

SPONTANEOUS MAGNETIZATION OF QUANTUM XY-CHAIN FROM FINITE CHAIN FORM-FACTORS

N.Z. IORGOV

PACS 75.10Jm, 75.10.Pq,
05.50+q, 02.30Ik
©2010

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Nat. Acad. of Sci. of Ukraine
(14b, Metrolohichna Str., Kyiv 03680, Ukraine; e-mail: iorgov@bitp.kiev.ua)

Using the explicit factorized formulas for matrix elements (form-factors) of the spin operators between the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian of a finite quantum XY-chain in a transverse field, the spontaneous magnetization for σ^x and σ^y is re-derived in a simple way.

1. Introduction

The quantum XY-chain is one of the simplest models which is rich enough from the point of view of physics and, at the same time, admits a strict mathematical analysis. The study of this model was started in [1], where it was rewritten in terms of fermionic operators by means of the Jordan–Wigner transformation. Now this relation is a standard mean to study different properties (the spectrum of Hamiltonian [1, 2], correlation functions [3–5], emptiness formation probability [6], and entanglement entropy [7–9]) of the XY-chain. Although the Hamiltonian of the model is equivalent to the Hamiltonian of a free fermion system, the spin operators σ^x and σ^y are expressed in terms of fermionic operators in a non-local way. This non-locality leads to non-zero averages $\langle \sigma^x \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^y \rangle$ (spontaneous magnetization) in the ferromagnetic phase of the model in the thermodynamic limit.

In [10], we propose an alternative way to study correlation functions of the XY-model: we derive the formulas for matrix elements of the spin operators σ^x and σ^y between the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian of a finite quantum XY-chain in a transverse field. These formulas allow one to obtain at least formal expressions for the multipoint multitime correlation functions at a finite temperature. In this paper, as an application of the formulas for form-factors, the value of spontaneous magnetization for σ^x and σ^y is re-derived in a simple way.

In Section 2 we recall the definition of a finite quantum XY-chain in a transverse field, its phase diagram, and eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian and give general comments on matrix elements of the spin operators between

the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian. Section 3 is devoted to the description of a relation between the model of quantum XY-chain and the Ising model on a 2D lattice. In Section 4, we present formulas for matrix elements (form-factors) of the spin operators σ^x and σ^y between the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian of a finite quantum XY-chain derived in [10]. In Section 5, these formulas are rewritten for the case of an infinite-length chain. Here, we also re-derive the value of spontaneous magnetization for σ^x and σ^y .

2. The Finite Quantum XY-chain in a Transverse Field

The Hamiltonian of the XY-chain of length n in a transverse field h is [1, 2]

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1+\varkappa}{2} \sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x + \frac{1-\varkappa}{2} \sigma_k^y \sigma_{k+1}^y + h \sigma_k^z \right), \quad (1)$$

where σ_k^i are Pauli matrices, and \varkappa is the anisotropy. In the case $\varkappa = 0$, we get an XX-chain (isotropic case). The value $\varkappa = 1$ corresponds to the quantum Ising chain in a transverse field. In what follows, we restrict ourselves to the case $0 < \varkappa \leq 1$ and suppose the periodic boundary condition $\sigma_k^i = \sigma_{k+n}^i$.

Now consider the values of h . Due to the relationship of the XY chain and the 2D Ising model which will be discussed below, the coupling constant h plays the role of a temperature-like variable. The value $h > 1$ corresponds to the paramagnetic (disordered) phase. The value $0 \leq h < 1$ corresponds to the ferromagnetic (ordered) phase. At $h = 1$, there is a second-order phase transition. If $0 \leq h < (1 - \varkappa^2)^{1/2}$, it is an oscillatory region (because of the oscillatory behavior of the two-point correlation function). Another peculiarity related to this region is the following. At fixed \varkappa , $0 < \varkappa \leq 1$, in the region where $(1 - \varkappa^2)^{1/2} < h < 1$, the NS-vacuum energy is lower than the R-vacuum energy (asymptotically, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, they coincide). In the

region $0 \leq h < (1 - \varkappa^2)^{1/2}$, there are intersections at special values of h of these vacuum levels even at finite n . The number of these intersections grows with n . For a detailed analysis of the oscillatory region, see [3, 11].

