

## Differential operator rings over 2-primal rings

VIJAY K. BHAT

(Presented by B. V. Novikov)

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . It is proved that  $R$  is a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra implies that the differential operator ring  $R[x, \delta]$  is a 2-primal Noetherian.

**2000 MSC.** 16XX, 16N40, 16P40, 16W20, 16W25.

**Key words and phrases.** 2-primal, Minimal prime, prime radical, nil radical, derivation.

### Introduction

A ring  $R$  always means an associative ring with identity.  $Q$  denotes the field of rational numbers.  $\text{Spec}(R)$  denotes the set of prime ideals of  $R$ .  $\text{MinSpec}(R)$  denotes the sets of minimal prime ideals of  $R$ .  $P(R)$  and  $N(R)$  denote the prime radical and the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  respectively. Let  $I$  and  $J$  be any two ideals of a ring  $R$ . Then  $I \subset J$  means that  $I$  is strictly contained in  $J$ .

Before we discuss 2-primal rings, let us briefly recall the definitions of some Ore extensions concerning this paper.

Recall that a derivation of a ring  $R$  is an additive map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . For example let  $R = K[x]$ ,  $K$  is a field. Then the formal derivative  $d/dx$  is a derivation of  $R$ .

Differential operator ring  $R[x, \delta]$  is the usual polynomial ring with coefficients in  $R$  in which multiplication is subject to the relation  $ax = xa + \delta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . We take any  $f(x) \in R[x, \delta]$  to be of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$ . We denote  $R[x, \delta]$  by  $D(R)$ . If  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -invariant (i.e.  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ ) ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[x, \delta]$  is an ideal of  $D(R)$ . We denote  $I[x, \delta]$  as usual by  $D(I)$ .

---

Received 21.03.2007

Let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ . A  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  is an additive map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . For example for any endomorphism  $\tau$  of a ring  $R$  and for any  $a \in R$ ,  $\varrho : R \rightarrow R$  defined as  $\varrho(r) = ra - a\tau(r)$  is a  $\tau$ -derivation of  $R$ . Also let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  any map. Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow M_2(R)$  be a map defined by

$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(r) & 0 \\ \delta(r) & r \end{pmatrix},$$

for all  $r \in R$ . Then  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ .

Recall that the Ore extension  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is the usual polynomial ring with coefficients in  $R$  in which multiplication is subject to the relation  $ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . We take any  $f(x) \in R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  to be of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$ . We denote  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  by  $O(R)$ . If  $J$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable (i.e.  $\sigma(J) = J$ ) ideal and  $\delta$ -invariant (i.e.  $\delta(J) \subseteq J$ ) ideal of  $R$ , then  $J[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is an ideal of  $O(R)$ . We denote  $J[x, \sigma, \delta]$  as usual by  $O(J)$ .

Now this article concerns the study of differential operator rings in terms of 2-primal rings. We recall that a ring  $R$  is 2-primal if and only if the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  and the prime radical of  $R$  are same if and only if the prime radical is a completely semiprime ideal. An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called completely semiprime if  $a^2 \in I$  implies  $a \in I$ , where  $a \in R$ . Also  $I$  is called completely prime if  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for  $a, b \in R$ . We note that a reduced ring is 2-primal and a commutative ring is also 2-primal. For further details on 2-primal rings, we refer the reader to [2, 4, 7, 9, 10].

2-primal rings have been studied in recent years and the 2-primal property is being studied for various types of rings. In [10], Greg Marks discusses the 2-primal property of  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $R$  is a local ring,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ .

Minimal prime ideals of 2-primal rings have been discussed by Kim and Kwak in [9]. 2-primal near rings have been discussed by Argac and Groenewald in [2].

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . Recall that as defined in [4] a ring  $R$  is called a  $\delta$ -ring if  $a\delta(a) \in P(R)$  implies  $a \in P(R)$ , where  $P(R)$  denotes the prime radical of  $R$ . Note that a ring with identity is not a  $\delta$ -ring. The following result has been proved in Theorem 2.8 of [4] concerning  $\delta$ -rings:

Let  $R$  be a  $\delta$ -Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for all  $a \in R$ ;  $\sigma(P) = P$  for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  and  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ . Then  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is 2-primal.

