For if  $n = 2$ ,  $S_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  which has no cover by maximal abelian subgroups. For  $S_3$  we see from [2] that  $\mathcal{R}(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$  and thus  $J(\mathcal{R}(C)) = \{0\}$ . The main tool for our investigation of the symmetric group is the characterization of the maximal abelian

subgroups of  $S_n$  given by Reinhard Winkler in [4]. We summarize his results which are relevant to our work.

Let  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be any partition of  $M$  and for every  $K \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $+_K$  be an abelian group operation on  $K$ . For every choice  $a = (a_K)_{K \in \mathcal{P}}$ ,  $a_K \in K$ , put  $f_a(b) = a_K +_K b$  for  $b \in K$ . Define

$$J_{\mathcal{P}, (+_K)_{K \in \mathcal{P}}} := \{f_a \mid a = (a_K)_{K \in \mathcal{P}}, a_K \in K\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([4]). (i)  $H = H_{\mathcal{P}, (+_K)_{K \in \mathcal{P}}}$  is an abelian subgroup of  $S_n$  and is maximal with respect to this property if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  does not contain more than one singleton class.

(ii) Every maximal abelian subgroup  $H$  of  $S_n$  is of this form, i.e., there is a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $M$  containing not more than one singleton class and a family  $(+_K)$  of abelian group operations  $+_K$  on  $K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $H = H_{\mathcal{P}, (+_K)_{K \in \mathcal{P}}}$ .

We remark that we use the cycle notation for the elements in  $S_n$  and denote the operation (composition) with the addition symbol “+.” Before going into the general situation we consider the specific example  $S_4$  which will illustrate some of the techniques.

**Example 2.2.** For  $n = 4$  we have the partitions  $4 + 0, 3 + 1, 2 + 2$  in which there is at most one singleton. For the partition  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  we have the cyclic groups  $\langle(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1\ 2\ 4\ 3)\rangle$  and  $\langle(1\ 3\ 2\ 4)\rangle$ . There are other abelian group structures on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  but these are “picked up” in the  $2 + 2$  cases. For  $3 + 1$  we get the cyclic groups  $\langle(1\ 2\ 3)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1\ 2\ 4)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1\ 3\ 4)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(2\ 3\ 4)\rangle$  and for  $2 + 2$  we get  $\langle(1\ 2), (3\ 4)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1\ 3), (2\ 4)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1\ 4), (2\ 3)\rangle$  so we have groups generated by 4-cycles, 3-cycles and 2-cycles. If  $c$  is a 4-cycle or a 3-cycle we get for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ . Suppose  $\sigma(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) = k(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ . Then  $2\sigma(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) = k^2(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  or  $\sigma((1\ 3) + (2\ 4)) = k(1\ 3) + k(2\ 4)$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma(1\ 3) = x_1(1\ 3) + x_2(2\ 4)$  and  $\sigma(2\ 4) = y_1(1\ 3) + y_2(2\ 4)$ . From this we find  $x_1 + y_1 \equiv k \equiv x_2 + y_2 \pmod{2}$ . If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  then  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  so  $\sigma(1\ 3) = \sigma(2\ 4)$  and conversely if  $\sigma(1\ 3) = \sigma(2\ 4)$  then  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . A similar argument holds for the other 4-cycles. Define

$$I := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(C) \mid \rho(d) = 0$$

for each 3-cycle  $d$  and  $\rho(c) \in \langle 2c \rangle$  for each 4-cycle  $c\}$ .

We note that  $I$  is a nil ideal in  $\mathcal{R}(C)$ .

Now suppose  $c = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  and  $\sigma(c) \in \{c, 3c\}$ . Then  $x_1 + y_1 \equiv 1 \equiv x_2 + y_2 \pmod{2}$  and  $\sigma$  has the matrix representation  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\langle(1\ 3), (2\ 4)\rangle$ .

If  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 1$  then  $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ . Note  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and the second matrix in the sum represents a function in  $I$  restricted to  $\langle (1\ 3), (2\ 4) \rangle$ . Hence modulo  $I$ , each 4-cycle determines a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus we have  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3)^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ . Since  $I$  is a nil ideal and  $J(\mathcal{R}(C)/I) = \{0\}$  we have  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ . (See [1], Corollary 15.12.)

Since we make use of this result from [1] several times in the sequel we state it for reference.

**Theorem 2.3** ([1], Corollary 15.12). *Let  $I$  be an ideal of the ring  $R$ . If  $I$  is nil and if  $J(R/I) = \{0\}$ , then  $I = J(R)$ .*

We return to the general case and take  $n \geq 5$ . Let  $H$  be a maximal abelian subgroup of  $S_n$ . Then  $H$  is a direct sum of finite cyclic groups and each generator of these cyclic subgroups is of prime power order. We focus on cycles. However we should mention that the generators of  $H$  need not be cycles of prime power order, but can be sums of such cycles. For example in  $S_6$ , the subgroup,  $H$ , generated by the cycle  $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6)$  is a maximal abelian subgroup and  $H$  has generators  $\sigma_1 = (1\ 4) + (2\ 5) + (3\ 6)$  of order 2 and  $\sigma_2 = (1\ 5\ 3) + (2\ 6\ 4)$ . (Note  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \sigma$ .)