In this paper, we consider the ferromagnetic phase which corresponds to $0 \leq h < 1$ in order to obtain a non-zero value of spontaneous magnetization.

Using the Jordan–Wigner and Bogoliubov transformations, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} of the XY-chain can be rewritten as the Hamiltonian of a system of free fermions and can be diagonalized [1, 2]. The relation between the energies ε and the momenta q of fermionic excitations is

$$\varepsilon(q) = ((h - \cos q)^2 + \varkappa^2 \sin^2 q)^{1/2}, \quad q \neq 0, \pi, \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon(0) = 1 - h, \quad \varepsilon(\pi) = h + 1.$$

The Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} commutes with the operator $\mathbf{V} = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \cdots \sigma_n^z$. Since $\mathbf{V}^2 = 1$, the eigenvectors are separated into two sectors with respect to the eigenvalue of $\mathbf{V} = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \cdots \sigma_n^z$ with specific sets of possible momenta (\mathcal{E} is the energy of state, i.e. the eigenvalue of \mathcal{H}):

- NS-sector: $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow +1 \Rightarrow$ “half-integer” momenta

$$q \in \text{NS} = \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{n}(j + 1/2) \right\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \in \text{NS}} \pm \varepsilon(q).$$

Each $-\varepsilon(q)$ in the expression for the energy corresponds to a fermionic excitation with momentum q . The number of excitations is even.

- R-sector: $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow -1 \Rightarrow$ “integer” momenta

$$q \in \text{R} = \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{n}j \right\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \in \text{R}} \pm \varepsilon(q). \quad (3)$$

The number of excitations is even. In the paramagnetic phase ($h > 1$), the energy of the fermionic excitation $\varepsilon(0)$ becomes negative. In this case, we define $\varepsilon(0) = h - 1$ together with the swapping between the absence/presence of the excitation with zero momentum. In other words, although the analytical expressions for the energies \mathcal{E} in terms of h and \varkappa are the same in both phases, due to the redefinition of $\varepsilon(0)$ in the case of $h > 1$, the number of excitations in the paramagnetic/ferromagnetic phase is odd/even.

We will denote the eigenvectors by the values of the excited momenta q corresponding to $-\varepsilon(q)$ in the expression for the energy \mathcal{E} .

Formally, in order to calculate any correlation function for the XY-chain, it is sufficient to insert a resolution of the identity operator as a sum of projectors to eigenspaces of the Hamiltonian between spin operators. It is the so-called Lehmann expansion. Then the problem is reduced to the problem of finding the matrix elements of the spin operators σ_k^x , σ_k^y , and σ_k^z between eigenstates of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} .

- *Matrix elements of σ_k^z :*

The operator σ_k^z commutes with $\mathbf{V} = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \cdots \sigma_n^z$. Therefore, the action of σ_k^z does not change the sector. In fact, the operator σ_k^z can be presented as a bilinear combination of the operators of creation and annihilation of fermionic excitations. Thus, the matrix elements of σ_k^z between the eigenvectors of \mathcal{H} can be easily calculated (most of them are 0). We will not consider such matrix elements in this paper.

- *Matrix elements of σ_k^x and σ_k^y :*

The operators σ_k^x and σ_k^y anticommute with $\mathbf{V} = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \cdots \sigma_n^z$. Therefore, their action changes the sector. The operators σ_k^x and σ_k^y cannot be presented in terms of fermionic operators in a local way. All the matrix elements of them between the eigenvectors of \mathcal{H} from different sectors are non-zero!

