SUPPRESSION OF VORTICAL TURBULENCE IN PLASMA IN CROSSED ELECTRICAL AND MAGNETIC FIELDS DUE TO FINITE LIFETIME OF ELECTRONS AND IONS AND DUE TO FINITE SYSTEM LENGTH

V.I. Maslov, I.P. Levchuk, I.N. Onishchenko, A.M. Yegorov, V.B. Yuferov National Science Center "Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology", Kharkov, Ukraine E-mail: vmaslov@kipt.kharkov.ua

The dispersion equation, describing the instability development of vortex turbulence excitation in cylindrical plasma in crossed radial electric and axial magnetic fields with taking into account the longitudinal inhomogeneity and finite time of leaving of plasma electrons and ions from the system, has been derived. It is shown that the finite length of system time and finite time of system leaving of plasma electrons and ions leads to the appearance of the instability threshold and to decrease of growth rate of its development.

PACS: 29.17.+w; 41.75.Lx

INTRODUCTION

In plasma [1-3] a turbulence has been excited in crossed radial electrical and longitudinal magnetic fields by gradient of external magnetic field. This turbulence is a distributed vorticity. In this paper the excitation and damping of similar vortical turbulence, excited in cylindrical plasma in crossed radial electrical $\,E_{0r}\,$ and longitudinal magnetic H₀ fields [4], is investigated theoretically. From the general nonlinear equation, presented in article [3], for vorticity the dispersion relation, which describes the instability development of vortex turbulence excitation, has been derived. It is shown that the finite length of system time and finite time of system leaving of plasma electrons and ions leads to the appearance of the instability threshold and to decrease of growth rate of its development.

EXCITATION OF VORTICES

Let us derive the dispersion relation. We take into account that the ions pass with velocity V_{bi} through system of length L during time, approximately equal $\tau_{i} = \frac{L}{V}$. We also take into account that the electrons

pass through system and are renovated in system also during finite time, τ_{e} . Damping of perturbations of densities and velocities of electrons and ions at recovery of their unperturbed values we describe, using $v_i = \frac{1}{\tau}$,

$$v_e \equiv \frac{1}{\tau_e}$$
.

We use the electron hydrodynamic equations

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + \nu_{_{e}} \left(\vec{V} - \vec{V}_{_{\theta o}} \right) + \left(\vec{V} \vec{\nabla} \right) \vec{V} = \\ &= \left(\frac{e}{m_{_{e}}} \right) \vec{\nabla} \varphi + \left[\vec{\omega}_{_{He}}, \vec{V} \right] - \left(\frac{V_{_{th}}^{^{2}}}{n_{_{e}}} \right) \vec{\nabla} n_{_{e}} \end{aligned} , \label{eq:equation_equation} \\ &\frac{\partial n_{_{e}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\left(n_{_{e}} - n_{_{oe}} \right)}{\tau} + \vec{\nabla} \left(n_{_{e}} \vec{V} \right) = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

Also we use the ion hydrodynamic equations

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial \vec{V}_{_{i}}}{\partial t} + \nu_{_{i}} \left(\vec{V} - \vec{V}_{_{bi}} \right) + \left(\vec{V}_{_{i}} \vec{\nabla} \right) \vec{V}_{_{i}} = - \left(\frac{q_{_{i}}}{m_{_{i}}} \right) \vec{\nabla} \varphi \ , \\ &\frac{\partial n_{_{i}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\left(n_{_{i}} - n_{_{oi}} \right)}{\tau} + \nabla \left(n_{_{i}} \vec{V}_{_{i}} \right) = 0 \end{split} \tag{2}$$

and Poisson equation for the electrical potential, ϕ ,

$$\Delta \phi = 4\pi \left(e n_e - q_i n_i \right) . \tag{3}$$

Here \vec{V} , n_e are a velocity and density of electrons; V_{th} is the electron thermal velocity; $V_{_{\!\scriptscriptstyle Bo}}$ is the electron azimuth drift velocity in crossed fields; \vec{V}_i , n_i , q_i , m_i are the velocity, density, charge and mass of ions.