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $c$  be a cycle in  $S_n$  of order  $|c|$ , i.e.,  $|c|c = 0$  in  $S_n$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . Then  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$  unless  $|c| = 2^m$ ,  $m \geq 2$  and  $n = |c| + 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|c| = n$  or  $|c| = n - 1$  then  $\langle c \rangle$  is the unique maximal abelian subgroup containing  $c$  so by definition,  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ . If  $n - |c| \geq 3$  then one can find suitable partitions  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  of those elements in  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  not in  $c$  to determine maximal abelian subgroups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that  $H_1 \cap H_2 = \langle c \rangle$ . Hence  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ .

It remains to consider  $n - |c| = 2$ . If  $|c|$  is odd, let  $t$  be the 2-cycle determined by the elements in  $M$  not in  $c$ . From this we get that  $\langle c, t \rangle$  is a maximal abelian subgroup and  $\sigma(c) = xc + yt$ . But then  $0 = \sigma(|c|c) = |c|\sigma(c) = |c|yt$ , so  $y = 0$  and  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ . Next suppose that  $|c| = 2^m \ell$ ,  $\ell$  odd,  $\ell \geq 3$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Again let  $t$  be the 2-cycle associated with  $c$  and so, as above,  $\sigma(c) = xc + yt$  for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . We note that  $\ell c$  is the sum of  $\ell$  disjoint  $2^m$ -cycles, say  $\ell c = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_\ell$ . Using an appropriate partition,  $\langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell \rangle$  is a subgroup of a maximal abelian subgroup and also one finds  $\sigma(b_i) \in \langle b_i \rangle$  for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . We take  $\sigma(b_i) = k_i b_i$ . Thus  $\sigma(\ell c) = \ell \sigma(c) = \ell xc + \ell yt = xb_1 + xb_2 + \dots + xb_\ell + \ell yt$ . But also  $\sigma(\ell c) = \sigma(b_1 + \dots + b_\ell) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \sigma(b_i) = k_1 b_1 + \dots + k_\ell b_\ell$ . From this we get  $y = 0$  and  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ .

Now let  $n = 2^m + 2$  and let  $c$  be a cycle in  $S_n$ . If  $|c|$  is odd then  $n = |c| + 2k + 1$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(c) = sxc + y_1 t_1 + \dots + y_k t_k$  where

the  $t_k$  are 2-cycles. Then  $0 = |c|\sigma(c) = y_1|c|t_1 + \cdots + y_k|c|t_k$  which implies  $y_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  so  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$ . If  $|c|$  is even and  $|c| < 2^m$  then  $n = |c| + 2h$  and  $n = |c| + (2h - 1) + 1$ . From suitable partitions we get two maximal abelian subgroups whose intersection is  $\langle c \rangle$ . Again we obtain  $\sigma(c) \in \langle c \rangle$  for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . When  $|c| = 2^m$  we get a unique 2-cycle,  $t_c$  associated with  $c$  and  $\langle c, t_c \rangle$  is a maximal abelian subgroup so  $\sigma(c) = xc + yt_c$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ .  $\square$

Let  $b$  be an element in  $S_n$  of prime power order, say  $|b| = p^{m_1}$  where, if  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2$ ,  $|b| \neq 2^{m_1}$ . If  $b$  is a cycle, then from the above theorem  $\sigma(b) \in \langle b \rangle$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ , say  $\sigma(b) = kb$ . Now  $k = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$  so  $\sigma(b) = rb + qpb$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . If  $b$  is not a cycle then we first take  $b$  as the sum of disjoint cycles of order  $p^{m_1}$ ,  $b = b_1 + \cdots + b_t$ . Then there is a cycle  $c$  of order  $tp^{m_1}$  such that  $tc \equiv b$ . We know  $\sigma(c) = kc$  so  $\sigma(b) = \sigma(tc) = t\sigma(c) = tkc = kb$  and again we get  $\sigma(b) = sb + \hat{q}pb$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Note also that  $\sigma(b_i) = k_i b_i$  so  $\sigma(b) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t k_i b_i$ . This implies that  $k \equiv k_i \pmod{p}$ , for each  $i$ .

For the general case we take  $b$  to be the sum of elements of order  $p^{m_i}$ ,  $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_t$ . Let  $b_i$  be the sum of the summands of order  $p^{m_i}$ . We have just shown that  $\sigma(b_i) = r_i b_i + q_i p b_i$ . Using a suitable partition,  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle$  is a subgroup of a maximal abelian subgroup so  $\sigma(b) = \sigma(b_1) + \cdots + \sigma(b_t) = r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 + \cdots + r_t b_t + p \hat{b}$  where  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\hat{b}$  is an element of prime power order. We want to show  $r_i = r_j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Note that each  $p^{m_i-1} b_i$  is a sum of  $p$ -cycles,  $b_{i1} + \cdots + b_{iN_i}$ . Using these  $p$ -cycles we can form a cycle  $c$  of order  $(N_1 + \cdots + N_t)p$  and we know  $\sigma(c) = rc$ . Then  $\sigma((N_1 + \cdots + N_t)c) = r(N_1 + \cdots + N_t)c$  and from this we find  $r_i \equiv r \equiv r_j \pmod{p}$ .