The idea of the derivation [10] of form-factors for σ_k^x and σ_k^y of a quantum finite XY-chain was to use the relations between three models: the model of quantum XY-chain in a transverse field, the Ising model on a 2D lattice and the $N = 2$ Baxter–Bazhanov–Stroganov (BBS) model. The relation between the first and second models was observed in [12], the relation between the second and third models was found in [13]. The latter relation together with the results on the separation of variables for the BBS model allowed one to prove [14] the formulas for the matrix elements of a spin operator of the Ising model found in [15, 16]. In [10], by using these relations between the models, we transferred the formulas for the form-factors of the $N = 2$ BBS model to the model of quantum XY-chain. A summarizing overview of the results on the separation of variables of the BBS model is given in [17].

In the following sections, we describe the relation between the model of quantum XY-chain in a transverse field and the Ising model on a 2D lattice. The parameters of the models are (h, \varkappa) and (K_x, K_y) , respectively. Then we present the formulas for the matrix elements

of the spin operators σ^x and σ^y between eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian of a finite quantum XY-chain derived in [10] and take the thermodynamic limit of these formulas. The obtained formulas allow us to re-derive the value of spontaneous magnetization for σ^x and σ^y .

3. Relation between a Quantum XY-chain and the Ising Model on a Lattice

The row-to-row transfer-matrix of the two-dimensional Ising model with parameters K_x, K_y can be chosen as

$$t_{\text{Is}} = T_1^{1/2} T_2 T_1^{1/2}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$T_1 = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n K_y^* \sigma_k^z\right), \quad T_2 = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n K_x \sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x\right). \quad (5)$$

The spin configurations of the rows are chosen to be labeled by the eigenvectors of the operators σ_k^x , and the parameter K_y^* is dual to K_y , i.e. $\tanh K_y = \exp(-2K_y^*)$.

In [12], M. Suzuki observed that if we choose K_x and K_y^* such that

$$\tanh 2K_x = \frac{\sqrt{1-\varkappa^2}}{h}, \quad \cosh 2K_y^* = \frac{1}{\varkappa}, \quad (6)$$

then Hamiltonian (1) of a XY-chain will commute with the transfer-matrix of the 2D Ising model (4), and these two operators have a common set of eigenvectors.

The dispersion relation for the fermions of the 2D Ising model with energies $\gamma(p)$ and momenta p is

$$\cosh \gamma(p) = \frac{(t_x + t_x^{-1})(t_y + t_y^{-1})}{2(t_x^{-1} - t_x)} - \frac{t_y^{-1} - t_y}{t_x^{-1} - t_x} \cos p, \quad (7)$$

$t_x = \tanh K_x, t_y = \tanh K_y$. We also have a relation between $\varepsilon(p)$ given by (2) and $\gamma(p)$:

$$\sinh \gamma(p) = \frac{\sqrt{1-\varkappa^2}}{\varkappa \sqrt{\varkappa^2 + h^2 - 1}} \varepsilon(p). \quad (8)$$

Relation (8) between the energies of fermionic excitations of these two models seems to be new. The existence of such a relation is surprising, because the commutativity of Hamiltonian (1) of the XY-chain and the transfer-matrix (4) of the 2D Ising model does not imply *a priori* any relation between their eigenvalues.

4. Formula for the Matrix Elements

We use the Bugrij–Lisovsky formula [15, 16] for the matrix element of a spin operator between the eigenvectors $|\Phi_0\rangle_{\text{Is}} = |q_1, q_2, \dots, q_K\rangle_{\text{Is}}^{\text{NS}}$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle_{\text{Is}} = |p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L\rangle_{\text{Is}}^{\text{R}}$ of the transfer matrix (4) for the finite 2D Ising model