As it will be visible from the further, the dimensions of the vortical perturbations are much larger than the electron Debye radius, $r_{de} \equiv \frac{V_{th}}{\omega_{re}}$, then the last term in

(1) can be neglected. Here
$$\omega_{pe} \equiv \left(\frac{4\pi n_{oe}e^2}{m_e}\right)^{1/2}$$
, n_{oe} is the unperturbed electron density.

From equations (1) one can derive non-linear equations

$$d_{t} \left[\frac{\left(\alpha - \omega_{He} \right)}{n_{e}} \right] = \left[\frac{\left(\alpha - \omega_{He} \right)}{n_{e}} \right] \partial_{z} V_{z} - \frac{\alpha v_{e}}{n_{e}} , \quad (4)$$

$$d_{t} V_{z} + v_{e} V_{z} = \left(\frac{e}{m_{e}} \right) \partial_{z} \phi$$

describing both transversal and longitudinal electron dynamics. Here

$$\mathbf{d}_{t} \equiv \partial_{t} + (\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\perp} \vec{\nabla}_{\perp}) , \quad \partial_{z} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z} , \quad \partial_{t} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} , \quad (5)$$

 \vec{V}_{\perp} , \vec{V}_{z} are the transversal and longitudinal electron velocities, α is the vorticity, the characteristic of electron vortical motion, $\alpha \equiv \vec{e}_x \operatorname{rot} \vec{V}$.

Taking into account higher linear terms, from (1) one

$$\vec{V}_{\perp} \approx \left(\frac{e}{m_{e}\omega_{He}}\right) \left[\vec{e}_{z}, \vec{\nabla}_{\perp}\phi\right] + \left(\frac{e}{m_{e}\omega_{u_{e}}^{2}}\right) \left(\partial_{\tau} + \nu_{e}\right) \vec{\nabla}_{\perp}\phi$$
 (6)

From (6) we derive

$$\alpha \equiv \mu \omega_{_{He}} \approx -\frac{2eE_{_{or}}}{rm_{_{e}}\omega_{_{He}}} - \frac{eE_{_{or}}}{m_{_{e}}} \partial_{_{r}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{_{He}}}\right) + \frac{e}{m_{_{e}}\omega_{_{He}}} \Delta_{_{\perp}} \phi + \frac{e}{m_{_{e}}} \left(\partial_{_{r}} \phi\right) \partial_{_{r}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{_{He}}}\right) + \frac{e}{m_{_{e}}} \left(\partial_{_{t}} + \nu_{_{e}}\right) \vec{e}_{_{z}} \left[\vec{\nabla}_{_{\perp}}, \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{_{He}}^{^{2}}}\right) \vec{\nabla}_{_{\perp}} \phi\right],$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \phi \equiv \vec{\nabla} \phi - \vec{E}_{_{cc}}. \tag{7}$$

Here $E_{_{or}}$ is the radial focusing electric field, ϕ is the electric potential of the vortical perturbation;

$$-\frac{2eE_{_{or}}}{rm_{_{e}}\omega_{_{He}}}\!=\!\!\left(\frac{\omega_{_{pe}}^{^{2}}}{\omega_{_{He}}}\right)\!\!\left(\frac{\Delta n}{n_{_{oe}}}\right)\!\!\equiv\eta\omega_{_{He}}\ \text{, }\Delta n\equiv n_{_{oe}}-n_{_{oi}}\frac{q_{_{i}}}{e}\ .$$

From (7)
$$\alpha \approx \left(\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{He}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta n_e}{n_{oe}}\right)$$
 approximately follows.

Thus the vortical motion begins, as soon as the electron density perturbation, δn_a , appears.

We use that, as it will be shown below, the characteristic frequencies of perturbations approximately equal to ion plasma frequency, ω_{ni} .