We summarize the above.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $b$  is an element in  $S_n$  of prime power order  $p^m$  where  $|b| \neq 2^m$  if  $n = 2^m + 2$ , then for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(b) = r_\sigma b + p \hat{b}$  where  $\hat{b}$  is an element of prime power order and  $r_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

We now turn to one of our main results.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $C = \{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$  be the cover of  $S_n$  by maximal abelian subgroups and let  $P := \{p_i | p_i \text{ is a prime integer, } p_i \leq n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \bigoplus_{p_i \in P} (\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})^{n_i}$ ,  $n_i \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* From abelian group theory each  $A_i$  decomposes into its primary components and each endomorphism of  $A_i$  decomposes into endomorphisms of these primary components. From Section 1 we have  $\mathcal{R}(C) \cong$

Im  $\psi$  where  $\psi(\sigma) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . From the decomposition into primary components we get  $\sigma_i = (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \dots, \sigma_{i\ell_i})$ . The primary components decompose further into cyclic groups in which each generator is an element of prime power order.

We first take  $n \neq 2^m + 2$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . Define

$$I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) \mid \sigma(b) \in \langle p_i \hat{b} \rangle \text{ for any element } b \text{ of prime power order} \\ p_i \in P, p_i^{n_i}, \text{ and } \hat{b} \text{ has order a power of } p_i\}.$$

One verifies that  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(C)$ , moreover a nil ideal.

As we noted above we only have to consider elements,  $b$ , of prime power order and so from Lemma 2.5, for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(b) = r_\sigma b + p\hat{b}$ ,  $r_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus we obtain an embedding  $\mathcal{R}(C) \hookrightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{p_i \in P} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} b \right) \oplus I$ ,  $b$  an element of order a power of  $p_i$ . This leads to an embedding of  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I$  into  $\bigoplus_{p_i \in P} (\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})^{m_i}$  and thus we have  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \bigoplus_{p_i \in P} (\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})^{n_i}$ ,  $n_i \geq 1$ .

Now take  $n = 2^m + 2$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . We modify the definition of  $I$ . The difference here is when  $c$  is a cycle of order  $2^m$ . Then there is a unique 2-cycle,  $t_c$ , associated with  $c$  and  $\sigma(c) = x_c c + y_c t_c$ . Define  $I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) \mid \sigma(c) \in \langle 2c, t_c \rangle \text{ if } c \text{ is a cycle of order } 2^m \text{ and } \sigma(c) \in \langle p\hat{c} \rangle \text{ if } c \text{ is any element of prime power order, not } 2^m \text{ and } \hat{c} \text{ is an element of order a power of } 2\}$ .

Again one finds that  $I$  is a nil ideal. For example if  $\sigma \in I$  and  $|c| = 2^m$  then  $\sigma(c) = k \cdot 2c + y t_c$  and  $\sigma^{2^{m-1}}(c) = 0$ . Now as in the previous case, for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(c) = x_\sigma c + y_\sigma t_c$  and  $x_\sigma = q \cdot 2 + r$ , so  $\sigma(c) = rc + q2c + y_\sigma t_c$  so  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \bigoplus_{p_i \in P} (\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})^{n_i}$ ,  $n_i \geq 1$ .

From Theorem 2.3,  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ . □

The above result is not very precise. One would like to specify the exponents  $n_i$  for a given  $n$ . We now turn to this specification. As we have seen above, each element  $b$  of prime power order  $p^m$  gives rise to a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in the decomposition of  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ . We wish to find how many distinct copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  appear in this decomposition. We know, for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(b) = kb$  modulo  $J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ . Further,  $p^{m-1}b$  is a sum of  $p$ -cycles  $p^{m-1}b = b_1 + \dots + b_\ell$  and  $\sigma(b_i) = k_i b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Just as we did in the discussion prior to Lemma 2.5 we find that  $k_i \equiv k \pmod{p}$ . Thus we can restrict to cycles of prime order, i.e.,  $p$ -cycles. So when  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are  $p$ -cycles and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$  we have  $\sigma(c_1) = k_1 c_1$  and  $\sigma(c_2) = k_2 c_2$ . We want to determine when  $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{p}$ , that is when the same copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is associated with any element of prime power  $p^m$  which contains either  $c_1$  or  $c_2$  as one of its disjoint summands.

If  $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod p$  we say  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are  $p$ -equivalent and write  $c_1 \sim_p c_2$ . In fact we note that  $hc_1 \sim_p c_1$  for any nonzero element  $hc_1$  in  $\langle c_1 \rangle$  so  $\sim_p$  is an equivalence relation on the subgroups of order  $p$  in  $S_n$ . We denote the number of equivalence classes by  $n_p$ . Thus the number of summands of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C))$  is  $n_p$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Disjoint  $p$ -cycles in  $S_n$  are  $p$ -equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be disjoint  $p$ -cycles in  $S_n$  so we must have  $n \geq 2p$ . Let  $c_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and  $c_2 = (y_1, \dots, y_p)$ . Form  $c_3 = (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , a cycle of order  $2p$ . If  $n = 2p$  or  $n = 2p + 1$  there is a unique maximal abelian subgroup containing  $c_3$  and for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(c_3) = k_3 c_3$ . We also have  $\sigma(c_1) = k_1 c_1$  and  $\sigma(c_2) = k_2 c_2$ . Therefore  $\sigma(2c_3) = k_3(2c_3) = k_3(c_1 + c_2)$ . But  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are in a maximal abelian subgroup so  $\sigma(2c_3) = \sigma(c_1 + c_2) = k_1 c_1 + k_2 c_2$  and we see  $k_1 \equiv k_3 \equiv k_2 \pmod p$ .