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1} &= |\text{Is} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma_m^x | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{Is}}|^2 = \\ &= \xi \xi_T \left(\frac{t_y - t_y^{-1}}{t_x - t_x^{-1}} \right)^{(K-L)^2/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq K \\ 1 \leq l \leq L}} \frac{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(p_l)}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{q_k - p_l}{2}} \times \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{\prod_{q \neq q_k}^{\text{NS}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(q)}{2}}{n \prod_p^{\text{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(p)}{2}} \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{\prod_{p \neq p_l}^{\text{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(p_l) + \gamma(p)}{2}}{n \prod_q^{\text{NS}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(p_l) + \gamma(q)}{2}} \times \\ &\times \prod_{k < k'}^K \frac{\sin^2 \frac{q_k - q_{k'}}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(q_{k'})}{2}} \prod_{l < l'}^L \frac{\sin^2 \frac{p_l - p_{l'}}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(p_l) + \gamma(p_{l'})}{2}}, \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xi^4 = 1 - (\sinh 2K_x \sinh 2K_y)^{-2} = \frac{1 - h^2}{\varkappa^2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\xi_T^4 = \frac{\prod_q^{\text{NS}} \prod_p^{\text{R}} \sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(p)}{2}}{\prod_{q, q'}^{\text{NS}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(q')}{2} \prod_{p, p'}^{\text{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(p) + \gamma(p')}{2}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{t_y - t_y^{-1}}{t_x - t_x^{-1}} = \frac{1 - \varkappa^2}{\varkappa \sqrt{\varkappa^2 + h^2 - 1}}, \quad (12)$$

where we used (6) to write equivalent expressions in terms of different parameters.

We use the following main result of paper [10]: the matrix elements of spin operators between the eigenvectors $|\Phi_0\rangle_{\text{XY}} = |q_1, q_2, \dots, q_K\rangle_{\text{XY}}^{\text{NS}}$ from the NS-sector and $|\Phi_1\rangle_{\text{XY}} = |p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L\rangle_{\text{XY}}^{\text{R}}$ from the R-sector of Hamiltonian (1) of the XY-chain are

$$\begin{aligned} |_{\text{XY}} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma_m^x | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{XY}}|^2 &= \\ &= \frac{\varkappa}{2(1 + \varkappa)} \left(C_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}^{1/2} + C_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}^{-1/2} \right)^2 \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}, \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |_{\text{XY}} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma_m^y | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{XY}}|^2 &= \\ &= \frac{\varkappa}{2(1 - \varkappa)} \left(C_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}^{1/2} - C_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}^{-1/2} \right)^2 \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1}, \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where Ξ_{Φ_0, Φ_1} is given by (9) and

$$C_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1} = \frac{\prod_{p \in \mathbb{R}} e^{\gamma(p)/2} \prod_{k=1}^K e^{\gamma(q_k)}}{\prod_{q \in \mathbb{NS}} e^{\gamma(q)/2} \prod_{l=1}^L e^{\gamma(p_l)}}. \quad (15)$$

In the case of the quantum Ising chain ($\varkappa = 1$), the formula for the matrix element of the spin operator σ_k^x derived in [14] can be expressed in terms of the energies of excitations $\varepsilon(q)$. In the case of a general XY-chain, we were not able to find an analogous explicit formula and need to use relation (8).

5. Asymptotics of Form-Factors in the Limit of Infinite Chain and Spontaneous Magnetization

In this section, we analyze the asymptotics of different parts of form-factors in the thermodynamic limit (the length $n \rightarrow \infty$) of the XY-chain. They can be obtained from the integral representations for form-factors at finite n [16, 18]. We slightly change the method. Our derivation is based on the following formulas valid for arbitrary $|\lambda| < 1$ and $|\lambda| > 1$, respectively:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{\prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - e^{\gamma(p)})}{\prod_p^{\mathbb{NS}} (\lambda - e^{\gamma(p)})} = 0. \quad (16)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{\prod_p^{\mathbb{NS}} (\lambda - e^{-\gamma(p)})}{\prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - e^{-\gamma(p)})} = 0. \quad (17)$$

At $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = e^{\gamma(q)}$, they give, respectively,

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_q^{\mathbb{NS}} \gamma(q) - \sum_p^{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(p) \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$e^{\eta(q)} = \frac{\prod_p^{\mathbb{NS}} (1 - e^{-\gamma(q) - \gamma(p)})}{\prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} (1 - e^{-\gamma(q) - \gamma(p)})} \rightarrow 1.$$