As beam ions have large mass and propagate through system with velocity V_{bi} , we describe their dynamics in linear approximation. We derive ion density perturbation from eq.s (2)

$$\delta n_{i} = -n_{io} \left(\frac{q_{i}}{m_{i}} \right) \frac{\Delta \phi}{\left(\omega - k_{z} V_{ib} + i v_{i} \right)^{2}}.$$
 (8)

Here k, ω are wave number and frequency of perturbation, V_{bi} is the unperturbed longitudinal velocity of the ions. Substituting (8) in Poisson equation (3), one can obtain

$$\frac{\beta \Delta \phi}{4\pi e} = \delta n_e , \beta = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\left(\omega - k_z V_{ib} + i v_i\right)^2} ,$$

$$n_e = n_{oe} + \delta n_e . \tag{9}$$

Let us consider instability development in linear approximation. Then we search the dependence of the perturbation on z, θ in the form $\delta n_{_{e}} \propto exp\left(ik_{_{z}}z+i\ell_{_{\theta}}\theta\right)$. Then from (4) we derive

$$d_{t} \left(\frac{\omega_{He}}{n_{e}} \right) = \alpha \frac{\nu_{e}}{n_{e}} - \left(\frac{e\omega_{He}}{m_{e}n_{oe}} \right) \frac{ik_{z}^{2}\phi}{\left(\omega - \ell_{\theta}\omega_{\thetao} + i\nu_{e}\right)},$$

$$\omega_{\thetao} \equiv \frac{V_{\thetao}}{r}.$$
(10)

From (5), (6), (9), (10) we obtain, using the radial gradient of the short coil magnetic field, the following linear dispersion relation, describing the instability development

$$\begin{split} &1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}}{\left(\omega - k_{z}V_{bi} + i/\tau_{i}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}\left(\ell_{\theta}/r\right)\partial_{r}\left(l/\omega_{He}\right)}{\left(\omega - \ell_{\theta}\omega_{\thetao} + i/\tau_{e}\right)k^{2}} - \\ &- \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\left(\omega - \ell_{\theta}\omega_{\thetao} + i/\tau_{e}\right)^{2}} \frac{k_{z}^{2}}{k^{2}} = 0. \end{split} \tag{11}$$

From (11) for quick $V_{ph} \approx V_{\theta o}$ vortical perturbations we obtain

$$k_z = 0$$
, $\omega = \omega^{(o)} + \delta \omega$, $|\delta \omega| \ll \omega^{(o)}$,

$$\begin{split} \omega^{(o)} &= \omega_{pi} = \ell_{\theta} \omega_{\theta o} \ , \ \omega_{\theta o} = \left(\frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{2\omega_{He}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta n}{n_{oe}}\right) \, , \\ \Delta n &= n_{oe} - \frac{q_{i}n_{oi}}{e} \, , \ \delta \omega = i\gamma_{q} \, , \\ \gamma_{q} &\approx \left(\frac{\omega_{pe}}{k}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_{pi}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\ell_{\theta}}{r}\right)} \partial_{r} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{He}}\right) \left| -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{e}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{i}}\right) \right|. \ (12) \end{split}$$

From (11) for slow $V_{ph} << V_{\theta o}$ vortical perturbations we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\gamma_s = \gamma_{s0} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_e} + \frac{2}{\tau_i} \right), \ \gamma_{s0} \approx \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{4/3}} \right) \left[\omega_{pi}^2 \ell_0 \omega_{\theta o} \right]^{1/3} \\ &k^2 = - \left(\frac{1}{V_{\theta o}} \right) \omega_{pe}^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{He}} \right), \ Re \ \omega_s = \frac{\gamma_{s0}}{\sqrt{3}} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

One can see that τ_e and τ_i decrease growth rates and lead to appearance of thresholds of instability development.

Let us consider now, how finite $k_z \neq 0$ influences on growth rate of the instability development. From (11) we obtain the growth rate of the excitation of slow homogeneous turbulence with taking into account k_z .

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{s} &\approx \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{4/3}}\right) \omega_{pi}^{2/3} \left(\ell_{\theta} \omega_{\theta o} - k_{z} V_{bi}\right)^{1/3} \times \\ &\times \left\{1 - \frac{k_{z}^{2}}{\left[2k_{z}^{2} + \left(\frac{\ell_{\theta}}{r}\right) \left(\ell_{\theta} \omega_{\theta o} - k_{z} V_{bi}\right) \middle| \partial_{r} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{He}}\right) \middle|}\right\}^{1/3} \right\}. \end{split} \tag{14}$$

From (14) one can see that both the taking into account the longitudinal dynamics of ions and electrons results in reduction of the growth rate. The perturbations

with least $k_z \left(\approx \frac{\pi}{L} \right)$ have maximum growth rate, that is

with the largest longitudinal dimensions, close to system length.