Next suppose  $n = 2p + 2$ . Let  $t$  denote the unique 2-cycle on the elements of  $M$  not in  $c_3$ . Then  $\langle c_3, t \rangle$  is a maximal abelian subgroup and  $\sigma(c_3) = xc_3 + yt$  so  $\sigma(2c_3) = x2c_3$  and the result follows as above. If  $n = 2p + n_1$ ,  $n_1 \geq 3$  we get  $\sigma(c_3) \in \langle c_3 \rangle$  and obtain  $c_1 \sim_p c_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *For  $n \geq 5$  all 2-cycles are 2-equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  be 2-cycles and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . Then  $\sigma(a) = k_1 a$  and  $\sigma(b) = k_2 b$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are disjoint, the result follows from the previous lemma. Otherwise we suppose  $a_1 = b_1$ . Since  $n \geq 5$ , there exist elements  $a_3, b_3$  in  $M$  different from  $a_1, a_2, b_2$ . Thus  $c = (a_3, b_3)$  is disjoint from  $a$  and  $b$ . Hence  $a \sim_p c \sim_p b$  as desired.  $\square$

We note that, from the above lemma, when  $n \geq 5$  only one copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  appears in the decomposition of  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ . We now take  $p$  to be an odd prime.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $x$  and  $y$  be  $p$ -cycles in  $S_n$  on  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$  respectively, where  $X \subseteq M$ ,  $Y \subseteq M$ . Let  $n_{12} = |X \cap Y|$ . If  $n \geq 2p + \min\{n_{12}, p - n_{12}\}$  then  $x \sim_p y$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{12}\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_{12}\}$  so we have  $n_{12} + 2(p - n_{12}) = 2p - n_{12}$  elements listed in  $X \cup Y$ . Note that  $p - n_{12} \neq n_{12}$  since  $p$  is an odd prime.

**Case (i).**  $p - n_{12} < n_{12}$ .

We have  $n \geq 2p + (p - n_{12})$  so we have at least  $2p + (p - n_{12}) - (2p - n_{12}) = p$  elements from  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  not yet listed in  $x$  and  $y$ . We use these  $p$  elements to obtain a  $p$ -cycle,  $w$ , disjoint from  $x$  and  $y$ . Thus  $x \sim_p w \sim_p y$ .

**Case (ii).**  $p - n_{12} > n_{12}$ .

In this case  $n \geq 2p + n_{12}$  and so there are at least  $2p + n_{12} - (2p - n_{12}) = 2n_{12}$  elements from  $M$  not yet listed. Note in this case  $2n_{12} < p$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n_{12}}$  and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_{12}}$  be  $2n_{12}$  elements not listed in  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $\bar{X} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n_{12}}, x_{n_{12}+1}, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $\bar{Y} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_{12}}, y_{n_{12}+1}, \dots, y_p\}$  and let  $\bar{x}$  be a  $p$ -cycle from the elements of  $\bar{X}$ ,  $\bar{y}$  a  $p$ -cycle from the elements of  $\bar{Y}$ . Then  $y \sim_p \bar{x} \sim_p \bar{y} \sim_p x$  giving the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $n \geq 2p + 1$  then all  $p$ -cycles in  $S_n$  are  $p$ -equivalent, i.e.,  $n_p = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_p)$  are arbitrary  $p$ -cycles in  $S_n$  with  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ . If  $X = Y$  then  $n_{12} - p = 0$  while if  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$  then  $n_{12} = 0$ . Thus by the above theorem,  $x \sim_p y$ . We take  $|X \cap Y| \geq 1$ . Let  $y_i \in Y - (X \cap Y)$  and  $x_j \in X - (X \cap Y)$ . Replace  $x_j$  in  $x$  by  $y_i$  to obtain  $x'$ . From the above theorem,  $x \sim_p x'$  since the intersection number  $n_{12} = p - 1$  and by hypothesis,  $n \geq 2p + \{p - 1, p - (p - 1)\}$ . Continuing by replacing one element at a time we get  $x \sim_p y$ .  $\square$

We classify the primes in  $P = \{p | p \text{ is a prime, } p \leq n\}$  into three subsets. Define  $P_1 = \{p \in P | 2p + 1 \leq n\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{p \in P | 2p = n < 2p + 1\}$  and  $P_3 = \{p \in P | p \leq n < 2p\}$ . As we have just seen, for primes  $p \in P_1$ , all  $p$ -cycles are  $p$ -equivalent, so  $n_p = 1$  for  $p \in P_1$ .

To investigate the primes in  $P_3$  we first indicate how many distinct subgroups of order  $p$  are in  $S_n$ . We choose  $p$  of the  $n$  elements in  $M$  and recall that each choice determines  $(p - 1)!$   $p$ -cycles. But each subgroup of order  $p$  contains  $p - 1$  of these cycles, so we have  $\binom{n}{p}(p - 2)!$  distinct subgroups of order  $p$  in  $S_n$ .