In turn, these two formulas yield

$$\frac{\prod_p^{\mathbb{NS}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(p)}{2}}{\prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(p)}{2}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Using it twice for ξ_T (see (11)) for fixed q and p , respectively, and taking into account that the left-hand sides of (16) and (17) vanish exponentially in n (see the derivation below), we get

$$\frac{\prod_q^{\mathbb{NS}} \prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(p)}{2}}{\prod_{q, q'}^{\mathbb{NS}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(q')}{2}}, \quad \frac{\prod_q^{\mathbb{NS}} \prod_p^{\mathbb{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(q) + \gamma(p)}{2}}{\prod_{p, p'}^{\mathbb{R}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(p) + \gamma(p')}{2}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, $\xi_T \rightarrow 1$ in the thermodynamic limit.

Finally, in the limit of the infinite XY-chain, formulas (13), (14) and (9) become

$$\begin{aligned} & |_{\text{XY}} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma_m^x | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{XY}}|^2 = \\ & = \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1} \frac{2\varkappa}{1 + \varkappa} \cosh^2 \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(q_k) - \sum_{l=1}^L \gamma(p_l)}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |_{\text{XY}} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma_m^y | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{XY}}|^2 = \\ & = \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1} \frac{2\varkappa}{1 - \varkappa} \sinh^2 \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(q_k) - \sum_{l=1}^L \gamma(p_l)}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\Phi_0, \Phi_1} & = \xi \left(\frac{t_y - t_y^{-1}}{t_x - t_x^{-1}} \right)^{(K-L)^2/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq K \\ 1 \leq l \leq L}} \frac{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(p_l)}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{q_k - p_l}{2}} \times \\ & \times \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{n \sinh \gamma(q_k)} \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{1}{n \sinh \gamma(p_l)} \times \\ & \times \prod_{k < k'}^K \frac{\sin^2 \frac{q_k - q_{k'}}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(q_k) + \gamma(q_{k'})}{2}} \prod_{l < l'}^L \frac{\sin^2 \frac{p_l - p_{l'}}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\gamma(p_l) + \gamma(p_{l'})}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Formulas (18) and (19) at $K = L = 0$ allow us to re-obtain the formulas for the spontaneous magnetization found in [12]. Indeed, for the quantum XY-chain in the ferromagnetic phase ($0 \leq h < 1$) and in the thermodynamic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ (when the energies of $|\Phi_0\rangle_{\text{XY}} = |\text{vac}\rangle_{\mathbb{NS}}$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle_{\text{XY}} = |\text{vac}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ asymptotically coincide, giving the degeneration of the ground state), the spontaneous magnetization is

$$\langle \sigma^{x,y} \rangle_{\text{XY}} = {}_{\text{XY}} \langle \Phi_0 | \sigma^{x,y} | \Phi_1 \rangle_{\text{XY}},$$

$$\langle \sigma^x \rangle_{\text{XY}} = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\varkappa^2 (1 - h^2)}{(1 + \varkappa)^4} \right)^{1/8}, \quad \langle \sigma^y \rangle_{\text{XY}} = 0.$$

At the end of this section, we give the derivation of (16) and (17). It repeats the derivation of the asymptotics of $\eta(q)$ in [18]. We use the function

$$T^2(z) = \frac{\prod_q^{\mathbb{R}} (\cosh \bar{\gamma}(p) - \cos q)}{\prod_q^{\mathbb{NS}} (\cosh \bar{\gamma}(p) - \cos q)}, \quad z = e^{-ip},$$

where $\bar{\gamma}(p)$ is defined as $\gamma(p)$ in (7) but with the interchange $t_x \leftrightarrow t_y$ (i.e. $\bar{\gamma}(p)$ is the energy of fermionic excitations corresponding to the evolution in the transverse direction on a 2D Ising lattice). The evaluation of the products over q gives

$$T(z) = \tanh(n\bar{\gamma}(p)/2). \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, due to the relation

$$\cosh \bar{\gamma}(p) - \cos q = \frac{t_x - t_x^{-1}}{t_y - t_y^{-1}} (\cosh \gamma(q) - \cos p),$$

we have

$$\log T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\prod_q^R (z - e^{\gamma(q)})(z - e^{-\gamma(q)})}{\prod_q^{NS} (z - e^{\gamma(q)})(z - e^{-\gamma(q)})} \right).$$