Now there arises a natural question:

Let  $R$  be a 2-primal ring. Is  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  also a 2-primal ring? For the time being we are not able to answer this question, but towards this we prove the following result in this paper:

Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Then  $R[x, \delta]$  is 2-primal Noetherian. This is proved in Theorem 1.2.

Before proving the above result, we find a relation between the minimal prime ideals of  $R$  and those of  $R[x, \delta]$ , where  $R$  is a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. This is proved in Theorem 1.1.

Ore-extensions including skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings have been of interest to many authors. See [1, 3, 4, 8].

## 1. Differential operator ring $D(R) = R[x, \delta]$

Before we proceed further, we recall that Gabriel proved in Lemma 3.4 of [5] that if  $R$  is a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  is a derivation of  $R$ , then  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . This result has been generalized in Theorem 2.2 of [4] for a  $\sigma$ -derivation  $\delta$  of  $R$  and it has been proved that if  $R$  is a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for all  $a \in R$ , then any  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(O(R))$  with  $\sigma(P) = P$  implies that  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ .

The following Proposition follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 of [4], but we give a sketch of the proof in order to make the paper self contained.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Let  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Then  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_1 \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Let  $T = R[[t]]$ , the formal power series ring. Now it can be seen that  $e^{t\delta}$  is an automorphism of  $T$  and  $P_1T \in \text{MinSpec}(T)$ . We also know that  $(e^{t\delta})^k(P_1T) \in \text{MinSpec}(T)$  for all integers  $k \geq 1$ . Now  $T$  is Noetherian by Exercise 1ZA(c) of Goodearl and Warfield [6], and therefore Theorem 2.4 of Goodearl and Warfield [6] implies that  $\text{MinSpec}(T)$  is finite. So exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $(e^{t\delta})^n(P_1T) = P_1T$ ; i.e.  $(e^{nt\delta})(P_1T) = P_1T$ . But  $R$  is a  $Q$ -algebra, therefore,  $e^{t\delta}(P_1T) = P_1T$ . Now for any  $a \in P_1$ ,  $a \in P_1T$  also, and so  $e^{t\delta}(a) \in P_1T$ ; i.e.  $a + t\delta(a) + (t^2/2!)\delta^2(a) + \dots \in P_1T$ , which implies that  $\delta(a) \in P_1$ . Therefore  $\delta(P_1) \subseteq P_1$ .

Now  $P(R) \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  implies that  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq \delta(P) \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore

$$\delta(P(R)) \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)} P = P(R).$$

□

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Let  $\delta$  be as usual. Then  $D(N(R)) = N(D(R))$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $D(N(R)) \subseteq N(D(R))$ . We will show that  $N(D(R)) \subseteq D(N(R))$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i \in N(D(R))$ . Then  $(f)(D(R)) \subseteq N(D(R))$ , and  $(f)(R) \subseteq N(D(R))$ . Let  $((f)(R))^k = 0$ ,  $k > 0$ . Then equating leading term to zero, we get  $(x^m a_m R)^k = 0$ . This implies on simplification that  $x^{km} (a_m R)^k = 0$ . Therefore  $(a_m R)^k = 0 \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . So we have  $a_m R \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore  $a_m \in P(R) = N(R)$ . Now  $x^m a_m \in D(N(R)) \subseteq N(D(R))$  implies that  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^i a_i \in N(D(R))$ , and with the same process, in a finite number of steps, it can be seen that  $a_i \in P(R) = N(R)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Therefore  $f \in D(N(R))$ . Hence  $N(D(R)) \subseteq D(N(R))$  and the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Then  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$  if and only if  $P = D(P \cap R)$  and  $P \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_1 \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Then  $\delta(P_1) \subseteq P_1$  by Proposition 1.1. Therefore by [11, 14.2.5 (ii)],  $D(P_1) \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$ . Suppose  $P_2 \subset D(P_1)$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $D(R)$ . Then

$$P_2 = D(P_2 \cap R) \subset D(P_1) \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R)).$$

So  $P_2 \cap R \subset P_1$  which is not possible.