Suppose now  $p \in P_2$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  is a  $p$ -cycle. As noted above there are  $(p - 2)!$  subgroups using  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $(p - 2)!$  for the  $n - p = p$  other elements in  $M$ . Since these sets are disjoint we have  $2(p - 2)!$  subgroups in a class so in this case  $n_p = \frac{\binom{n}{p}(p-2)!}{2(p-2)!} = \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{p}$ .

We summarize this section in the following result.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $C$  be the cover of  $S_n$ , by maximal abelian subgroups and let  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  be the sets of prime numbers defined above. Then*



$\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P} (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n_p}$  where  $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3$  and

$$n_p = \begin{cases} 1, & p \in P_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{p}, & p \in P_2 \\ \binom{n}{p} (p-2)!, & p \in P_3. \end{cases}$$

We close this section with some examples for small  $n$ .

**Example 2.12.**  $C$  is the cover of  $S_n$  by maximal abelian subgroups.

- (i)  $n = 4$ ;  $P_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $P_2 = \{2\}$ ,  $P_3 = \{3\}$ ,  $n_2 = \frac{1}{2} \binom{4}{2} = 3$ ,  $n_3 = \binom{4}{3} (3-2)! = 4$  so  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3)^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$  as found in Example 2.2.
- (ii)  $n = 5$ ;  $P_1 = \{2\}$ ,  $P_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $P_3 = \{3, 5\}$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ ,  $n_3 = \binom{5}{3} (3-2)! = 10$ ,  $n_5 = \binom{5}{5} (5-5)! = 6$  so  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_3)^{10} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_5)^6$ .
- (iii)  $n = 10$ ;  $P_1 = \{2, 3\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{5\}$ ,  $P_3 = \{7\}$ ,  $n_2 = n_3 = 1$ ,  $n_5 = \frac{1}{2} \binom{10}{5} 3!$ ,  $n_7 = \binom{10}{7} 5!$  so  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_5)^{n_5} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_7)^{n_7}$ .
- (iv)  $n = 11$ ;  $P_1 = \{2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $P_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $P_3 = \{7, 11\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_7)^{n_7} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{11})^{n_{11}}$ .

### 3. $p$ -groups with a cyclic maximal subgroup

Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group having a cyclic subgroup which is also a maximal subgroup. The structure of groups with this property is well-known.

**Theorem 3.1** ([3, 5.3.4]). *A group of order  $p^n$  has a cyclic maximal subgroup if and only if it is one of the following types:*

- (i) a cyclic group of order  $p^n$ ;
- (ii) the direct product of a cyclic group of order  $p^{n-1}$  and one of order  $p$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ ;
- (iii) the dihedral group  $D_{2^{n-1}} = \langle x, y \mid 2^{n-1}x = 2y = 0, y + x = (2^{n-1} - 1)x + y \rangle, n \geq 3$ ;
- (iv) the group  $M_n(p) := \langle x, y \mid p^{n-1}x = py = 0, -y + x + y = (1 + p^{n-2})x \rangle, n \geq 3$ ;
- (v)  $SD_n := \langle x, y \mid 2^{n-1}x = 2y = 0, -y + x + y = (2^{n-2} - 1)x \rangle, n \geq 3$ ;

- (vi)  $GQ := \langle x, y | 2^{n-1}x = 0, 2y = 2^{n-2}x, -y + x + y = (2^{n-1} - 1)x \rangle, n \geq 3$ .

We consider the nonabelian cases separately in the following subsections. The cyclic group of order  $p^n$  has no cover by maximal abelian subgroups. The abelian case, i.e. part (ii) will be handled in the next section.

### 3.1. Dihedral group $D_n$

We consider here the collection of all dihedral groups rather than just dihedral  $p$ -groups. So we let  $D_n := \langle x, y | nx = 0 = 2y, y + x = (n - 1)x + y \rangle$ .

**Case A.1.**  $n$  odd.

The maximal abelian subgroups are the cyclic subgroups

$$C = \{\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle, \langle x + y \rangle, \langle 2x + y \rangle, \dots, \langle (n - 1)x + y \rangle\}.$$

Note that  $C$  is a partition so we have  $\mathcal{R}(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ ,  $p_i$  an odd prime, then  $J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong J(\mathbb{Z}_n) \oplus \{0\} = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^t p_i \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}} \right) \oplus \{0\}$  so  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} \right) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ .

**Case A.2.**  $n$  even.

Let  $C = \{\langle x \rangle, \langle \frac{n}{2}x, y \rangle, \langle \frac{n}{2}x, x + y \rangle, \dots, \langle \frac{n}{2}x, (\frac{n}{2} - 1)x + y \rangle\}$ . Note that  $C$  is a cover of  $D_n$  and each cell is abelian since the center of  $D_n$ ,  $Z(D_n)$ , is  $\langle \frac{n}{2}x \rangle$ . We show each cell is a maximal abelian subgroup. Since  $|\langle x \rangle| = n$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup. Suppose  $H$  is an abelian subgroup,  $H \supseteq \langle \frac{n}{2}x, rx + y \rangle$ . For  $w \in H$ ,  $w = hx + y$  and we have  $hx + y + rx + y = rx + y + hx + y$  so  $hx + (n - 1)rx = rx + (n - 1)hx$  or  $2hx = 2rx$ . Thus  $2h - 2r = qn$  or  $h = r + q \cdot \frac{n}{2}$ . Hence  $w = hx + y = q \cdot \frac{n}{2}x + rx + y$  which is in  $\langle \frac{n}{2}x, rx + y \rangle$ . Hence  $H = \langle \frac{n}{2}x, rx + y \rangle$  giving the result.