At $|\lambda| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i\pi} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz \log T(z)}{z - \lambda} &= -\frac{1}{i\pi} \oint_{|z|=1} dz \log(z - \lambda) \frac{T'(z)}{T(z)} = \\ &= \log \frac{\prod_q^{NS} (\lambda - e^{-\gamma(q)})}{\prod_q^R (\lambda - e^{-\gamma(q)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have integrated by parts and taken the contribution of the simple poles of $T'(z)/T(z)$ into account. Similarly, this integral at $|\lambda| < 1$ is

$$\frac{1}{i\pi} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz \log T(z)}{z - \lambda} = \log \frac{\prod_q^R (\lambda - e^{\gamma(q)})}{\prod_q^{NS} (\lambda - e^{\gamma(q)})},$$

where we also took the simple pole at $z = \lambda$ into account. Due to (21), we have $\log T(z) \rightarrow 0$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (16) and (17) in both cases of λ .

This article is based on the talk given at the Bogolyubov Kyiv conference “Modern Problems of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics”, September 15-18, 2009, Kyiv, Ukraine. The work was supported by the Program of Fundamental Research of the Physics and Astronomy Division of the NAS of Ukraine, the Ukrainian FRSF grants 28.2/083 and 29.1/028, by French-Ukrainian program “Dnipro” M17-2009 and the joint project PICS of CNRS and NAS of Ukraine.

1. E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, *Ann. Phys.* **16**, 407 (1961).
2. S. Katsura, *Phys. Rev.* **127**, 1508 (1962).
3. E. Barouch and B.M. McCoy, *Phys. Rev. A* **3**, 786 (1971).
4. A.G. Izergin, V.S. Kapitonov, and N.A. Kitanin, *J. of Math. Sciences* **100:2**, 2120 (2000).
5. V.S. Kapitonov and A.G. Pronko, *J. of Math. Sciences* **115:1**, 2009 (2003).
6. F. Franchini and A.G. Abanov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 5069 (2005).
7. G. Vidal, J.I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 227902 (2003).
8. I. Peschel, *J. Stat. Mech.* P12005 (2004).
9. A.R. Its, B-Q. Jin, and V.E. Korepin, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 2975 (2005).
10. N. Iorgov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* (2009), submitted for publication.
11. C. Hoeger, G. von Gehlen, and V. Rittenberg, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18**, 1813 (1985).
12. M. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett. A* **34**, 94 (1971).
13. A.I. Bugrij, N.Z. Iorgov, and V.N. Shadura, *JETP Lett.* **82**, 311 (2005).
14. G. von Gehlen, N. Iorgov, S. Pakuliak, V. Shadura, and Yu. Tykhyy, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**, 095003 (2008).
15. A. Bugrij and O. Lisovyy, *Phys. Lett. A* **319**, 390 (2003).
16. A. Bugrij and O. Lisovyy, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **140:1** 987 (2004).
17. G. von Gehlen, N. Iorgov, S. Pakuliak, and V. Shadura, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 304026 (2009).
18. A.I. Bugrij, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **127:1**, 528 (2001).

Received 24.09.09

СПОНТАННА НАМАГНІЧЕНІСТЬ КВАНТОВОГО
ХУ-ЛАНЦЮЖКА З ФОРМФАКТОРІВ
ДЛЯ СКІНЧЕННОГО ЛАНЦЮЖКА

М.З. Іоргов

Резюме

Використовуючи факторизовані формули для матричних елементів (формфакторів) спінових операторів між власними векторами гамільтоніана скінченного квантового ХУ-ланцюжка в поперечному полі, дано простий вивід формули для спонтанної намагніченості σ^x та σ^y .