Conversely suppose that  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . Then  $P \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$  by Lemma 2.21 of Goodearl and Warfield [6]. Let  $P_1 \subset P \cap R$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $D(P_1) \subset D(P \cap R)$  and as in first paragraph  $D(P_1) \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $P \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section in the form of the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Then  $D(R)$  is 2-primal Noetherian.*

*Proof.*  $R$  is Noetherian implies  $D(R)$  is Noetherian follows from Hilbert Basis Theorem, namely Theorem 1.12 of Goodearl and Warfield [6]. Now  $R$  is 2-primal implies  $N(R) = P(R)$  and Proposition (1.1) implies that  $\delta(N(R)) \subseteq N(R)$ . Therefore  $D(N(R)) = D(P(R))$ . Now by Proposition 1.2  $D(N(R)) = N(D(R))$ .

We now show that  $D(P(R)) = P(D(R))$ . It is easy to see that  $D(P(R)) \subseteq P(D(R))$ .

Now let

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^t x^i b_i \in P(D(R)).$$

Then  $g \in P_i$ , for all  $P_i \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . Now Theorem 1.1 implies that there exists  $U_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  such that  $P_i = D(U_i)$ . Now it can be seen that  $P_i$  are distinct implies that  $U_i$  are distinct. Therefore  $g \in D(U_i)$ . This implies that  $b_i \in U_i$ . Thus we have  $b_i \in U_i$ , for all  $U_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore  $b_i \in P(R)$ , which implies that  $g \in D(P(R))$ . So we have  $P(D(R)) \subseteq D(P(R))$ , and hence  $D(P(R)) = P(D(R))$ .

Thus we have

$$P(D(R)) = D(P(R)) = D(N(R)) = N(D(R)).$$

Hence  $D(R)$  is 2-primal. □

**Question 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Is  $O(R)$  2-primal (even if  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for all  $a \in R$ )?*

*The main difficulty is that Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 do not hold.*

A step towards the answer of the above question is the following Proposition and may give some idea:

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be a  $\sigma$ -derivation on  $R$ . Then:*

1. *For any completely prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  with  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ ,  $P[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$ .*
2. *For any completely prime ideal  $U$  of  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$ ,  $U \cap R$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* See [4, Proposition 2.5]. □

## References

- [1] S. Annin, *Associated primes over skew polynomial rings* // Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 2511–2528.
- [2] N. Argac and N. J. Groenewald, *A generalization of 2-primal near rings* // Quaestiones Mathematicae, **27** (2004), N 4, 397–413.
- [3] V. K. Bhat, *A note on Krull dimension of skew polynomial rings* // Lobachevskii J. Math, **22** (2006), 3–6.

- [4] V. K. Bhat, *On 2-primal Ore extensions* // Ukrainian Math. Bull., **4** (2007), N 2, 173–179.
- [5] P. Gabriel, *Representations des Algebres de Lie Resolubles D Apres J. Dixmier. In Seminaire Bourbaki*, 1968–69, Pp. 1–22, Lecture Notes in Math. No 179, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr, *An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings*, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1989.
- [7] C. Y. Hong and T. K. Kwak, *On minimal strongly prime ideals* // Comm. Algebra **28(10)** (2000), 4868–4878.
- [8] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, *Ore-extensions of baer and p.p.-rings* // J. Pure and Applied Algebra **151(3)** (2000), 215–226.
- [9] N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, *Minimal prime ideals in 2-primal rings* // Math. Japonica **50(3)** (1999), 415–420.
- [10] G. Marks, *On 2-primal Ore extensions* // Comm. Algebra, **29** (2001), N 5, 2113–2123.
- [11] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley 1987; revised edition: American Math. Society, 2001.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Vijay K. Bhat**

School of Applied Physics and Mathematics,  
SMVD University, P/o Kakryal,  
Udhampur, J and K,  
India–182121  
*E-Mail:* vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com