CONCLUSIONS

The dispersion equation, describing the instability development of vortex turbulence excitation in cylindrical plasma in crossed radial electric and axial magnetic fields with taking into account the longitudinal inhomogeneity and finite time of leaving of plasma electrons and ions from the system, has been derived. It is shown that the finite length of system time and finite time of system leaving of plasma electrons and ions leads to the appearance of the instability threshold and to decrease of growth rate of its development.

REFERENCES

- A. Goncharov, A. Dobrovolsky, A. Kotsarenko, A. Morozov, I. Protsenko // Physica Plasmy. 1994, v. 20, p. 499.
- 2. A. Goncharov, I. Litovko. Electron Vortexes in High-Current Plasma Lens // *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* 1999, v. 27, p. 1073.

- V.I. Maslov, A.A. Goncharov, I.N. Onishchenko. Self-Organization of Non-Linear Vortexes in Plasma Lens for Ion-Beam-Focusing in Crossed Radial Electrical and Longitudinal Magnetic Fields // Proc. of the Intern. Workshop "Collective phenomena in macroscopic systems". World Scientific, Singapore, 2007, p. 20-25.
- 4. V.B. Yuferov, A.S. Svichkar, S.V. Shariy, T.I. Tkachova, V.O. Ilichova, V.V. Katrechko,

A.I. Shapoval, S.N. Khizhnyak. About Redistribution of Ion Streams in Imitation Experiments on Plasma Separation // Problems of Atomic Science and Technology. Series "Physics of Radiation Damage and Radiation Material". 2013, №5 (87), p. 100-103.

Article received 25.05.2015

ПОДАВЛЕНИЕ ВИХРЕВОЙ ТУРБУЛЕНТНОСТИ В ПЛАЗМЕ В СКРЕЩЕННЫХ ЭЛЕКТРИЧЕСКОМ И МАГНИТНОМ ПОЛЯХ ЗА СЧЕТ КОНЕЧНОГО ВРЕМЕНИ УХОДА ЭЛЕКТРОНОВ И ИОНОВ И КОНЕЧНОЙ ДЛИНЫ СИСТЕМЫ

В.И. Маслов, И.П. Левчук, И.Н. Онищенко, А.М. Егоров, В.Б. Юферов

Получено дисперсионное уравнение, описывающее развитие неустойчивости возбуждения вихревой турбулентности в цилиндрической плазме в скрещенных радиальном электрическом и продольном магнитном полях с учетом продольной неоднородности и конечного времени ухода электронов и ионов плазмы из системы. Показано, что конечная длина системы и конечное время покидания системы электронами и ионами плазмы приводят к появлению порога неустойчивости и уменьшению инкремента ее развития.

ПОДАВЛЕННЯ ВИХРОВОЇ ТУРБУЛЕНТНОСТІ В ПЛАЗМІ В СХРЕЩЕНИХ ЕЛЕКТРИЧНОМУ І МАГНІТНОМУ ПОЛЯХ ЗАВДЯКИ КІНЦЕВОМУ ЧАСУ ВИХОДУ ЕЛЕКТРОНІВ ТА ІОНІВ І КІНЦЕВІЙ ДОВЖИНІ СИСТЕМИ

В.І. Маслов, І.П. Левчук, І.М. Онищенко, О.М. Єгоров, В.Б. Юферов

Отримано дисперсійне рівняння, що описує розвиток нестійкості збудження вихрової турбулентності в циліндричній плазмі в схрещених радіальному електричному та поздовжньому магнітному полях з урахуванням поздовжньої неоднорідності і кінцевого часу залишання системи електронами та іонами плазми. Показано, що кінцева довжина системи і кінцевий час залишання системи електронами і іонами плазми призводять до появи порога нестійкості та зменшення інкремента її розвитку.