For notational convenience we let  $A := \langle x \rangle$  and  $A_i := \langle \frac{n}{2}x, ix + y \rangle$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1$  and take  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$  where as we have shown above,  $C$  is the cover of  $D_n$  by maximal abelian subgroups. On  $A$ ,  $\sigma(x) = kx$ . If we use the basis  $\{\frac{n}{2}x, ix + y\}$  on  $A_i$  then  $\sigma$  has the representation  $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{k} & b_{i1} \\ 0 & b_{i2} \end{bmatrix}$  on  $A_i$  where  $\bar{k} \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . Thus  $\sigma \mapsto \psi(\sigma) = \left( k, \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k} & b_{01} \\ 0 & b_{02} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} \bar{k} & b_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1} \\ 0 & b_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2} \end{bmatrix} \right)$ . From this we see  $|\mathcal{R}(C)| = n4^{\frac{n}{2}} = n \cdot 2^n$ . Suppose  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t}$  where the  $p_i$  are primes and we have  $p_1 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq 1$ . Define  $I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) | \sigma(x) = (p_1 \dots p_t)x \text{ and } \sigma(ix + y) \in \langle \frac{n}{2}x \rangle\}$ . Calculations show that  $I$  is an ideal. Moreover for  $\sigma \in I$ ,  $\sigma^2(ix + y) = \sigma(h \cdot \frac{n}{2}x) = 0$

while  $\sigma^2(x) = p_1^2 p_2^2 \dots p_t^2 \psi$ . Thus  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  and we find  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \frac{\text{Im } \psi}{\psi(I)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n}{p_1 \dots p_t \mathbb{Z}_n} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . Again, applying Theorem 2.3 we see that  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D_n$  be the dihedral group of order  $2n$  and let  $C$  be the cover of  $D_n$  by maximal abelian subgroups. If  $n = 2^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ ,  $p_i$  an odd prime, then*

$$\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} & \text{if } \alpha_0 > 0. \end{cases}$$

### 3.2. The group

$$M_n(p) := \langle x, y | p^{n-1}x = py = 0; -y + x + y = (1 + p^{n-2})x \rangle$$

The group  $M_n(p)$  has  $p^n$  elements and its center  $Z(M_n(p)) = \langle px \rangle$ . One finds that

$$C := \{ \langle x \rangle, \langle x + y \rangle, \dots, \langle x + (p - 1)y \rangle, \langle y, px \rangle \}$$

is the cover by maximal abelian subgroups. Let  $A_i := \langle x + iy \rangle$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$  and  $A := \langle y, px \rangle$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ , let  $\sigma(x) = kx$  and  $\sigma(x + iy) = k_i(x + iy)$ . Since  $\langle px \rangle$  is contained in each of the cells of  $C$ , there exist  $h_i$  such that  $h_i(x + iy) = px$ . Thus  $\sigma(px) = h_i \sigma(x + iy) = h_i k_i(x + iy) = k_i px$ . But also  $\sigma(px) = p\sigma(x) = kpx$ . Thus we find  $k \equiv k_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$ . On the cell  $A$ , with respect to the bases  $\{y, px\}$ ,  $\sigma$  has representation  $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ y_2 & k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y_2 & k \end{bmatrix}$ . If we let  $I := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) | \sigma(w) \in pM_n(p) \text{ for each } w \text{ in } M_n(p) \}$  then  $I$  is a nil ideal with  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$ . Applying Theorem 2.3 gives  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$ .

### 3.3. Semidihedral group

$$SD_n := \langle x, y | 2^{n-1}x = 0 = 2y; -y + x + y = (2^{n-2} - 1)x \rangle$$

Since  $2y = 0$ , from  $-y + x + y = (2^{n-2} - 1)x$  we get  $y + x = (2^{n-2} - 1)x + y$ . Using this we see if  $a$  is odd,  $\langle ax + y \rangle = \{0, ax + y, 2^{n-2}x, (2^{n-2} + a)x + y\}$  while if  $a$  is even,  $2(ax + y) = 0$  and  $\langle ax + y, 2^{n-2}x \rangle = \{0, ax + y, 2^{n-2}x, (2^{n-2}x + a)x + y\}$ . Since the center  $Z(SD_n) = \{0, 2^{n-2}x\}$  we find that the cover by maximal abelian subgroups is

$$C = \{ \langle x \rangle, \langle x + y \rangle \langle 2x + y, 2^{n-2}x \rangle, \langle 3x + y \rangle, \dots, \langle (2^{n-2} - 1)x + y \rangle, \langle 2^{n-2}x, y \rangle \}.$$

Let  $A := \langle x \rangle$  and  $A_i := \begin{cases} \langle ix + y \rangle & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ \langle ix + y, 2^{n-2}x \rangle, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(x) = kx$  and  $\sigma(ix + y) = k_i(ix + y)$  if  $i$  is odd. But then  $\sigma(2^{n-2}x) = k2^{n-2}x$  and  $2\sigma(ix + y) = \sigma(2^{n-2}x) = k_i2^{n-2}x$  which gives  $k \equiv k_i \pmod{2}$  when  $i$  is odd. For  $i$  even, using the basis  $\{2^{n-2}x, ix + y\}$ ,  $\sigma$  has the representation  $\begin{bmatrix} k & b_{i1} \\ 0 & b_{i2} \end{bmatrix}$  on  $A_i$ . If we define  $I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) \mid \sigma(x) \in \langle 2x \rangle \text{ and } \sigma(ix + y) \in \langle 2^{n-2}x \rangle \text{ for } i \text{ even}\}$  then calculations show that  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2^{n-3}}$  where the second summand arises from the  $2^{n-3}$  subgroups containing  $ix + y$ ,  $i$  even. Hence from Theorem 2.3,  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2^{n-3}+1}$ .

### 3.4. Generalized quaternion groups

$$GQ := \langle x, y \mid 2^{n-1}x = 0, 2y = 2^{n-2}x, -y + x + y = (2^{n-1} - 1)x \rangle$$

Since  $(2^{n-1} - 1)x = -x$  we find  $y + x = -x + y = (2^{n-1} - 1)x + y$ . Using this we find the cover by maximal abelian subgroups is

$$C = \{\langle x \rangle, \langle x + y \rangle, \langle 2x + y \rangle, \dots, \langle 2^{n-2}x + y \rangle = \langle y \rangle\}.$$

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(x) = kx$  and  $\sigma(ix + y) = k_i(ix + y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-2}$ . Since  $2(ix + y) = 2^{n-2}x$  we find  $\sigma(2^{n-2}x) = 2\sigma(ix + y) = k_i2^{n-2}$  and  $\sigma(2^{n-2}x) = k2^{n-2}x$  so  $k \equiv k_i \pmod{2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-2}$ . Let  $I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) \mid \sigma(x) \in \langle 2x \rangle\}$ . (Note  $\sigma(x) \in \langle 2x \rangle$  implies  $\sigma(w) \in \langle 2x \rangle$  for all  $w \in GQ$ .) Again  $I$  is a nil ideal and  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$  (using Theorem 2.3) and we see  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  is a local ring.

## 4. Finite abelian $p$ -groups

As in the above section we let  $p$  be an arbitrary but fixed prime integer and let  $A$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Thus we have  $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}}$ , so

without loss of generality, we take  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}}$  with the natural basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t\}$ . As usual  $C$  is the cover by maximal abelian subgroups, which in this case, is the cover by maximal subgroups. As is well known the intersection of all maximal subgroups of  $A$  is  $pA = \langle pe_1, \dots, pe_t \rangle$ .

**Case (i).**  $t = 2$ ,  $A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ ,  $n \geq m$ .

First we consider  $n \geq m \geq 2$ . Let  $C = \{\langle e_1, pe_2 \rangle, \langle e_1 + e_2, pe_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_1 + (p-1)e_2, pe_2 \rangle, \langle pe_1, e_2 \rangle\}$  and let  $w = ae_1 + be_2$  be arbitrary in  $A$ . If  $p \mid a$

then  $w \in \langle pe_1, e_2 \rangle$  or if  $p|b$  then  $w \in \langle e_1, pe_2 \rangle$ . Otherwise we have  $a$  is invertible mod  $p^n$  and  $a^{-1}w = e_1 + a^{-1}be_2$  and  $a^{-1}b \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$  so  $a^{-1}b = qp + r$ ,  $0 < r < p$ . Thus  $a^{-1}w = e_1 + re_2 + qpe_2 \in \langle e_1 + re_2, pe_2 \rangle$ . Thus we see  $C$  is a cover and since the order of each cell is  $p^{n+m-1}$ , each cell is a maximal subgroup, i.e.  $C$  is the cover by maximal abelian subgroups. Let  $A_i := \langle e_1 + ie_2, pe_2 \rangle$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  and let  $A_p := \langle pe_1, pe_2 \rangle$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ . Then on  $A_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ ,  $\sigma$  has representation  $\begin{bmatrix} k_{i1} & h_{i1} \\ k_{i2} & h_{i2} \end{bmatrix}$  using the generating set  $\{e_1 + ie_2, pe_2\}$  and on  $A_p$ , using  $\{pe_1, e_2\}$ ,  $\sigma$  has representation  $\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}$ . We then have  $\sigma(e_1 + ie_2) = k_{i1}(e_1 + ie_2) + k_{i2}pe_2$  so  $\sigma(pe_1 + ipe_2) = k_{i1}pe_1 + k_{i1}ipe_2 + k_{i2}p^2e_2$ . But  $\sigma(pe_1 + ipe_2) = pae_1 + be_2 + ip(cpe_1 + de_2)$ . Hence  $pa + icp^2 \equiv k_{i1}p \pmod{p^m}$  or  $k_{i1} \equiv a \pmod p$ .

Also, we get  $b \equiv 0 \pmod p$ . For,  $\sigma(pe_1) = ape_1 + be_2$  and  $\sigma(pe_1) = pk_{01}e_1 + pk_{02}pe_2$  so  $b \equiv k_{02}p^2 \pmod{p^n}$  giving the result. Further,  $\sigma(pe_2) = h_{i1}(e_1 + ie_2) + h_{i2}pe_2$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$  and also from  $\sigma(e_2) = cpe_1 + de_2$  one gets  $\sigma(pe_2) = cp^2e_1 + pde_2$ . Hence  $(ih_{i1} + h_{i2})p \equiv pd \pmod{p^n}$  and  $h_{i1} \equiv cp^2 \pmod{p^m}$ . From this  $h_{i1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  which in turn gives  $h_{i2} \equiv d \pmod p$ . Therefore on  $A_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ,  $\sigma$  has representation  $\begin{bmatrix} k_{i1} & h_{i1} \\ k_{i2} & h_{i2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} & h_{i1} \\ k_{i2} & h_{i2} \end{bmatrix}$  where the second summand maps  $A_i$  into  $pA$ . Also, on  $A_p$ ,  $\sigma$  has representation  $\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  where again the second summand map  $A_p$  into  $pA$ .

Define  $I := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) | \sigma(w) \in pA \text{ for all } w \in A\}$  and note  $I$  is a nil ideal. Moreover  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$  so from Theorem 2.3,  $I = J\mathcal{R}(C)$ .

If  $m = n = 1$  then  $A = \mathbb{Z}_p + \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The maximal abelian subgroups are the cyclic groups  $\langle e_1 + ie_2 \rangle$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$  and  $\langle e_2 \rangle$ . Thus we have a partition and  $\mathcal{R}(C) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{p+1}$  with  $J(\mathcal{R}(C)) = \{0\}$ .

**Case (ii).**  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}}$ ,  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t$  and  $t \geq 3$ .

We remark first that since  $t \geq 3$ , any two elements of  $A$  are contained in a maximal subgroup, so  $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \text{End}(A)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any element  $w \in A$ , let  $I(w)$  denote the intersection of all cells in  $C$  containing  $w$ . Then  $I(e_i) = \langle e_i \rangle + pA$  and  $I(e_i + e_j) = \langle e_i + e_j \rangle + pA$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ ,  $i \neq j$ .*

*Proof.* To illustrate the proof we let  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . First  $\langle e_1, pe_2, e_3, \dots, e_t \rangle, \dots, \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{t-1}, pe_t \rangle$  are maximal subgroups of  $A$  containing  $e_1$ . Hence  $I(e_1) \subseteq \langle e_1, pe_2, \dots, pe_t \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1 \rangle + pA$ . On the other hand, the intersection of all maximal subgroups is contained in  $I(e_1)$  which means  $pA \subseteq I(e_1)$ . But  $\langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq I(e_1)$  giving  $\langle e_1 \rangle + pA \subseteq I(e_1)$  and hence equality. Moreover,  $\langle e_1 + e_2, pe_2, e_3, \dots, e_t \rangle,$

$\langle e_1, e_2, pe_3, e_4, \dots, e_t \rangle, \dots, \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{t-1}, pe_t \rangle$  are maximal subgroups containing  $e_1 + e_2$  and we get  $I(e_1 + e_2) = \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle + pA$ .  $\square$

Now for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ ,  $\sigma(e_i) = a_i e_i + p w_i$ ,  $w_i \in A$  and  $\sigma(e_i + e_j) = a_{ij}(e_i + e_j) + p w_{ij}$ ,  $w_{ij} \in A$ . Since  $\sigma(e_i + e_j) = \sigma(e_i) + \sigma(e_j)$  we get  $a_i \equiv a_{ij} \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$  so for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $a_i = r + q_i p$ . From this we then get  $\sigma(e_i) = r e_i + b_{1i} e_1 + \dots + b_{ti} e_t$  where  $p | b_{ji}$ . Using the natural basis,  $\sigma$  has matrix representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} r + b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1t} \\ b_{21} & r + b_{22} & & \\ \vdots & b_{32} & & \\ & \vdots & & \\ b_{t1} & b_{t2} & & r + b_{tt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r & & & \\ & \ddots & \circ & \\ & \circ & \ddots & \\ & & & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1t} \\ b_{21} & & \\ \vdots & & \\ b_{t1} & \dots & b_{tt} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $p | b_{ij}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . If we let  $I = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(C) | \sigma(w) \in pA \text{ for } w \in A\}$  then  $I$  is a nil ideal,  $\mathcal{R}(C)/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $I = J(\mathcal{R}(C))$  by Theorem 2.3.

We summarize the results of this section.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a finite  $p$ -group,  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}}$ ,  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t$  and let  $C$  be the cover of  $A$  by maximal subgroups. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}(C)/J(\mathcal{R}(C)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } t \geq 3; \\ \mathbb{Z}_p + \mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } t = 2, n_1 \geq 2; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{p+1} & \text{if } t = 2, n_1 = 1 = n_2. \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.
- [2] Cannon, G. Alan, Maxson, C.J., and Neuerburg, Kent M., "Rings and Covered Groups," *J. of Algebra*, 320 (2008), 1586–1598.
- [3] Robinson, D.J.S., *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.
- [4] Winkler, Reinhard, "On Maximal Abelian Groups of Maps," *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)*, 55 (1993), 414–420.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**C. J. Maxson**

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M  
University, College Station, TX, 77843-  
3368, USA and Department of Mathemat-  
ics, University of Stellenbosch, 7600 Stellen-  
bosch, South Africa

*E-Mail:* [cjmaxson@math.tamu.edu](mailto:cjmaxson@math.tamu.edu)

Received by the editors: 11.03.2009  
and in final form 01.05.2009.

Journal Algebra Discrete Math.